

Michael Willis Chase, Pro Se
P.O. Box 4461,
Sedona, Arizona 86340
Email: aloha777sedona@gmail.com
Phone: (928) 399-9688

ORIGINAL FILED THIS

DAY OF OCT 5 2021

DONNA McQUALITY
Clerk of Superior Court

By: A.K. Bauman
Deputy

Steven Lee McMillan, sui juris
Counsel of Choice Assisting
Michael Willis Chase
2675 W. State Route 89A #1111
Sedona, Arizona 86336
Email: bringtheworldtome@yahoo.com
Phone: (520) 921-9146

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI**

THE STATE OF ARIZONA)	
)	
PLAINTIFF,)	CASE NO. V1300CR201980661
)	
VS.)	NOTICE & COMMAND FOR ANSWERS TO PETITIONER'S MAJOR PREMISES.
)	
Michael Willis Chase of the)	
Chase Family, Principle Creditor)	COMMAND FOR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION & DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF VENUE JURISDICTION.
For MICHAEL WILLIS)	
CHASE™)	
)	
ACCUSED)	
)	

October 5th, 2021.

Oral Argument Commanded.

RECEIVED

OCT 05 2021

YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY

**Michael Willis Chase's
Notice & Command to Cease & Desist and Command For
Admissions & Confessions From JUDGE JOHN NAPPER
And County Attorney GLEN M. ASAY.**

1 Re: Michael Willis Chase's, (hereinafter ¹ Petitioner), commands by and
2 through *Petitioner's "Michael Willis Chase's Notice & Command to Cease &
3 Desist and Command For Admissions & Confessions From Judge JOHN
4 NAPPER And County Attorney GLEN M. ASAY."* Petitioner commands YOU
5 to stop YOUR conduct found illegal and take immediate affirmative action
6 designed to amend and remedy YOUR criminal practices; Petitioner commands
7 apply to GLEN M. ASAY and The "STATE OF ARIZONA™" *spelled in all*
8 *uppercase letters*, who are liable to judgment in a given action for: 1.) Bad Faith,
9 2.) Breach of Contract, 3.) Conversion based on economic loss alleging theories
10 of breach of contract, 4.) Conspiracy, 5.) Extortion, 6.) Embezzlement, 7.)
11 Unfairness, 8.) Collusion, 9.) Theft, 10.) Sedition, 11.) Overthrow, and 12.)
12 Fraud;

13 Notice.

14 *Notice Is Hereby Given* that I, Michael Willis Chase, The Accused have
15 undergone a *religious conversion*, I do not take oaths or affirmations. The
16 scripture says, (Psalm 116:11) *"I said in my haste, All men are liars"* and
17 (Romans 3:4) *"God forbid: yea, let God be true, but every man a liar; as it is*
18 *written, That thou mightest be justified in thy sayings, and mightest overcome*
19 *when thou art judged.."* [See: Gordon verses STATE OF IDAHO 778 F.2d
20 1397 (1985), -US Ninth Circuit Judge Harry Pregerson.]

21 *"I'm simply saying that since we've all lied in the past and we've lied*
22 *once or twice today and we're going to lie in the future, why kid*
23 *ourselves by saying we tell the truth when in fact we do not. It's my*
24 *position I would be guilty of perjury the moment I said 'Do you*
25 *swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so*
26 *help you God' and I say 'I do' I'm committing a lie."*
27 *-George Gordon*

28 NOTICE IS hereby GIVEN that *"Michael Willis Chase's Notice & Command to*
Cease & Desist and Command For Admissions & Confessions From Judge JOHN
NAPPER And County Attorney GLEN M. ASAY.", is declared witnessed solemn
testimony of Michael Willis Chase by asseveration. Asseveration being the proof
which Michael Willis Chase gives of the truth of what he says, by appealing to his

¹ **Amendment I (1791):** Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a *redress of grievances*.

1 conscience as a witness. It differs from an oath in this, that by the oath one appeals to
2 YAHWEH as a witness of the truth of what he says, and invokes YAHWEH as the
3 avenger of falsehood and perfidy (treachery or deceit), to punish him if he speak not
4 the truth. This is commonly known as an *“oath of purgation”* that was used in the
5 dark ages to slaughter pagans. Know all these presents that Michael Willis Chase does
6 state the following:

- 7 1. THAT Michael Willis Chase is competent to state to the matters set forth
8 herein.
- 9 2. THAT Michael Willis Chase has personal knowledge of the facts stated
10 herein.
- 11 3. THAT all the ***FACTS*** stated herein are true, correct and certain to the best of
12 Michael Willis Chase’s knowledge, are admissible as evidence, and if called
13 upon as a witnesses, Michael Willis Chase will testify to their veracity.
- 14 4. THAT Michael Willis Chase states the following facts; [See Exhibit: Alpha –
15 Omega]

16 COMES NOW the Petitioner, the Accused, appearing specially and not generally
17 herein, for the specific purpose of giving Notice to the Court and the State that neither
18 the agent of the king, nor the Prosecutor, have adequately conferred jurisdiction in this
19 Court over either the Accused, the subject matter, or the ability of the Court to affect a
20 remedy. The Petitioner, Michael Willis Chase, is making a ***special*** appearance for
21 myself, with assistance counsel of choice unlicensed; I am NOT making a general
22 appearance as a “defendant” for the record. I am the “Accused”, who has never
23 granted jurisdiction. I am challenging jurisdiction.

24 **The Accused/Petitioner at all times demands all inalienable perfect rights**
25 **guaranteed under the Law of Nations, the Declaration of Independence, the**
26 **Articles of Confederation, the Constitution of the United States, and the Common**
27 **Law. Petitioner expressly denies any jurisdictions to include Roman Mercantile,**
28 **executive chancery, and only recognizes that jurisdiction under the Common Law**
by this free and independent inhabitant, who is a flesh and blood man.

**Petitioner Has A Number Of “Major Premises” That Prove That This
Trial Court Does Not Have Jurisdiction In This Case. There Are A
Number of Jurisdictional Defects, Which Will Be Proven:**

¶1. **First major premise** by Petitioner is that the de jure “United States of America” (spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters) is NOT the entity de facto **“UNITED STATES OF AMERICA INC.™”** (spelled in all uppercase letters) which is a corporate identity, a legal fiction in all caps, a **decedent**, which is a **Delaware Corporation!** The de jure “United States” Is **NOT** the insolvent de facto entity “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters). “United States” Attorneys CANNOT file lawsuits in the name of the de facto “UNITED STATES OF AMERICA INC.™”)spelled in all uppercase letters), a Delaware Corporation without Power of Attorney to do so from its Board of Directors! The Board of Directors **MUST** appear in a court **AFTER** they have establish the agency relations in writing, which **MUST** be proven by the principal **NOT** the agent, under the law of agency. *“UNITED STATES™”)spelled in all uppercase letters) Attorneys are NOT “United States of America Attorneys”. [See:: Exhibit – Alpha; “UNITED STATES OF AMERICA INC.™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) NON-PROFIT Delaware Corporation Incorporation Date March 19, 1989 File No. 2193946 - 3 Pages]. The Articles of Confederation are the only origin of the use of the phrase “United States of America” (spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters). Free and Independent States under the Articles of Confederation are styled “Republic of Virginia” for example.*

¶2. **Second major premise** by Petitioner is that the de jure “State of Arizona” (spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters) is NOT the entity the de facto **“STATE OF ARIZONA™” DUN# 068300170** (spelled in all uppercase letters) which is listed as a corporation with Dun and Bradstreet’s credit tracking system as a business. “The State of Arizona” is NOT the entity the “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase

1 letters). “The State of Arizona” Attorneys **CANNOT** file lawsuits in the name of the
2 “STATE OF ARIZONA™” DUN# 068300170 (spelled in all uppercase letters, without
3 Power of Attorney to do so. “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase
4 letters) Attorneys are **NOT** the “State of Arizona” (spelled in uppercase and lowercase)
5 Attorneys. [See: **Exhibit – Beta**; *US Corporate State “STATE OF ARIZONA™”*
6 *DUN# 068300170 (spelled in all uppercase letters) - 2 Pages*].

7 ¶3. **Third major premise** by Petitioner is that that the “State of Arizona” (spelled in
8 uppercase and lowercase letters) has been replaced By “Federal Corporations presiding
9 Over **TWO** Mutually Exclusive and Separate Jurisdictions! In this case the de jure
10 “State of Arizona”, has been replaced by a Federal Corporation, the de facto “STATE
11 OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters), which is a corporate identity, a
12 legal fiction in all caps, a **decendent**, which is a Corporation with a “**TRADE** ² **MARK**”!
13 The “CORPORATE STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) act as an “Agency”
14 or “Instrumentality” of the “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters)
15 Government, which is a US Corporation according to DUN# 052714196. [See:
16 **Exhibit – Gamma**; *DUN# 052714196 for the “United States Government” - 2 Pages*].
17 Corp. U.S.™”, which is the “District of Columbia” trademarked as the name,
18 “UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT™” (spelled in all uppercase letters). This was
19 done under the constitutional authority for Congress to pass any law within the ten mile
20 square of the District of Columbia. This “UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT™” or
21 U.S. INC.™” (both spelled in all uppercase letters) is what people call “government”,
22 which is a giant private CORPORATE MONOPOLY, which violates the Sherman
23 Antitrust Act, which forces ALL Americans to UNLAWFULLY become its

24 ²“The term mark includes any trademark, service mark, collective mark, or certification mark.” (See:
25 **15 USCS §1127**). Trademarks recognize the source company’s ownership of the brand. Service
26 marks identify the different services licensed. The collective mark is used by collective members,
27 who all have Social Security Numbers, who are registered and get protection from their masters.
Those with the numbers become commercial members of the Counties and Cities who own their labor
by those Publicly Trade Organizations. “Brands” identify slaves by their owners.

“employee” or “officer” including Mark Brnovich as well as Attorney Judge **John Napper** and Private Prosecutor Attorney **Glen M. Asay** in this case.

¶4. Fourth major premise by Petitioner is that is that ALL cities and counties in Arizona are publicly traded corporations and businesses traded commercially according to Dunn and Bradstreet who is responsible for keeping records on various publicly traded corporations and businesses.

¶5. Fifth major premise by Petitioner is that that the “UNITED STATES TREASURY™” / “U.S. TREASURY, INC.™” (both spelled in all uppercase letters) Incorporation Date was February 8, 1990 File No. 2221617, which is a for profit private General Delaware Corporation.

¶6. An “Organic Act” establishes a government for a territory. The “DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) is a “Private Corporation” and a “Territory”. It became a PRIVATE Corporation in 1871 by an act of Congress conferring powers of government upon a territory. *In re Lane*, 135 U.S. 443, 10 S. Ct. 760, 34 L. Ed. 219 (1890). [See: **Exhibit – Delta**; U.S. Supreme Court confirmation - 1 Page].

¶7. This “Organic Act” established a government for a territory created by “The Congress of the Articles of Confederation”, the original jurisdiction Congress set by the “Constitution for the United States of America”. The Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled is a “body politic” and “corporate”, a de jure government, which created a “BODY CORPORATE™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) a “private corporation”, which is NOT a government called the “District of Columbia” a “body corporate” for municipal purposes. A State is “body politic” and “corporate” of “We The People”. [See: **Exhibit - Epsilon**, U.S. Supreme Court confirmation – What is a State - 1 Page].

¶8. *States are “bodies politic and corporate”. Why?...just as a corporation is an entity that can act only through its AGENTS, “[t]he State is a political corporate body, which*

1 *can act only THROUGH AGENTS, and CAN COMMAND ONLY BY LAWS.”*
2 ***Poindexter verses Greenhow**, supra, 114 U.S., at 288, 5 S.Ct. at 912-913. See Black’s*
3 *Law Dictionary 159 (5th edition 1979) (“[B]ody politic or corporate”: “A social*
4 ***compact** by which the whole people covenants with each citizen, and each citizen with*
5 *the whole people, that all shall be governed by certain laws for the common good”). As*
6 *a “body politic and corporate,” a State falls squarely within the Dictionary Act’s*
7 *definition of a “person.” [See: **Exhibit – Zeta; Will verses Michigan Department of***
8 ***State Police**, 491 U.S. 58, 109 S.Ct. 2304 (U.S. Michigan, 1989) - 1 Page], which is*
9 *U.S. Supreme Court confirmation.*

10 ¶9. “Creations By Congress” are **NOT** necessarily a “Government” unless it is a “Body
11 Politic”, a “Public Entity”, and “De Jure Government”, thus being a Republican State of
12 America! Hence, calling a creation by Congress a “GOVERNMENT” (spelled in all
13 uppcase letters) does not **MAKE** it a “body politic”, a PUBLIC entity, or a de jure
14 government. A “body politic” **MUST** be REPRESENTED by “We The People” it
15 serves. The “DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA™” (spelled in all uppcase letters)
16 corporation does not do this. Rather, as a federal territory, *the District of Columbia* is
17 organized more akin to a British Crown colony than *a republican state of America:*
18 *[See: **Exhibit – Eta; Downes verses Bidwell**, 182 U.S. 244 (1901) - 1 Page]. U.S.*
19 *Supreme Court confirmation.*

20 ¶10. The “DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA™” (spelled in all uppcase letters) DUNS#
21 058592122, Is **NOT** a Government! It is a PRIVATE Municipal Corporation!
22 *The “DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA™” (spelled in all uppcase letters) is a “body*
23 *politic” for the people who live there, they have no representation in Congress like all*
24 *the other Constitutional States. The fact that the act creating it as a corporation also*
25 *called it a “government” **STILL** doesn’t make it anything more than a **PRIVATE***
26 *municipal corporation because said act **NEVER** expressly identified the “DISTRICT*
27 *OF COLUMBIA™” (spelled in all uppcase letters) as a **PUBLIC** corporation **NOR***

1 called it a “body politic”. The formation of a corporation alone does **NOT** “confer
2 political power, political character” and does **NOT** form a “body politic”. The creation
3 of a “body politic” within any act of Congress therefore requires an ***express***
4 ***declaration***, which is nowhere found within the organic act of 1871, 16 Stat. 419, or
5 any subsequent act affecting the District of Columbia: [See: **Exhibit – Theta; Osborn**
6 ***verses Bank of U.S.***, 22 U.S. 738 (1824) - 1 Page], in which the U.S. Supreme Court
7 confirmed this conclusion.

8 ¶11. The District of Columbia Organic Act of 1871 describes its venue as: “. . .*all that*
9 *part of the territory of the United States included within the limits of the District of*
10 *Columbia*” according to **22 United States Code §286g: Jurisdiction and Venue of**
11 **Actions**. The District of Columbia was originally provided for in the Constitution for
12 the United States of America (September 17, 1787) at Article 1, Section 8, specifically
13 in the last two clauses. Then, on July 16, 1790, in accord with the provisions of those
14 clauses, the Territory was formed in the District of Columbia Act, 1 Stat. 130, wherein
15 the “ten mile square” territory was permanently created and made the permanent
16 location of the country’s government. The “**TERRITORY**” includes the actual
17 government, which also made the President the **CIVIC LEADER** of the local
18 government in all matters in said Territory and the date for transfer of all offices to this
19 new location was then set at the first Monday in December, 1800.

20 ¶12. On February 27, 1801, 2 Stat. 103-108, under the second District of Columbia Act,
21 two counties were formed, their respective officers and district judges were appointed.
22 Three town governments Alexandria, Georgetown and Washington were recognized as
23 constituted and placed under the laws of the District, its judges, etc. Then March 3,
24 1801, 2 Stat. 115-116 a Supplementary Act to that last Act, added the authority that the
25 Marshals appointed by the respective District Court Judges collectively form a County
26 Commission with authority to appoint all officers as may be needed in similarity to the
27 respective State officials in the states whence the counties Washington and Alexandria

came, being Maryland and Virginia, respectively. According to the United States Supreme Court those charter acts (first acts) were the official incorporation of the townships of Alexandria, Georgetown, and Washington that formed the District of Columbia as chartered by Congress in accord with the Constitution's provision. See: ***Cohens verses Virginia***, 19 U.S. 264 (1821). Nowhere between 1790 and the Organic Act of 1871, has the U.S. Supreme Court ever recognized the phrase "District of Columbia" as a corporation by itself. Since 1801, the Supreme Court called the City of Washington "***a corporation***", with the right to sue and be sued. In ***Cohens verses Virginia***, 19 U.S. 264 (1821): ***The "District of Columbia", was not officially and separately recognized as a "corporation" by the courts until after the act of 1871. Some people erroneously try to argue the contrary.*** Finally in ***The Organic Act of 1878***, 20 Stat. 102-108, the District of Columbia was made into a municipal corporation. **Petitioner searched all rulings of the U.S. Supreme Court from the beginning, and there is no mention of the phrase "District of Columbia Organic Act" or "Charter Act of the District of Columbia" or "incorporation" in reference to the phrase "District of Columbia". THIS IS SIMPLY FALSE!** Between 1801 and 1871, the term "corporation" is only used to refer to the ***CITIES*** that are geographically within the District of Columbia, ***NOT*** to the "District of Columbia" separately as a "corporation". [See: **Exhibit – Mu – Cohen verses Virginia and Downes verses Bidwell – 1 Page**].

¶13. The first paragraph of the District of Columbia Organic Act of 1871, follows: *"That all that part of the territory of the United States included within the limits of the District of Columbia be, and the same is hereby, created into a government by the name of the District of Columbia, by which name it is hereby constituted a body corporate for municipal purposes ... and exercise all other powers of a municipal corporation."* **The Historical Facts Regarding The District Of Columbia Organic Act of 1871: *First*,** the "corporation" that was created is not a "**body politic AND corporate**" but simply a

1 “body corporate”. It is not a government within the meaning of the original
2 jurisdiction of the constitution. The *DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA™* (spelled in all
3 uppercase letters) is a private, for-profit corporation. **Second**, the “corporation” was
4 presided over by commissioners appointed by the national government rather than the
5 people domiciled there through a popular election. **Third**, the “corporation” is owned
6 by the “United States”, which like all governments is also a corporation. See: **28 U.S.C.**
7 **§3002(15)(A)**. [See also U.S. Supreme Court confirmation: **Exhibit - Iota; Proprietors**
8 ***of Charles River Bridge verses Proprietors of Warren Bridge, 36 U.S. 420 (1837) -***
9 ***Page***].

10 ¶14. Corporate rules apply **ONLY** on its own facilities so long as NOTICE is given.
11 The “government” created in The District Of Columbia Organic Act of 1871 was
12 the same government any private corporation has within the operation of its own
13 corporate construct and on its own private land. ***Thus, Petitioner calls the***
14 ***DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA™***, “***CORP. U.S.*” (both spelled in all uppercase letters)**
15 ***The rules of Wal-Mart, apply only on its own facilities so long as notice is given to all***
16 ***who step onto those facilities, then the corporate rules of the landlord apply to all***
17 ***“TENANTS”***. Congress reserved the right, granted them in the Constitution at Article
18 1, Section 8, Clause 17, to **COMPLETE DICTATORIAL AUTHORITY** over their
19 ***CORP. U.S.™*** spelled in all uppercase letters, ***CONSTRUCT***, “***The District of***
20 ***Columbia*”, without regard for its internal operations or officers. Thus, Congress can**
21 ***use it within the ten mile square as they see fit to both govern the municipality as if it***
22 ***were the municipal government and to use it to do things the Constitution did not grant***
23 ***them the privilege of doing. The “DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA™” (spelled in all***
24 ***uppercase letters) is a private corporation because at the time of its creation: First,***
25 ***there was no “body politic”. The “government” was populated by***
26 ***COMMISSIONERS appointed by the President RATHER than representatives.***
27 ***Second, the citizens of the District were NOT able to elect EVERYONE in the***

1 chain of command up to the President. Therefore it is NOT a “representative
2 democracy”. *Later on, the District of Columbia was permitted LIMITED democratic*
3 *elections, they were and are still presided over by commissioners appointed by the*
4 *President RATHER than their own citizens. The “DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA™”*
5 *(spelled in all uppercase letters) continues to be a “BODY CORPORATE” without a*
6 *true “BODY POLITIC” therefore a PRIVATE corporation.* The U.S. Supreme Court
7 has identified the nature of this private corporation the “District of Columbia” by
8 identifying it as equivalent to the “national government”. [See: **Exhibit - Kappa;**
9 ***National Mutual Insurance Company of District of Columbia verses Tidewater***
10 ***Transfer Co., 337 U.S. 582 (1949) - 2 Pages*].**

11 ¶15. The “United States of America” is NOT the entity “UNITED STATES OF
12 AMERICA, INC.™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) is a Delaware Corporation. The
13 “United States” is NOT the entity “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase
14 letters). The “***DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA™***” “***CORP. U.S.™***” a.k.a. “***UNITED***
15 ***STATES GOVERNMENT™***” all (spelled in all uppercase letters), and the
16 corporate “***STATE OF ARIZONA™***” (spelled in all uppercase letters) are ALL
17 **Private Foreign Corporations!**

18 ¶16. By 1971, every State government in the union of States had formed such private
19 corporations (***CORP. STATES™***” (spelled in all uppercase letters), in accord with
20 the IMF admonition, *and the people ceased to seat original jurisdiction government*
21 *officials in their State government seats.* One private corporation is called “***STATE***
22 ***OF ARIZONA™***” (spelled in all uppercase letters), instead of “Arizona Republic”
23 within corporate registries such as Dunn and Bradstreet. The de jure States of the
24 Union have been replaced by Federal Corporations. *The Corporate State, the*
25 *“STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters),* consists of federal areas
26 within the exterior limits of the “Arizona Republic”. These areas are federal territory
27 NOT protected by the Constitution FOR the United States or the Bill of Rights and are

1 “**INSTRUMENTALITIES**” of the federal government. Jurisdiction over these areas is
2 shared with the federal government. So, now we have Republican “**FOREIGN**
3 **STATES**™” (spelled in all uppercase letters), “Arizona Republic” with respect to the
4 federal government or Corporate State Jurisdiction the de facto “STATE OF
5 ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters), a territory a “Federal Corporation”
6 owned by the Federal Government. This corporate state the de facto “STATE OF
7 ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters), acts as an “Agency” or
8 “Instrumentality” of the “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters),
9 Government assisting in the management and control over federal areas.

10 ¶17. [See: **Exhibit – Lambda**; The History of the District of Columbia - 6 Pages] and
11 Chronology of some events].

12 ¶18. The corporate state the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all
13 uppercase letters), consists of federal areas within the exterior limits of the de jure
14 “Arizona”. These areas are federal territory not protected by the Constitution of the
15 United States or the Bill of Rights they are “**INSTRUMENTALITIES**” of the federal
16 government. Jurisdiction over these areas is shared with the federal government under
17 the auspices of the following supposed legal authorities. ***First***, the **Buck Act**, codified
18 at 4 U.S.C. §108-116. ***Second***, the **Assimilated Crimes Act**, codified at 18 U.S.C. §13.
19 ***Third***, the **Rules of Decision Act**, codified at 28 U.S.C. §1652. This act prescribes
20 which of the two conflicting laws shall prevail in the case of crimes on federal territory.
21 ***Fourth***, Title 28 U.S.C. §2679, which says that any action against an officer or
22 employee of the United States in which the officer or employee is acting outside their
23 authority may be prosecuted in a state court and is not a “***federal question***”. ***Fifth***, an
24 ***Agreement on Coordination of Tax Administration*** (ACTA) between the de facto
25 “STATE OF ARIZONA™” and the Secretary of the Treasury of the IMF and World
26 Bank. ***The situation above in respect to a state is not unlike the national government,***
27 ***which has TWO mutually exclusive jurisdictions:*** [See: **Exhibit – Mu**; ***Cohens verses***

1 *Virginia*, 19 U.S. 264, 6 Wheat. 265; 5 L.Ed. 257 (1821) and *Downes verses Bidwell*,
2 182 U.S. 244 (1901) - 1 Page].

3 ¶19. The hard part is figuring out, which of the TWO JURISDICTIONS that any
4 particular state statute or law applies to, the de jure Republic “Foreign State” The de
5 jure “State of Arizona” jurisdiction or the corporate state the de facto “STATE OF
6 ARIZONA™” spelled in all uppcase letters, jurisdiction. What makes this process
7 difficult are the following complicating factors: ***First***, *there is no constitutional*
8 *requirement that the laws passed by the state legislature **MUST** clearly state which of*
9 *the two jurisdiction they apply to. **Second***, crafty state legislators deliberately ***obfuscate***
10 *the laws they write so as to encourage those within the Republic to obey laws that in*
11 *fact only apply to the corporate state the “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all*
12 *uppcase letters), so as to unlawfully increase their revenues, power, and control.*
13 ***Third***, Courts of Injustice and the commissioners, called judges, who serve in them
14 refuse to acknowledge that most statutes passed by the legislature can only lawfully
15 affect federal areas and “We The People” who ***CONSENT*** to be treated as though they
16 inhabit these areas. *Within federal law, the Republic portion of each state “The State*
17 *of Arizona” in this case, is referred to as a “foreign state”. To wit: “Foreign states.*
18 *Nations, which are outside the United States. Term may also refer to another state; i.e.*
19 *a sister state.” [Black’s Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, page 648]. **Even the U.S.***
20 *Supreme Court **ADMITS** that the Republic portion of states, “The State of Arizona”*
21 *in this case, of the Union is a “foreign state” with respect to the federal government:*
22 *[See: Exhibit – Nu; [81A Corpus Juris Secundum (C.J.S.), United States, §29 (2003)],*
23 *[19 Corpus Juris Secundum (C.J.S.), Corporations, §884 (2003)], and **State of***
24 ***Minnesota verses Brundage**, 180 U.S. 499 (1901) - 4 Pages].*

25 ¶20. The U.S. Supreme Court recognized ALL TERRITORIES CONSTITUTE
26 “***corporations***”, which implies that they are federal corporations OWNED by federal
27 government. [See: Exhibit – Xi; *Ngiraingas verses Sanchez*, 495 U.S. 182 (1990) - 1

Page].

¶21. *The Corporate State, the “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters), essentially acts as an AGENCY or INSTRUMENTALITY of the United States Government DUN# 052714196, assisting in the management and control over federal areas. This agency [The Corporate State] is created by an Agreement on Coordination of Tax Administration (ACTA) agreement between the corporate de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” and the de facto “UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) DUN# 052714196, and the Corporate de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) represents a delegation of authority by the de facto “UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) DUN# 052714196 to allow the Corporate de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) government to enforce their taxes and laws ONLY within the Corporate de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) and the federal areas within the exterior limits of the Corporate de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters), which comprise it. The U.S. Supreme Court confirmed that corporate charters are nothing more than contracts between the officers of the corporation and the government granting the FRANCHISE, which in the case of the ACTA [Agreement on Coordination of Tax Administration] agreements with the de facto “UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) DUN# 052714196, when it said:*

*The court held that the first company's charter was a contract between it and the state, within the protection of the constitution of the United States, and that the charter to the last company was therefore null and void., Mr. Justice DAVIS, delivering the opinion of the court, said that, **if anything was settled by an unbroken chain of decisions in the federal courts, it was that an act of incorporation was a contract between the state and the STOCKHOLDERS [the stockholders are the officers of the state government including Mark Brnovich], 'a departure from which now would involve dangers to society that CANNOT be foreseen, would shock***

1 *the sense of justice of the country, unhinge its business interests, and*
2 *weaken, if not destroy, that respect which has always been felt for the*
3 *judicial department of the government.'* [New Orleans Gas Co. verses
Louisiana Light Co., 115 U.S. 650 (1885)].

4 ¶22. The “stockholders” the Supreme Court are talking about above are the officers of
5 the state government, the de jure “The State of Arizona”, Mark Brnovich for example,
6 who is *assimilated* into the de facto “**UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT™**” (*spelled*
7 *in all uppercase letters*) **DUN# 052714196**, federal corporation by virtue of
8 FRAUDULENTLY participating in the “trade or business” **FRANCHISE** created
9 within federal areas of the state, “The State of Arizona” de jure, by the **Buck Act** and
10 the **Public Salary Tax Act**. Federal areas within the exterior limits of the de jure states
11 of the Union “The State of Arizona” the de jure state government therefore
12 CONVERTING and qualifying as “**POSSESSIONS**” of the “**UNITED STATES™**”
13 (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) **DUN# 052714196**, upon execution of the **ACTA**
14 **agreement [Agreement on Coordination of Tax Administration]**, and therefore
15 “States”, in this case “THE STATE OF ARIZONA™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*)
16 is within federal law according to: **TITLE 4, CHAPTER 4, §110. §110. Same;**
17 **definitions. (d) The term “State” includes any Territory or possession of the United**
18 **States.** [See: **Exhibit – Omicron; [Request for Return / Information. Form 8796 -1**
19 **Page]. Form 8796**, Request for Returns / Information (Federal/State Tax Exchange
20 Program), is used by either IRS or employees of state tax agencies to request returns
21 and / or return information in accordance with an approved **Agreement on**
22 **Coordination of Tax Administration** (basic agreement). Use of this form is
23 encouraged but not mandatory. When Form 8796, Request for Returns/Information
24 (Federal/State Tax Exchange Program) is used, sections C and D are signed by officials
25 who are authorized to make requests and/or release information under the terms of the
26 basic and implementing agreements. The term “possession” is nowhere defined in the
27 law that Petitioner has been able to locate. However, Black’s Law Dictionary indicates

1 that all “rights” or franchises constitute “property”. [See: **Exhibit – Pi**; legal definition
2 of property - 1 Page].

3 ¶23. According to **18 U.S.C. §219**: Officers and employees acting as agents of foreign
4 principals, Mark Brnovich as well as as well as Attorney Judge **John Napper** and
5 Private Prosecutor Attorney **Glen M. Asay** in this case. Especially those who are
6 Attorneys, have destroyed the Constitutional taxing power by redistributing “We The
7 People’s” wealth to **FOREIGN PRINCIPALS**, as “Officers” and “Employees” who are
8 “Agents” of foreign principals. The U.S. Supreme Court has said that when an AGENT
9 of the government exceeds his authority under the law, then he is acting as a “private
10 individual” rather than a “public official”. [See: **Exhibit - Rho; Poindexter verses**
11 **Greenhow**, 114 U.S. 270, 5 S. Ct. 903 (1885) - 1 Page]. They have destroyed the
12 Constitutional taxing power by redistributing “We The People’s” wealth to **FOREIGN**
13 **PRINCIPALS**, as “Officers” and “Employees” who are “Agents” of foreign principals,
14 which is **ROBBERY**. The U.S. Supreme Court has held that the ONLY purpose for
15 lawful, constitutional taxation is to collect revenues to support ONLY the machinery
16 and operations of the de jure government and its “employees”. This purpose, it calls a
17 “public use” or “public purpose”. [See: **Exhibit – Sigma; Loan Association verses**
18 **Topeka**, 20 Wall. 655 (1874)]; **U.S. verses Butler**, 297 U.S. 1 (1936)]; Black’s Law
19 Dictionary definition of “public purpose”, “public use” and “tax” - 5 Pages].

20 ¶24. Attorney Mark Brnovich as well as Attorney Judge **John Napper** and Private
21 Prosecutor Attorney **Glen M. Asay** in this case are ALL Attorneys for the “**Corporate**
22 **State” the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) who**
23 **represents a delegation of authority by the de facto “UNITED STATES**
24 **GOVERNMENT™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) DUN# 052714196** according to
25 **18 U.S.C. §912**, who are “Agents” and “Instrumentality” an “Officer” and “Employee”
26 of foreign principals according to 18 U.S.C. §219. Attorney Mark Brnovich as well as
27 Attorney Judge **John Napper** and Private Prosecutor Attorney **Glen M. Asay DO**

1 **NOT** conduct prosecutions in the name of Arizona according to the Arizona
2 Constitution adopted December 9, 1910 “The Constitution of the State of Arizona”, in
3 uppercase and lowercase. According to Article VI. Judicial Department Section 25,
4 “The style of process shall be **“The State of Arizona” (spelled in all uppercase**
5 **letters)** and prosecutions shall be conducted in the name of the state and by its
6 authority”.

7 ¶25. The corporatization and privatization of the de jure Arizona has occurred. “The
8 State of Arizona” has been **OVERTHROWN** using a private corporation called “THE
9 STATE OF ARIZONA™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) is a de facto separate
10 jurisdiction. Writs and process are now only issued out of federal commercial state
11 district called “this state,” “THE STATE OF ARIZONA™” (*spelled in all uppercase*
12 *letters*). The sovereign Arizona judiciary right to issue writs and process in the name of
13 the lawful collective of “We The People’s” the de jure body politic and corporate body
14 “The State of Arizona” has been **OVERTHROWN**, 18 U.S.C. §2385, by Mark
15 Brnovich’s state wide Attorneys, including yet not limited to Attorney Judge **John**
16 **Napper** and **Private** Prosecutor Attorney **Glen M. Asay** in **Seditious CONSPIRACY**
17 against “We The People’s” rights, 18 U.S.C. §241 and 18 U.S.C. §2384 who are
18 committing **TREASON**, 18 U.S.C. §2381, by the use of a privatized state.

19 ¶26. According to 18 U.S.C. §219: Officers and employees acting as agents of foreign
20 principals, Mark Brnovich as well as as Attorney Judge **John Napper** and **Private**
21 Prosecutor Attorney **Glen M. Asay**, have given up their freedom by contracting away
22 their birthright with *the de facto* **“UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT™” (spelled in**
23 **all uppercase letters)** DUN# 052714196, a NON-PROFIT Delaware Corporation
24 Incorporation Date April, 19th 1989 File No. 2193946. This corporation is foreign
25 owned by the IMF, which creates a conflict of interest between the National
26 Government and *the de facto* **“UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT™” (spelled in all**
27 **uppercase letters)** DUN# 052714196.

¶27. They are AGENTS OF FOREIGN PRINCIPALS liable for their acts, to their principals; and to third persons. When Mark Brnovich, as well as as Attorney Judge John Napper and Private Prosecutor Attorney Glen M. Asay, DO NOT disclose their agency they are acting for themselves as principals. They are agents and factors, acting for merchants of FOREIGN COUNTRIES liable whether they disclose their principal or not, this being the usage of the trade. They are negligent in law, by a long chain of causation, liable for their actions. [See: **Exhibit - Tau**; Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856 Edition regarding Agents - 1 Page].

¶28. "The State of Arizona" de jure has been OVERTHROWN first and foremost by Mark Brnovich, who is at the helm of approximately 400 Attorneys and 1,000 employees who are ALL "citizens of the United States". He has supervisory powers over Private Prosecutor Attorney Glen M. Asay, and ALL county Attorneys who are ALL state bar members who prosecute and defend ALL proceeding in ALL courts of the "Corporate State" "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) in direct violation of The Constitution of "The State of Arizona" at "§25. Style of process; conduct of prosecution in the name of state, Section 25. The style of process shall be "The State of Arizona", and prosecutions shall be conducted in the name of the state and by its authority." Private Prosecutor Attorney Glen M. Asay, is guilty of Federal crimes of TREASON 18 U.S.C. §2381: **SEDITIONOUS CONSPIRACY** 18 U.S.C. §2384; and **ADVOCATING THE OVERTHROW OF THE GOVERNMENT** 18 U.S.C. §2385. Because Mark Brnovich directs, controls, and (pays in foreign "bills of credit") 400 Attorneys and 1,000 employees as their chief legal officer, supposedly the head of the "Arizona Department of Law". He is in **FRAUD** of the constitutionally-established de jure office of "The State of Arizona". He is the "U.S. Corporate State" "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) DUN # 10-202-9491, (largest law firm in Arizona). Mark Brnovich is the "Agent" and "Instrumentality" of the corporate "UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT™" (*spelled in all uppercase*

1 letters) DUN # 052714196, as well as foreign principals who have **OVERTHROWN**
2 “We The People’s” de jure government”! [See: **Exhibit - Beta**; US Corporate State
3 “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) DUN# 10-202-949. 1-3
4 Pages].

5 ¶29. “Distinguishing between the public and governmental acts of sovereign states on
6 the one hand and their private and commercial acts on the other is not a novel
7 approach.” *Alfred Dunhill of London Inc. verses Cuba*, 425 U.S. 682. “When a state
8 enters the market place seeking customers it divests itself of its quasi sovereignty pro
9 tanto, and takes on the character of a trader ...’ *‘there is a constitutional line between*
10 *the State as government and the State as trader ...’*” *New York verses United States*,
11 226 U.S. 572, 579 (1940); *California verses Taylor*, 353 U.S. 553, 564 (1957); *United*
12 *States verses California*, 297 U.S. 175, 183 (1936).

13 ¶30. Mark Brnovich and Private Prosecutor Attorney **Glen M. Asay** are at war with
14 “We The People”. This premise asks this question. Can Michael Willis Chase, as an
15 inferior, prosecute or punish Mark Brnovich and Private Prosecutor Attorney **Glen M.**
16 **Asay**, as superiors? Generally speaking, not while Mark Brnovich and Private
17 Prosecutor Attorney **Glen M. Asay** are his superiors. Yet to resist force with force,
18 being the “state of war”, levels the parties, cancels all former relation of reverence,
19 respect, and superiority; then the odds that remains are that Petitioner, who opposes
20 these unjust aggressors, has this superiority over Mark Brnovich and Private Prosecutor
21 Attorney **Glen M. Asay**. Mark Brnovich and Private Prosecutor Attorney **Glen M.**
22 **Asay**, as superiors have “un-superior” themselves. The Petitioner can never come by a
23 power over Mark Brnovich and Private Prosecutor Attorney **Glen M. Asay** UNLESS
24 they do something that makes them cease to be the Attorney General and Prosecuting
25 Attorney; for then they divest themselves of their office and dignity, and returns to the
26 state of a private man, and “We The People” become free and superior. The power
27 devolving to “We The People” again.

¶31. Petitioner alleges that Mark Brnovich, the Attorney General, and Glen M. Asay, Prosecuting Attorney, ipso facto, became NO Attorney General, became NO Prosecuting Attorney, and lost all power and authority over “We The People” for the following causes: ***First***, because Mark Brnovich and Glen M. Asay has OVERTHROWN THE GOVERNMENT; that is; they have a purpose and design to ruin the de jure government; that they openly declare by their actions that they would be NO longer the Attorney General would no be NO longer the Prosecuting Attorney to “We The People”; and that they have it in their thoughts to cut off “We The People”; and they wish that “We The People” had but one neck that they might dispatch them all at a blow. Their attitudes have designs and thoughts that seriously promote that; they immediately gives up all care and thought of “We The People”, and, consequently, forfeits the power of governing “We The People”, the “body politic”. ***Second***, when Mark Brnovich and Glen M. Asay makes themselves the dependent of foreigners, and subjects “We The People” to them. Then the power and authority put into their hands is dissolved by their ***DOMINION*** being placed in the hands of ***FOREIGNERS***. Their actions prejudice “We The People”. They have hereby lost the principal part of their power and authority because they BETRAYED and FORCED “We The People” ***to lose liberty*** that they ought to have carefully preserved; instead they have empowered the domination of foreigners. By this, as it is, Mark Brnovich and Glen M. Asay alienated their country. They lose the power they had in their country before; and so, by their acts, they set “We The People” free and leave “We The People” at our own disposal. In this case Attorney General Mark Brnovich and Glen M. Asay ***MUST*** be resisted, as they ceased to be Attorney General and Prosecuting Attorney. For where so ever the authority ceases, the Attorney General Mark Brnovich ceases too! For where so ever the authority ceases, the Prosecuting Attorney Glen M. Asay ceases too! They become like other men who have ***NO*** authority.

¶32. Mark Brnovich and Glen M. Asay have dethroned themselves, and put themselves in a state of war with “We The People”, there is nothing that shall hinder “We The People” from prosecuting them who is NO Attorney General who is NO Prosecuting Attorney, as “We The People” would any other man, who has put themselves into a state of war with “We The People”. This is reasonable in particular cases of private men, why should it be otherwise where Mark Brnovich and Glen M. Asay endangered the health, safety and welfare of ALL Americans. Their evil actions, if not prevented, are greater, and the redress very difficult and dangerous if **NOT** stopped by “We The People”.

¶33. The U.S. Supreme Court “**Sovereign Acts Doctrine**” distinguishes in any given situation whether a government is: **1.** Acting in its sovereign capacity as a “government”, thereby entitled to sovereign immunity. **2.** Acting in its private capacity essentially as a corporation in equity. The Court has defined the “*Sovereign Acts Doctrine*” as a means to determine, which two capacities apply in any given situation. *Understanding this doctrine is important: Is the government acting as a PRIVATE CORPORATION, or a DE JURE GOVERNMENT?*

¶34. No **ONE** is permitted to operate corruptly and safely behind “**sovereign immunity**” laws. No **ONE** is immune from justice over “We The People’s” Constitutional guarantees: Title 42 United States Code §1983. “Civil action for deprivation of rights. Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress.”

*"The innocent individual who is harmed by an abuse of governmental authority is assured that he will be compensated for his injury." **Owen verses City of Independence**, 100 S. Ct. 1398 (1980).*

¶35. U.S. Supreme Court has held that when the federal corporation called “UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT™”, DUN # 052714196, enters into private business, it takes on the character of any other *private* corporation: [See: **Exhibit - Upsilon; Murray verses City of Charleston, 96 U.S. 432 (1877) – 2 Pages**].

¶36. Alleged “government employees”, and especially those within the IRS and the federal judiciary, blatantly, frequently, and with impunity exceed the constitutional and statutory limitations upon their conduct. Consequently, they cease to represent the government and are acting merely as “private individuals” within what amounts to a “sham trust” that started out as a “public trust” and was transformed by usurpers into a private, for-profit, corporate monopoly: [See: **Exhibit – Phi; U.S. ex. rel. Brookfield Const. Co. verses Stewart, 284 F. Supp. 94 (1964) - 1 Page**].

¶37. U.S. Supreme Court said when an AGENT of government exceeds his authority under law, he is acting as a “private individual” rather than a “public official”. [See: **Exhibit – Rho; Poindexter verses Greenhow, 114 U.S. 270, 5 S. Ct. 903 (1885) - 1 Page**].

¶38. Mark Brnovich as well as as Attorney Judge John Napper and Private Prosecutor Attorney Glen M. Asay are agents of the “UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, INC.™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) a NON-PROFIT Delaware Corporation Incorporation Date April, 19, 1989 File No. 2193946, paid in Federal Reserve notes, being debt obligations of their principal, the IMF their FOREIGN PRINCIPAL.

¶39. The dissolved governmental structure is actually a Private De Facto CORPORATION™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) is not government formed by “We The People”. The De Facto CORPORATION™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) is a corporation formed by corporations. Defendants/AGENTS of Private De Facto CORPORATION™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) have NO IMMUNITY. [See:

1 **Exhibit – Chi; *Spooner verses McConnell*, 22 F. 939, 943; *Julliard verses Greenman*:**
2 ***110 U.S. 421, (1884) - 1 Page*].**

3 ¶40. The Petitioner alleges that ANYTHING that converts PRIVATE property or
4 PRIVATE rights of “We The People” into PUBLIC rights or PUBLIC OFFICES or
5 franchises accomplishes a purpose OPPOSITE that for which governments are created
6 by “We The People” and hence, constitutes PRIVATE business activity that cannot and
7 will not be protected with sovereign immunity.

8 ¶41. Private business activities by **Mark Brnovich as well as as Attorney Judge John**
9 **Napper and Private Prosecutor Attorney Glen M. Asay** in the interest of **FOREIGN**
10 **PRINCIPALS** is not governmental, even if it is attempted by a government officer
11 acting under the “color of law”, it is STILL not “government activity” that can be
12 protected by **SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY**, but is mere PRIVATE business activity that
13 operates at the same level as ANY OTHER business **MUST** as a matter of equity. [See:
14 **Exhibit – Psi; *United States verses Winstar Corp.*, 518 U.S. 839 (1996) - 2 Pages**].

15 ¶42. **Mark Brnovich as well as as Attorney Judge John Napper and Private**
16 **Prosecutor Attorney Glen M. Asay** having entered the domain of commerce with
17 many other co conspirators, having no *sovereign immunity*, by coming down from any
18 position of sovereignty, **Mark Brnovich as well as as Attorney Judge John Napper**
19 **and Private Prosecutor Attorney Glen M. Asay** are the proximate cause of their own
20 injuries! ***The major premise of this case is: we are no longer dealing with a***
21 ***“government”***. It has been dissolved as a matter of law, but rather a private corporation
22 and franchise or “employer” in which **Mark Brnovich as well as as Attorney Judge**
23 **John Napper and Private Prosecutor Attorney Glen M. Asay** are just “employees”
24 of the ***private pseudo-government corporation*** who have no choice but to do exactly
25 and only what they are commanded to do through ***CORPORATE POLICY*** disguised to
26 “look” like ***PUBLIC LAW*** but which in actuality is just ***SPECIAL LAW*** or ***PRIVATE***
27 ***LAW*** that is part of their employment agreements. [See: **Exhibit – Omega; Titles Of**

1 Nobility, Hauser Verses U.S. District Court (Active Case)].

2 **Petitioner Demands Answers!**

3 ¶43. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the *1935 Emergency Relief Act* declares
4 “workers” to be “federal employees” of the insolvent de facto “**UNITED STATES™**”
5 (spelled in all uppercase letters) and that they **MUST** obtain social security numbers
6 according to the *1939 Social Security Act*, amending the 1935 Act. A *worker* is an
7 employee of the insolvent de facto “**UNITED STATES™**” (spelled in all uppercase
8 letters) employed to do public trade, commerce, business and industry for the
9 insolvent de facto “**STATE OF ARIZONA™**” (spelled in all uppercase letters).

10
11 ¶44. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit
12 OR Deny, then initial second box.

13

14 **I admit OR deny that I have a social security number.**

15

16 **I admit OR deny that I am an employee of the insolvent de facto**
17 **“UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters).**

18

I admit OR deny that I am a worker for the de facto “STATE OF
19 **ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters).**

20 ¶45. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that with the express understanding that “We hold
21 these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by
22 their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and
23 the pursuit of Happiness (right to property). That to secure these rights, Governments
24 are instituted among men, *deriving their just powers from the consent of the*
25 *governed.*” (See: Declaration of Independence, July 4, 1776).

26 *“WE THE PEOPLE of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union,*
27 *establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense,*
28 *promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves*

1 and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution FOR the United
2 States of America.” (Constitution for the United States of America (1787,
3 Preamble))

4 ¶46. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit
5 OR Deny, then initial second box.

6 I admit OR deny that my just powers come from the Declaration of
7 Independence, July 4, 1776, and Constitution for the United States of
8 America 1787 consented to by the governed.

9 ¶47. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the “Preamble” is the stated general purpose
10 and declared Public Policy of “We The People” and our Posterity. The state Citizens
11 thereafter defined the particular operations of the de jure Government by delegating
12 specific, enumerated Powers and Authority, and Ordered corresponding Duties on those
13 holding, enjoying and exercising state Citizens Public Offices.

14 *“Nothing is more natural nor common than first to use a general phrase,*
15 *and then to explain and qualify it by a recital of particulars. But the idea of*
16 *an enumeration of particulars which neither explain nor qualify the general*
17 *meaning, and can have no other effect than to confound and mislead, is an*
18 *absurdity, which, as we are reduced to the dilemma of charging either on*
19 *the authors of the objection or on the authors of the Constitution, we*
20 ***MUST** take the liberty of supposing, had not its origin with the latter”.*
21 (See: Federalist Papers No. 41)

22 ¶48. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit
23 OR Deny, then initial second box.

24 I admit OR deny that state Citizens, uppercase “C”, define the
25 particular operations of the de jure Government.

26 ¶49. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that within the express, conditional and delegated
27 Powers, Authority and Duties imposed upon state Citizen’s Public Offices and
28 Departments created by and under the Constitution FOR the United States of America,
Congress passed “An Act Establishing A Mint And Regulating The Coinage Of The
United States”, on Thursday, January 12, 1792. The Act fulfilled the Duties due and

owing to “We the People” under and in Pursuance of Article I, Section 8, Clause 5 and 6, and Article I, Section 10, Clause 1, to establish a uniform Coin of equal weights and measures, and establishing penalties for its debasement, diminution, alteration, adulteration, and for embezzlement of the specified metals, to wit:

“**Article I, Section 8, Clause 5.** Congress shall have Power to coin Money, regulate the Value thereof, and of foreign Coin, and fix the Standard of Weights and Measures;:

“**Article I, Section 8, Clause 6.** Congress shall have Power to provide for the Punishment of counterfeiting the Securities and current Coin of the United States....”

“**Article I, Section 10, Clause 1.** *No State shall* enter into any Treaty, Alliance, or Confederation; grant Letters of Marque and Reprisal; coin Money; emit Bills of Credit; *make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts*; pass any Bill of Attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility.”

¶50. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that no State, including the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) shall not enter into any Treaty, Alliance, or Confederation.

I admit OR deny that no State, including the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) shall not grant Letters of Marque and Reprisal.

I admit OR deny that no State, including the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) shall not coin Money.

I admit OR deny that no State, including the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) shall not emit Bills of Credit.

☐ I admit OR deny that no State, including the insolvent de facto
"STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) **MUST**
☐ **NOT** make Payment of Debts in "Bills of Credit".

☐ I admit OR deny that no State, including the insolvent de facto
"STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) **MUST**
☐ **NOT** pass any Bill of Attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing
the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility.

¶51. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that The Council Of State Governments has now been absorbed into such things as the "National Conference Of Commissioners On Uniform State Laws", whose Headquarters Office is located at 676 North Saint Clair Street, Suite 1700, Chicago, Illinois 60611, and "all" being "Members of the Bar", (British Accredited Registry) and *operating under a different "Constitution And By-Laws"*, far distant from the depositories of the Public Records, which has been promulgated, lobbied for, passed adjudicated and ordered the implementation and pretended statutory provisions, to "*help implement international treaties of the United States or where world uniformity would be desirable.*" (See *1990/1991 Reference Book, National Council Of Commissioners On Uniform State Laws*, page 2). This is apparently what Robert Bork meant when he wrote "*we are governed not by law or elected representatives but by an unelected, unrepresentative, unaccountable committee of lawyers applying no will but their own.*" (See: The Tempting of America, Robert H. Bork, page 130) This association has been engaged in activities such as turning "Marriage" (licensed) and "Divorce" into "International Private Law", (licensed to revoke a license) through its International Liaisons, which meet at such places as the Hague Conferences. (See: Handbook Of Commissioners On Uniform State Laws, 1966 Edition, pages 156-157).

¶52. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that The Council Of State Governments has now been absorbed into such things as the “National Conference Of Commissioners On Uniform State Laws”.

☐ I admit OR deny that I am a “member of the Bar”.

☐ I admit OR deny that I’m operating under a different “Constitution And By-Laws”, far distant from the depositories of the public Records coming from the Declaration of Independence, July 4, 1776, and Constitution for the United States of America 1787.

☐ I admit OR deny that “members of the Bar” are operating under a different “Constitution And By-Laws” far distant from the depositories of the public Records coming from the Declaration of Independence, July 4, 1776, and Constitution for the United States of America 1787.

☐ I admit OR deny that I have promulgated, lobbied for, passed adjudicated and ordered the implementation and *pretended statutory provisions*, to “help implement international treaties of the United States or where world uniformity would be desirable.”

☐ I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” spelled in all uppercase letters, is governed not by law or elected representatives but by an *unelected, unrepresentative, unaccountable committee* of Attorneys applying no will but their own.

☐ I admit OR deny that the National Council Of Commissioners On Uniform State Laws has been engaged in activities such as turning “Marriage” (licensed) and “Divorce” (licensed to revoke a license) into “International Private Law”, through its International Liaisons, which meet at such places as the Hague Conferences.

¶53. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the enumerated, specified and distinct Jurisdictions established by the ordained Constitution (1787), Article III, Section 2, and under the Bill of Rights (1791), Amendment VII, were further *hodgepodged* and

1 fundamentally changed in 1982 to include Admiralty jurisdiction, which was once again
2 brought inland.

3
4 ¶54. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit
5 **OR Deny**, then initial second box.

6 I admit OR deny that the enumerated, specified and distinct
7 Jurisdictions established by the ordained Constitution (1787), Article
8 III, Section 2, and under the Bill of Rights (1791), Amendment VII,
9 were further hodgepoded and fundamentally changed in 1982 to
10 include Admiralty jurisdiction, which was brought inland to the
11 insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all
12 uppercase letters).

13 ¶55. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that numerous “New Deal” programs such as the
14 “Agricultural Adjustment Act” (A.A.A.) (See: U.S. Verses Buttlr, 297 U.S. 1), and
15 the “National Recovery Act” (NRA) (See: Schechter Corp. Verses U.S., 295 U.S.
16 495), were struck down as being unconstitutional or otherwise illegal. On February 5,
17 1937, Roosevelt announced to Congress that he was intending to reorganize the
18 judiciary under pretense of excessive costs in litigation and case overload, and
19 included appointing more Justices to the supreme Court. It was a clear Notice to all
20 that decisions MUST conform to the policies of the Executive and Legislative
21 departments or additional subservient and compromised Attorneys / lawyers would be
22 appointed to secure the desired results. The independent judiciary was thereby
23 effectively tainted, and made an extension of the Executive Office i.e. Article I, Section
24 8, Clause 9, “administrative Tribunals” (See also, Executive Order No. 12778,
25 October 23, 1991, Federal Register, Volume 56, No. 207).

26
27 ¶56. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit
28 **OR Deny**, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that the independent judiciary was effectively tainted, and made an extension of the Executive Office.

I admit OR deny that the independent judiciary was made an extension of the Executive Office as inferior tribunal under Article I, Section 8, Clause 9.

I admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” *spelled in all uppcase letters*, is an extension of the Executive Office as an inferior administrative tribunals under Article I, Section 8, Clause 9.

¶57. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on April 25, 1938, the newly packed supreme Court overturned the standing precedents of the prior 150 years concerning “Common Law,” in the federal government.

“THERE IS NO FEDERAL COMMON LAW, and CONGRESS HAS NO POWER TO DECLARE SUBSTANTIVE RULES OF COMMON LAW applicable IN A STATE, WHETHER they be LOCAL or GENERAL in their nature, be they COMMERCIAL LAW OR a part of the LAW OF TORTS.”
(See: Erie Railroad Co. verses Tompkins, 304 U.S. 64, 82 Limited Edition 1188. 1938).

¶58. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” *spelled in all uppcase letters*, is a federal legislative tribunal and there is no federal common law under the SUBSTANTIVE RULES OF COMMON LAW applicable in the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppcase letters).

I admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” *spelled in all uppcase letters*, is under the SUBSTANTIVE RULES OF COMMON LAW applicable in the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppcase letters).

I admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” *spelled in all uppcase letters*, is under the SUBSTANTIVE RULES OF COMMON LAW applicable IN the de jure “State of Arizona” (spelled in uppcase and lowercase letters) **WHETHER** they be

1 **LOCAL or GENERAL in their nature, be they COMMERCIAL**
2 **LAW OR a part of the LAW OF TORTS.**

3 ☐ **I admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” *spelled in all***
4 ***uppercase letters*, DOES NOT RECOGNIZE THE COMMON LAW**
5 **and CONGRESS HAS NO POWER TO DECLARE SUBSTANTIVE**
6 **RULES OF COMMON LAW applicable IN A STATE, WHETHER**
7 **they be LOCAL or GENERAL in their nature, be they**
8 **COMMERCIAL LAW OR a part of the LAW OF TORTS.**

9 ☐ **I admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” *spelled in all***
10 ***uppercase letters*, by POWER of the de jure “State of Arizona”**
11 **DECLARES SUBSTANTIVE RULES OF COMMON LAW**
12 **applicable IN this State, WHETHER they be LOCAL or GENERAL**
13 **in their nature, be they COMMERCIAL LAW OR a part of the LAW**
14 **OF TORTS.**

15 ¶59. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Common Law is the fountain source of
16 Substantive and Remedial Rights, if not our very Liberties. (See: *Stephen, A Treatise*
17 *On the Principles Of Pleading, Introduction*, page 23; Hemmingway, *History Of*
18 *Common Law Pleading As Evidence Of The Growth Of Individual Liberty And*
19 *Power Of The Courts*, 5 *Alabama Law Journal* 1; *Swift verses Tyson*, 16 Peters 1, 10
20 limited Edition 865; *Constitution*, Article III, Section 2, Amendments VII, IX and X.

21 ¶60. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit**
22 **OR Deny**, then initial second box.

23 ☐ **I admit OR deny that the Common Law is the fountain source of**
24 ☐ **Substantive and Remedial Rights, if not our very Liberties.**

25 ¶61. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the members and association of the Bar
26 thereafter formed committees, granted themselves special privileges, immunities and
27 franchises, and held meetings concerning the de facto Administrative and Quasi-judicial
28 Tribunal procedures, and further, formed and erected a de facto legislative body, far

distant from the depositories of our public records, to amend laws *“to conform to a trend of judicial decisions or to accomplish similar objectives”*, including hodgepodging the jurisdictions of Law and Equity together, which is known today as *“One Form Of Action.”* (See: *Constitution And By Laws*, Article 3, Section 3.3(c), 1990-91 Reference Book. see also, **Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure Rule 2**).

¶62. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit **OR Deny**, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that the members and association of the Bar formed committees, granted themselves special privileges, immunities and franchises.

I admit OR deny that the members and association of the Bar formed committees and held meetings concerning the de facto Administrative and Quasi-judicial Tribunal procedures.

I admit OR deny that the members and association of the Bar formed and erected a de facto legislative body, far distant from the depositories of our public records, to amend laws *“to conform to a trend of judicial decisions or to accomplish similar objectives”*, including *hodgepodging* the jurisdictions of Law and Equity together, which is known today as *“One Form Of Action.”*

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW

ADMIRALTY JURISDICTION WAS BROUGHT INLAND IN 1982 .

¶63. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the enumerated, specified and distinct Jurisdictions established by the ordained Constitution (1787), Article III, Section 2, and under the Bill of Rights (1791), Amendment VII, were further *hodgepodged* and fundamentally changed in 1982 to *include Admiralty jurisdiction*, which was once again brought inland.

¶64. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit **OR Deny**, then initial second box.

1
2 ☐ I admit OR deny that the enumerated, specified and distinct
3 Jurisdictions established by the ordained Constitution (1787), Article
4 III, Section 2, and under the Bill of Rights (1791), Amendment VII,
5 were further hodgepodged and fundamentally changed in 1982 to
6 include Admiralty jurisdiction, which was brought inland to the
7 insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all
8 uppercase letters).
9 ☐

10 ¶65. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the distinctions between civil actions and suits
11 in admiralty were abolished. (See: Federal Rules Of CIVIL Procedure, 1982 Edition,
12 page 17, also see, Declaration Of Resolves Of The First Continental Congress; October
13 14, 1774, Declaration Of Cause And Necessity Of Taking Up Arms; July 6, 1775,
14 Declaration Of Independence; July 4, 1776, *Bennet verses Butterworth*, 52 U.S. 669);

15 *"This is the **FUNDAMENTAL CHANGE** necessary to effect unification of
16 Civil and ADMIRALTY PROCEDURE. Just as the 1938 Rules
17 ABOLISHED THE DISTINCTION between actions "At Law" and suits in
18 "Equity", this **CHANGE WOULD ABOLISH THE DISTINCTION**
19 between CIVIL actions and suits in ADMIRALTY."*

20 ¶66. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit
21 OR Deny, then initial second box.

22 ☐ I admit OR deny that Admiralty and Civil procedure was
23 CONSOLIDATED, hodgepodged and fundamentally changed and
24 brought inland to the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™"
25 (spelled in all uppercase letters)
26 ☐

27 ¶67. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that this quasi-judicial dicta and usurpation is but
28 a repeat of the historical mischief and in contravention of the Law of the Land and
Forum as unambiguously explained by Alexander Hamilton in *Federalist Papers No.*
83, to wit:

*"...The judicial authority of the federal judicature is declared by the
Constitution to comprehend certain cases particularly specified. The
expression of those cases marks the precise limits beyond which the*

federal courts cannot extend their jurisdiction, because the objects of their cognizance being enumerated, the specification would be nugatory if it did not exclude all ideas of more extensive power.”

¶68. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit **OR** Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that Admiralty and Civil procedure is **CONSOLIDATED**, and **hodgepodged** and **fundamentally changed** and brought inland to the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) which is **usurpation** and in **contravention** of the law of the land and forum.

¶69. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a Star Chamber is a court, which formerly had great jurisdiction and power, but which was abolished on account of its **usurpations** and great unpopularity. It consisted of several of the lords, spiritual and temporal, being privy counselors, together with two judges of the courts of common law, without the intervention of a jury. Their legal jurisdiction extended over riots, perjuries, misbehavior of public officers, and other great misdemeanors. The judges afterwards **assumed** powers, and stretched those they possessed to the utmost bounds of legality. The jurisdiction of the court was **illegally** extended to such a degree (especially in punishing disobedience to **arbitrary proclamations laws** that were not **promulgated**) that it became **odious** to the nation, and was **abolished**.

¶70. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit **OR** Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I operate a Star Chamber Criminal equity court that does not recognize the Common Law of “at law” and “equity” proceedings.

☐

I admit OR deny that Admiralty and Civil procedure was CONSOLIDATED into Star Chamber proceedings, hodgepodged, and fundamentally changed and brought inland to the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” “YAVAPAI COUNTY™” and the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (both spelled in all uppercase letters).

☐

¶71. I, GLEN M. ASAY, ATTORNEY / AGENT FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI: Write in first box whether YOU Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐

I, GLEN M. ASAY, ATTORNEY FOR THE “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) is not an “independent” court that it is directed, controlled and financed by the de jure “State of Arizona” (spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters).

☐☐

I, GLEN M. ASAY, ATTORNEY FOR THE “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) its officers and employees IN NO SENSE ARE AGENTS OR EMPLOYEES OR OFFICERS OF THE “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (SPELLED IN ALL UPPERCASE LETTERS).

☐☐

I, GLEN M. ASAY, ATTORNEY FOR THE “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) COURT™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) it’s officers and employees WERE APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR AND CONFIRMED BY THE SENATE.

☐☐

I, GLEN M. ASAY, ATTORNEY FOR THE “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) judges WERE APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR AND CONFIRMED BY THE SENATE.

☐☐

I, GLEN M. ASAY, ATTORNEY FOR THE “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) judges are PAID FROM THE de jure state of Arizona TREASURY.

☐

☐

I, GLEN M. ASAY, ATTORNEY FOR THE “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) judges

☐

IN TRYING CASES HE/SHE IS A MEMBER OF THE INDEPENDENT JUDICIARY AND IS NOT UNDER THE CONTROL OF THE State of Arizona.

☐

I, GLEN M. ASAY, ATTORNEY FOR THE “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) judges

☐

the courts officers and employees are not within the contemplation of the State of Arizona’s Tort Claims Act.

¶72. I, GLEN M. ASAY, ATTORNEY / AGENT FOR THE “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*): Write in first box whether **YOU Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐

I, GLEN M. ASAY, ATTORNEY FOR THE “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) is an “independent” court not directed, controlled nor financed by the State of Arizona.

☐☐

I, GLEN M. ASAY, ATTORNEY FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) admit OR deny that the SUPERIOR COURT™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) its officers and employees fall within the “discretionary function or duty” as a State of Arizona agency or employee.

☐☐

I, GLEN M. ASAY, ATTORNEY FOR THE “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) its officers and employees fall within the “discretionary function or duty” as a State of Arizona agency or employees. That the State of Arizona accepts tort liability and claims against the State of Arizona as a result of its agents or agency, the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) it’s officers and employees actions.

☐

¶73. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that I am an EMPLOYEE OF THE “FEDERAL GOVERNMENT™” (SPELLED IN ALL UPPERCASE LETTERS) WHILE ACTING WITHIN THE SCOPE OF MY OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT FOR THE “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*).

☐ I admit OR deny that I am an EMPLOYEE OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA GOVERNMENT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) WHILE ACTING WITHIN THE SCOPE OF MY OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT FOR THE “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*).

☐ I admit OR deny that I am an EMPLOYEE OF “YAVAPAI COUNTY™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*), WHILE ACTING WITHIN THE SCOPE OF MY OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT FOR THE “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*).

¶74. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the “office”, or “employment” or “agency” that YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY hold is NOT governmental in nature.

¶75. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐ I ADMIT OR DENY THAT I AM NOT AN OFFICER OR AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE “UNITED STATES™” THE “STATE OF ARIZONA™” NOR “YAVAPAI COUNTY™” (*BOTH SPELLED IN ALL UPPERCASE LETTERS*).

¶76. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the “office”, or “employment” or “agency” that YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY hold are NOT governmental in nature.

¶77. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

1
2 I ADMIT OR DENY THAT I AM NOT AN OFFICER OR AGENT OR
3 EMPLOYEE OF THE “UNITED STATES™” (*SPELLED IN ALL
UPPERCASE LETTERS*).

4 ¶78. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the “office”, or “employment” or “agency”
5 that YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY hold on trying cases, is a
6 membership in an independent court and not governmental in nature, NOT under the
7 control of the United States, NOR the state of Arizona, NOR “YAVAPAI
8 COUNTY™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*).

9
10 ¶79. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit
11 OR Deny, then initial second box.

12 I admit OR deny that the State of Arizona is not liable and is not
13 suable under the Tort Claims Act of judicial decisions of a judge or
14 commissioner of the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all
uppercase letters*).

15 I admit OR deny that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all*
16 *uppercase letters*) judges are members of an independent judiciary
17 and not under the direction, control nor financing of the “UNITED
STATES™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*).

18 I admit OR deny that I am a member of an independent judiciary
19 and not under the direction, control nor financing of the “STATE OF
20 ARIZONA™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*).

21 I ADMIT OR DENY THAT I AM A MEMBER OF AN INDEPENDENT
22 JUDICIARY AND NOT UNDER THE DIRECTION, CONTROL NOR FINANCING
OF “YAVAPAI COUNTY™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*).

23 ¶80. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that there are different entities all called the
24 “United States”. First, is the three (3) branch Republic called the “United States” with
25 limits on what the Executive, Legislative (Congress) and Judicial can do. The other is
26 the “United States”, a “Legislative Democracy”, with NO limits on what it can do. In
27

fact, it does what is specifically prohibited from being done by the Constitution: A republic being that form of government, in which the administration of affairs is limited by the citizens. A democracy is run by a system of representation, with NO limits by the citizens.

¶81. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the “Republic United States”, with limits, has “judicial courts” named or described and expressly protected by the Constitution *Article III, Sections 1 and 2 of the Constitution*. “Section 1. The judicial Power of the United States, shall be vested in one supreme Court, and in such *inferior Courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish*. The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold their Offices *during good Behavior*, and shall, at stated Times, receive for their Services, a Compensation, which shall NOT be diminished during their Continuance in Office. Section 2. The judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United States, and Treaties made, or which shall be made, under their Authority;—to all Cases affecting Ambassadors, other public Ministers and Consuls;—to all Cases of admiralty and maritime Jurisdiction;—to Controversies to which the United States shall be a Party;—to Controversies between two or more States;— between a State and Citizens of another State,—between Citizens of different States,—between Citizens of the same State claiming Lands under Grants of different States, and between a State, or the Citizens thereof, and foreign States, Citizens or Subjects.

¶82. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the “Legislative Democracy United States”, with no limits, creates “legislative tribunals” by authority of *Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17 of the Constitution*. “Clause 17. To exercise exclusive Legislation in all Cases whatsoever, over such District (not exceeding ten Miles square) as may, by Cession of particular States, and the Acceptance of Congress, become the Seat of Government of the United States, and to exercise like Authority over all Places purchased by the Consent of the Legislature of the State in which the Same shall be, for the Erection of

1 Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, Dock-Yards, and **other needful** Buildings;—And...”

2 ¶83. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Republican United States’ judicial courts
3 jurisdiction is **limited** by Article III, Section 2 of the Constitution.

4 **Article III, Section 2.** “*The judicial Power shall extend **to all Cases**, in*
5 *Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United*
6 *States, and Treaties made or which shall be made, under their Authority; **to***
7 ***all Cases** affecting Ambassadors, other public ministers and Consuls; to **all***
8 ***Cases** of admiralty and maritime Jurisdiction; to Controversies between*
9 *two or more States; between Citizens of different States; between Citizens*
10 *of the same State claiming Lands under Grants of different States, and*
11 *between a State, or the Citizens thereof, and foreign States, Citizens or*
12 *Subjects. In all Cases affecting Ambassadors, other public Ministers and*
13 *Consuls, **and those in which a State shall be a Party, the supreme Court***
14 ***shall have original Jurisdiction.** In all the other Cases before mentioned,*
15 *the supreme Court shall have appellate Jurisdiction, both as to Law and*
16 *Fact, with such, Exceptions, and under such Regulations as the Congress*
17 *shall make.”*

18 ¶84. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the **second** “United States” is a (1) branch
19 Legislative Democracy, in which Congress has exclusive legislation in all cases for the
20 Legislative Democracy, with no limit on what Congress could do exercising exclusive
21 legislation, and jurisdiction in the **legislative tribunals** and it’s government under
22 **Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17 of the Constitution.**

23 **Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17:** “*To exercise exclusive Legislation in all*
24 *Cases **whatsoever**, over such District (not exceeding ten Miles square) as*
25 *may, by Cession of particular States, and the Acceptance of Congress,*
26 *become the Seat of the Government of the United States, and to exercise*
27 *like authority over all Places purchased by the Consent of the Legislature*
28 *of the State in which the Same shall be, for the Erection of Forts,*
Magazines, Arsenals, Dock-Yards, and other needful Buildings:”

¶85. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY **Admit**
OR Deny, then initial second box.

I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THERE ARE DIFFERENT ENTITIES CALLED
THE “UNITED STATES”.

☐ I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THERE is a (3) branch Republic, with limits, which is the Union of the fifty (50) States under the Constitution that created a Union of the States called the "United States".

☐ I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THERE IS A SECOND "UNITED STATES" a (1) branch Legislative Democracy, in which Congress has exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever for the Legislative Democracy, with no limit on what Congress could do exercising exclusive legislation, the government of Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17 of the Constitution.

¶86. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Constitution MANDATES four (4) distinct and specified Judicial Jurisdictions according to Article III Section 2 for the Entity called the "United States" which was the three (3) branch Republic, to wit, "Cases In LAW", "Equity", "Admiralty" and "Vice Admiralty" also called Maritime" and are clearly, unambiguously and undeniably set forth. *If YOU had a case under this Constitution, or the Laws of the United States, or Treaties made or which shall be made, under their authority "in Law", "Equity", "Admiralty" and "Vice Admiralty" also called Maritime" it belongs in an Article III, Section 2, constitutional judicial power court With An Article III Section 2 judicial powered judge of the Republic.*

"Section 2. The judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United States, and Treaties made or which shall be made, under their Authority; to all Cases affecting Ambassadors, other public ministers and Consuls; to all Cases of admiralty and maritime Jurisdiction; to Controversies between two or more States; between Citizens of different States; between Citizens of the same State claiming Lands under Grants of different States, and between a State, or the Citizens thereof, and foreign States, Citizens or Subjects. In all Cases affecting Ambassadors, other public Ministers and Consuls, and those in which a State shall be a Party, the supreme Court shall have original Jurisdiction. In all the other Cases before mentioned, the supreme Court shall have appellate Jurisdiction, both as to Law and Fact, with such, Exceptions, and under such Regulations as the Congress shall make."

¶87. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐ I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE CONSTITUTION MANDATES four (4) distinct and specified Judicial Jurisdictions; Cases In LAW, Equity, Admiralty and Vice Admiralty also called Maritime according to Article III Section 2 for the Entity called the “United States” which is the three (3) branch Republic.

☐ I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) JUDGES ARE ARTICLE III SECTION 2 JUDGES WITH JUDICIAL JURISDICTION OVER THE CONSTITUTION WHICH MANDATED four (4) distinct and specified Jurisdictions; Cases In LAW, Equity, Admiralty and Vice Admiralty also called Maritime according to Article III Section 2 for the Entity called the “United States” which is the three (3) branch Republic.

☐ I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) IS AN ARTICLE III SECTION 2 JUDICIAL COURT WITH JURISDICTION OVER THE CONSTITUTIONAL MANDATED four (4) distinct and specified Jurisdictions; Cases In LAW, Equity, Admiralty and Vice Admiralty also called Maritime according to Article III Section 2 for the Entity called the “United States” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*), which is the three (3) branch Republic.

¶88. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that there are different Entities called the “United States”. The Constitution created a "Nation" within a "Nation", a “Government” within a “Government”. First, the three (3) branch Republic, with limits, was the Union of the fifty (50) States under the Constitution that created a Union of the States that is called the “United States”. Second, the “United States”, a (1) branch Legislative Democracy, in which Congress has exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever for a Legislative Democracy, with no limit on what Congress could do exercising exclusive legislation, the government of Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17 of the Constitution also called the “United States”.

Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17: “...Congress shall have power:” Clause 17: “To constitute [to create and establish] tribunals inferior to the supreme court;”

¶89. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit **OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐ I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE CONSTITUTION MANDATES Congress has exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever to “To constitute tribunals *inferior* to the supreme court:” according to Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17 for a second Entity called the “United States”, which is a (1) branch Legislative Democracy.

☐ I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE “SUPERIOR COURT™” *spelled in all uppercase letters*, JUDGES ARE Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17 commissioner called JUDGE WITH JURISDICTION OVER THE CONSTITUTIONAL legislative tribunals, which Congress has exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever for a second Entity called the “United States” (*spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters*), which is a (1) branch Legislative Democracy.

☐ I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*), IS AN ARTICLE 1 SECTION 8 CLAUSE 17 LEGISLATIVE TRIBUNAL WITH JURISDICTION OVER THE CONSTITUTIONAL MANDATES, WHICH CONGRESS HAS EXCLUSIVE LEGISLATION IN ALL CASES WHATSOEVER FOR A SECOND ENTITY CALLED THE “UNITED STATES™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*), WHICH IS A (1) BRANCH LEGISLATIVE DEMOCRACY.

¶90. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Michael Willis Chase MUST know the jurisdiction of the court. For the record, is this court under Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17 or Article III Section 2?

¶91. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit **OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐ I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE “YAVAPAI SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) IS A LEGISLATIVE TRIBUNAL UNDER Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17 for the entity called the “UNITED STATES™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*), which is a (1) branch Legislative Democracy.

I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE “YAVAPAI SUPERIOR COURT™” *(spelled in all uppercase letters)*, JUDGES ARE Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17 commissioner called JUDGES WITH JURISDICTION OVER THE Legislative Democracy tribunals, which Congress has exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever for a second Entity called the “UNITED STATES™” *(spelled in all uppercase letters)*, which is a (1) branch Legislative Democracy.

I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE “YAVAPAI SUPERIOR COURT™” *(spelled in all uppercase letters)* IS A JUDICIAL COURT UNDER Article III Section 2 for the entity called the “UNITED STATES™” *(spelled in all uppercase letters)*, which is a (3) three branch Republic.

I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE “YAVAPAI SUPERIOR COURT™” *(spelled in all uppercase letters)* JUDGES ARE ARTICLE III SECTION 2 JUDGES WITH JURISDICTION OVER THE JUDICIAL COURTS FOR THE ENTITY CALLED THE “UNITED STATES™” *(spelled in all uppercase letters)*, WHICH IS THE (3) THREE BRANCH REPUBLIC.

¶92. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the SUPERIOR COURT™ *(spelled in all uppercase letters)* is under the Constitution, or the Laws of the United States, or Treaties made or, which shall be made, under their authority “in Law” or “Equity”, it belongs in an Article III, Section 2, constitutional judicial power court. Not in an Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17 administrative legislative tribunal.

¶93. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE “SUPERIOR COURT™” *(spelled in all uppercase letters)* is under the Constitution, or the Laws of the United States, or Treaties made or which shall be made, under their authority “in Law” that the case belongs in an Article III, Section 2, constitutional judicial power court.

I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE “SUPERIOR COURT™” *(spelled in all uppercase letters)* IS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION, OR THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, OR TREATIES MADE OR WHICH SHALL BE MADE, UNDER THEIR AUTHORITY IN “EQUITY”, THAT THE CASE BELONGS IN AN ARTICLE III, SECTION 2, CONSTITUTIONAL JUDICIAL POWER COURT.

¶94. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) is under the de jure Constitution, or the Laws of the de jure United States, or Treaties made or, which shall be made, under their authority “*in Admiralty*”, it belongs in an Article III, Section 2, constitutional judicial federal power court, NOT in an Article 1 Section 8 Clause 17 *administrative legislative tribunal*. *State courts have no admiralty nor vice admiralty jurisdiction that’s federal jurisdiction only. State courts have at law and equity jurisdictions only.*

¶95. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit **OR Deny**, then initial second box.

I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) is under the de jure Constitution, or the de jure Laws of the United States, or Treaties made or which shall be made, under their authority “*in Admiralty*” jurisdiction, that the case belongs in an Article III, Section 2, constitutional judicial power court.

¶96. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) is under the de jure Constitution, or the de jure Laws of the United States, or Treaties made or, which shall be made, under their authority “*in Vice Admiralty*”, which is maritime jurisdiction, it belongs in an Article III, Section 2, constitutional judicial power court. Not in an Article 1, Section 8 Clause 17 *administrative legislative tribunal*. *State courts have no admiralty nor vice admiralty jurisdiction that’s federal jurisdiction only. State courts have at law and equity jurisdictions only.*

¶97. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit **OR Deny**, then initial second box.

1 ☐ I ADMIT OR DENY THAT THE “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all*
2 *uppercase letters*) is under the de jure Constitution, or the de jure
3 Laws of the United States, or Treaties made or which shall be made,
4 ☐ under their authority “*in Admiralty*” jurisdiction, that the case
5 belongs in an Article III, Section 2, constitutional judicial power
6 court.

7 ¶98. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in the de jure “State of Arizona” (*spelled in*
8 *uppercase and lowercase letters*) is the style of all process [*that’s all without exception*]
9 in state courts. “The style of process shall be “The State of Arizona,” and all
10 prosecutions [*that’s all without exception*] shall be conducted in the name of the state
11 and by its authority.” §25. Style of process; conduct of prosecutions in name of
12 state. Section 25.

13 ¶99. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit
14 OR Deny, then initial second box.

15 ☐ I ADMIT OR DENY THAT in de jure The State of Arizona according to
16 “§25. Style of process; conduct of prosecutions in name of state.
17 Section 25. The style of all process shall be “The State of Arizona”
18 and prosecutions shall be conducted on the name and by its
19 authority.”

20 ☐ I ADMIT OR DENY that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all*
21 *uppercase letters*) is not in the character of the de jure state of
22 Arizona state court because the style of all process [*that’s all without*
23 *exception*] in state courts, shall be “The State of Arizona,” and all
24 prosecutions [*that’s all without exception*] shall be carried on in the
25 name and by the authority of “The State of Arizona” (*spelled in*
26 *uppercase and lowercase letters*) and shall conclude “against the peace
27 and dignity of the same.”

28 ¶100. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all*
29 *uppercase letters*) WARRANTS are not styled in the name and by the de jure authority
30 of de jure “State of Arizona” (*spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters*). The
31 “SUPERIOR COURT™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) WARRANTS are carried

on in the name and by the authority of another principal the de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (*spelled in all uppercase letters*). The corporation named "Bank of America" is private. It's not directed, controlled and financed by the Federal government, ***NOR*** is ***the de facto*** "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) Superior Court a ***de jure*** "State of Arizona" (spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters) court.

¶101. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐

I ADMIT OR DENY that the "SUPERIOR COURT™" (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) WARRANTS are not in the character of the de jure State of Arizona state court because the style of **all** process [*that's all without exception including warrants*] in state courts, shall be "The State of Arizona" and **all** prosecutions [*that's all without exception*] shall be carried on in the name and by the authority of "The State of Arizona" and shall conclude "against the peace and dignity of the same." The "SUPERIOR COURT™" (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) WARRANTS are styled the "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) is not the de jure "The State of Arizona". Representing the de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) to be "The State of Arizona" (spelled in uppercase and lowercase) is ***FRAUD***.

☐

¶102. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the United States thereafter entered the second World War, during which time the "League of Nations" was re-instituted under pretense of the "United Nations" (June 26, 1945) (See: **22 U.S.C.A. 287** et. seq.), and the "Bank For International Settlements" (BIS) reinstated under pretense of the "Bretton Woods Agreement" (December 27, 1945) (See: **United States Code**, 60 Statutes 1401, (See also: **22 U.S.C.A. 286** et. seq.) with the creation of the "International Monetary Fund" (The Fund) and the "International Bank For Reconstruction And Development" (The Bank). (See also: A New World Order,

1 Essays On Restructuring The United Nations, page 88, World Federalist
2 Association, 418 7th Street Southeast, Washington, D.C., 20003).

3
4 ¶103. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY
5 Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

6 I admit OR deny that the United Nations” (June 26, 1945) (See: 22
7 U.S.C.A. 287 et. seq.) is a foreign international corporation.

8 I admit OR deny that the “Bank For International Settlements” (BIS)
9 was reinstated under pretense of the “Bretton Woods Agreement”
10 (December 27, 1945) by congress and is a foreign international
11 corporation.

12 I admit OR deny that congress under United States Code, 60 Statutes
13 1401, (See also: 22 U.S.C.A. 286 et. seq.) created the “International
14 Monetary Fund” (The Fund) which is a foreign international
15 corporation.

16 I admit OR deny that congress through United States Code, 60
17 Statutes 1401, (See also: 22 U.S.C.A. 286 et. seq.) created the
18 “International Bank For Reconstruction And Development” (The
19 Bank) which is a foreign international corporation.

20 ¶104. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that these alien/expatriate Officers, Employees,
21 Servants, Slaves, Representatives and Agents of the **International Bank For**
22 **Reconstruction And Development** (“The Bank”) (See: **United States Code**, 60
23 **Statutes 1401**, Article IX, Section 8(ii), at page 1414, Letter, Lowell Flander,
24 **President, U.N. Staff Union, February 18, 1991, Insight Magazine**), knew that
25 depreciation of their “Bills of Credit” had and would occur, and that through and under
26 pretense and colors of inter-agency International Corporate character, they intended to
27 “realize” a benefit, profit, gain and title to themselves from the inflation/depreciation
28 and fluctuation of their emissions and utterance of impaired rehypothecated debt credit

paper (See: United States Code, 60 Statute 1456, Article VI, 5(b) & (c), page 1456),
to wit:

“(b) The Bank **may suspend permanently** its operations in respect of **new loans and guarantees** by vote of a majority of the Governors, exercising a majority of the total voting power. After such suspension of operations the Bank shall cease all activities, except those incident to the orderly realization, conservation, and preservation of its assets and settlement of its obligations.

(c) The liability of all members for uncalled subscriptions to the capital stock of the Bank and in respect of the depreciation of their currencies shall continue until all claims, shall have been discharged.” (See also: 22 United States Code Annotated 286e)

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW

STATE LEGISLATIVE BODIES RELINQUISH DELEGATED POWERS AND AUTHORITY TO UNITED NATIONS ORGANIZATIONS

¶105. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in 1947, numerous **State legislative bodies**, and **the Congress began** presenting and promoting memorials and resolutions to relinquish delegated powers and authority and establish and implement

“a real international organization with power to enact, administer, interpret, and enforce laws.” (See: Congressional Record - Senate, July 9, 1947, pages 8506-8517, at page 8507).

¶106. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on or about November 21, 1947, the United Nations Organizations usurped, and erected their “seat” of Organization in the City of New York, within the State of New York, (See: Agreement Between The Headquarters District Of The United Nations, 61 Statute 3416, Article II, Section 2, Congressional Record, Senate, December 14, 1967, Mr. Thurmond).

¶107. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the United Nations Organizations claimed sole, exclusive and sovereign control over its territory, acts and transactions, and that all courts would take (*silent*) judicial notice of the same and the regulations enacted by the United Nations, pursuant to Article III, Sections 7(d) 8 & 9(a), to wit:

1 *“Section 7(d). The federal, state and local courts of the United States,*
2 *when dealing with cases arising out of or relating to acts done or*
3 *transactions taking place in the headquarters district, shall take into*
4 *account the regulations enacted by the United Nations under Section 8.*

5 *“Section 8. The United Nations shall have the power to make regulations,*
6 *operative within the headquarters district, for the purposes of establishing*
7 *therein conditions in all respects necessary for the full execution of its*
8 *functions. No federal, state or local law or regulation of the United States*
9 *which is inconsistent with a regulation of the United Nations authorized*
10 *by this section, shall, to the extent of such inconsistency, be applicable*
11 *within the headquarters district.”*

12 *“Section 9(a). The headquarters district shall be inviolable. Federal,*
13 *state or local officers or officials of the United States, whether*
14 *administrative, judicial, military or police, shall NOT enter the*
15 *headquarters district to perform any official duties therein except with the*
16 *consent of and under conditions agreed to by the Secretary-General. The*
17 *service of legal process, including the seizure of private property, may*
18 *take place within the headquarters district only with consent of and under*
19 *conditions approved by the Secretary-General.”*

20 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**

21 **International Organization, International Law,** 22 **International Tribunals Set Up.**

23 ¶108. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the stage was set for establishing the “goal of
24 an effective international organization, the objective of an international law that
25 will be interpreted by judicial tribunals of international character, and enforced by
26 competent authorities...” (See: Hearings Before A Subcommittee Of The Senate
27 Foreign Relations Committee, 81st Congress, 2nd Session, February 3, 1950, page 88.

28 ¶109. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in the first instant, the acts of the United
Nations Organizations were and are in clear CONTRAVENTION to and in FRAUD
of the ordained and established Constitution For the United States of America
(1787), Article IV, Section 3, Article IV, Section 4, and Article VI, Clause 2, to wit:

 “ARTICLE IV, SECTION 3. New States may be admitted by the Congress
into this Union; but no new State shall be formed or erected within the
Jurisdiction of any other State; nor any State be formed by the Jurisdiction

1 of two or more States, or Parts of States, without the Consent of the
2 Legislatures of the States concerned as well as of Congress.

3 The Congress shall have Power to dispose of and make all needful Rules
4 and Regulations respecting the Territory or other property belonging to the
5 United States; and nothing in this Constitution shall be construed as to
6 Prejudice any Claims of the United States, or of any particular State.

7 ARTICLE IV, SECTION 4. The United States shall guarantee to every
8 State in this Union a Republican Form of Government, and shall protect
9 each of them against invasion; and on Application of the Legislature, or the
10 Executive (when the Legislature cannot be convened) against domestic
11 Violence.

12 ARTICLE VI, CLAUSE 2. This Constitution, and the Laws of the United
13 States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or
14 which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the
15 supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound
16 thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary
17 notwithstanding.”

18 ¶110. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Article 2, Section 7, of the Charter of the
19 “UNITED NATIONS™”, further declared that:

20 “7. Nothing contained in the **present Charter** shall authorize the United
21 Nations to intervene in matters which are essentially **within the domestic**
22 **jurisdiction** of any state or shall require the Members to submit such
23 matters to settlement under the present Charter; but this principle shall not
24 prejudice the application of enforcement measures under Chapter VII.”

25 ¶111. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that upon the principles of Reason/Law that once
26 a **FRAUD ALWAYS** a **FRAUD**, and **USURPATION** affords no one **PROTECTION**,
27 the agreements etc., are **void** ab initio, as a matter of Fact and Law of the Land and
28 Forum. (See: **Commentaries On The Constitution**, Joseph Story Section 1502, page
553. **Federalist Papers No. 33 & 75**).

“As to **corruption** ... who can think it probable that the President and two
thirds of the Senate will ever be capable of such unworthy conduct. The
idea is too gross and too insidious to be entertained. But in such case, if it

1 *should ever happen, the treaty so obtained from us would, like all other*
2 *fraudulent contracts, be null and void by the law of nations.”* (See:
3 **Federalist Papers No. 61**, Jay).

4 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**
5 **GOLD WAS REMOVED FROM THE MONETARY SYSTEMS**

6 ¶112. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Gold having been previously removed
7 from the monetary system and knowing that the United States was in serious financial
8 condition from the era of Roosevelt’s “New Deal” (from the bottom of the deck)
9 socialist policies and programs, and having previously discussed removing Silver from
10 the de jure monetary system in the same manner as the Gold (See: **The Public Papers**
11 **And Addresses Of Franklin D. Roosevelt, page 216, White House Statement**
12 **Following a Conference on Silver Policy, May 8, 1934).**

13 ¶113. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Gold taken and used from the U.S.
14 Treasury to purchase voting share **subscription stocks in alien corporations and**
15 **organizations** such as “The Bank” and “The Fund” (See: **22 United States Code**
16 **Annotated 286e**),

17 ¶114. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Congress lessened the penalty for
18 debasement of coins; alteration of official scales; or embezzlement of precious metals,
19 by **Act of Congress, June 25, 1948**, Chapter 645, 62 Statute 700, which opened the
20 door to further stealing, fraud, embezzlement and other corrupt acts.

21 ¶115. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **The United States** as a corporate body
22 politic (artificial), came out of World War II in worse economic shape than when it
23 entered, and in **1950 declared Bankruptcy and “Reorganization.”** The Reorganization
24 is located in Title 5 of United States Codes Annotated.

25 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**
26 **SECRETARY OF TREASURY, OF THE FUND AND THE BANK,**
27 **IS THE “RECEIVER”**

¶116. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the “Explanation” at the beginning of 5 United States Code Annotated [1992] is most informative reading. The “Secretary of Treasury” a/k/a alien corporate Governor of The Fund and The Bank (22 United States Code Annotated 286a) was appointed as the “Receiver” in Bankruptcy, pursuant to Reorganization Plan No. 26, 5 United States Code Annotated 903 [as of 1992], to wit:

“Section 1. Transfer of functions to the Secretary.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b) of this section, and subject to the provisions of subsection (c) of this section, there are hereby transferred to the Secretary of Treasury all functions of all other officers of the Department of Treasury and all functions of all agencies and employees of such Department.” (See also: **Public Law 94-564**, **Legislative History**, pages 5942, 5967).

¶117. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that cognizance will be taken of the fact and law that the Secretary of Treasury a/k/a alien corporate Governor of The Fund and The Bank is not compensated for his services by the United States, pursuant to 22 United States Code Annotated 286a (d) (1), to wit:

“(d) (1) No person shall be entitled to receive any salary or other compensation from the United States for services as Governor, executive director, councilor, alternate, or associate.” (See: **Public Law 94-564**, **90 Statute 2660**, **Legislative History**, page 5942)/

¶118. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, which includes the members of The Council, 22 United States Code Annotated 286b.

“(a) In order to coordinate the policies and operations of the representatives of the United States on The Fund and The Bank and of all agencies of Government which make or participate in making foreign loans or which engage in foreign financial, exchange or monetary transactions, there is hereby established the National Advisory Council on International Monetary and Financial Problems (hereinafter referred to as the “Council”), consisting of the Secretary of Treasury, as Chairman, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Commerce, the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the President of the

1 *Export-Import Bank of the United States, and during such period as the*
2 *Foreign Operations Administration shall continue to exist, the Director of*
3 *the Foreign Operations Administration.”*

4 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**
5 **The United States de jure Surrendered**
6 **and Relinquished Sovereignty.**

7 ¶119. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that discussions were begun in 1950 in the Senate
8 Foreign Relations Committee as to the relinquishment of the sovereignty of the de jure
9 United States of America and the several Republican States of the Union to the United
10 Nations and its Organizations, and ratification of a World Constitution promoted by
11 Rexford Tugwell, The World Federalist Association, etc. Numerous individuals were
12 questioned during the Senate Hearings concerning the intents and purposes of the
13 United Nations and its Organizations. James P. Warburg testified on February 17, 1950
14 that:

15 *“We shall have world government, whether or not we like it. The*
16 *question is only whether world government will be achieved by consent or*
17 *by conquest.”* (See: Senate Hearings February 17, 1950, page 494.)

18 ¶120. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that these discussions not only included surrender
19 and relinquishment of sovereignty but extending the authority to such foreign power(s)
20 to levy and collect taxes for its general welfare and common defense (See: **Hearing**
21 **Before A Subcommittee Of The Committee On Foreign Relations, United States**
22 **Congress, Eighty-First Congress, Second Session, On Resolutions Relative To**
23 **Revision Of The “UNITED NATIONS™” Charter, Atlantic Union, World**
24 **Federation, etc., February 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 13, 15,17, and 20, 1950, Thursday, February**
25 **9, 1950, pages 317-325, World Constitution, in contravention to the Law of the**
26 **Land and Forum.** (See: **Public Law 85-766, 72 Statute 884, Chapter XVI, Section**
27 **1602, Congressional Record, November 7, 1969, John Rarick) Arguendo, *unlawful,***
28 ***unconstitutional, usurpation, lack of delegated authority, conflict of law, conflict of***

1 interest, etc., numerous State legislatures had condoned, lend credence and joined the
2 activities. (See: Hearings Before A Subcommittee Of The Senate Foreign Relations
3 Committee, 81st Congress, 2nd Session, February 3, 1950, pages 86, 87, Congressional
4 Record - Senate, July 9, 1947).

5 ¶121. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
6 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐

7 I admit OR deny that the Secretary of Treasury, of The Fund and
8 The Bank, is an agent for the “creditor” of the de facto UNITED
9 STATES™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) and the “receiver” and
10 “subjugator”.

☐☐

11 I admit OR deny that I have surrendered and relinquished sovereignty
12 to the Secretary of Treasury, of The Fund and The Bank, the agent
13 for the “creditor” of the de facto UNITED STATES™ (*spelled in all*
14 *uppercase letters*) and the “receiver” and “subjugator”.

☐☐

15 I admit OR deny that I have surrendered and relinquished sovereignty
16 to the Secretary of Treasury, of The Fund and The Bank, the agent
17 for the “creditor” of the de facto UNITED STATES™ (*spelled in all*
18 *uppercase letters*) and the “receiver” and “subjugator” by extending
19 the authority to such foreign power(s) to levy and collect taxes, pains
20 and penalties, fines etc. for its general welfare and common defense.

☐☐

21 I admit OR deny that the State legislature of the de facto “STATE
22 OF ARIZONA™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) has condoned,
23 lends credence and has joined in unlawful, unconstitutional,
24 usurpation, lack of delegated authority, conflict of law, conflict of
25 interest etc. with the Secretary of Treasury, of The Fund and The
26 Bank and its agents.

☐

27 ¶122. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on April 14, 1952, Congress passed **Public**
28 **Law 313, 66 Statute 54**, the “Emergency Powers Interim Continuation Act.” The
act clearly established that support of the United Nations Organization(s) were
dependent on the continuation of the Emergency, to wit:

1 *“Whereas some of **these statutory provisions** are needed to insure the*
2 *national security and the capacity of the United States to support the*
3 *United Nations in its efforts to establish and maintain world peace...”*

4 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**
5 **Social Security Number or**
6 **Taxpayer Identification Number (T.I.N.)**
7 **Used by Domestic and Foreign Agencies Agents.**

8 ¶123. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the 1860 Presidential Election of Abraham
9 Lincoln set the stage for the **American Civil War**. The nation had been divided
10 throughout most of the 1850s on questions of **states' rights** especially “**tariffs**” in the
11 territories. In 1860 this issue finally came to a head, fracturing the formerly dominant
12 **Democratic Party** into Southern and Northern factions and bringing Abraham Lincoln
13 and the **Republican Party** to power without the support of a single Southern State.

14 The immediate result of Lincoln's victory was declarations of **secession** by South
15 Carolina and other states, which were rejected as illegal by the then-current President,
16 **James Buchanan** and President-elect Abraham Lincoln.

17 The party platform clearly promised that tariffs protecting industry
18 (protectionism) would be imposed (no free-trade). The party promised a homestead law
19 granting free farm land in the West to settlers. These provisions were highly unpopular
20 in the South.

21 ¶124. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that during the Civil War (from 1861-1865),
22 President Lincoln needed money to finance the War for the North. The Bankers were
23 going to charge him 24% to 36% interest. Lincoln was horrified and greatly distressed,
24 and he would not be plunging the country into a debt that the country would find
25 impossible to pay back.

26 So, Lincoln advised Congress to pass a law authorizing the printing of full legal
27 tender Treasury notes to pay for the War effort. Lincoln recognized the great benefits of
28 this issue. At one point he wrote: "... (we) gave the people of this Republic the greatest

1 blessing they have ever had - their own paper money to pay their own debts..."

2 The Treasury notes were printed with green ink on the back, so the people called
3 them "Greenbacks". Lincoln had printed 400 million dollars worth of Greenbacks (the
4 exact amount being \$449,338,902) money that he delegated to be created as a debt-free
5 and interest-free money to finance the War. It served as legal tender for all debts, public
6 and private. He printed it, paid it to the soldiers, to the U.S. Civil Service employees,
7 and bought supplies for the war. **The London Times**. Shortly after that happened, "The
8 London Times" printed the following:

9 *"If that mischievous financial policy, which had its origin in the North*
10 *American Republic, should become indurated down to a fixture, then that*
11 *Government will furnish its own money without cost. It will pay off debts*
12 *and be without a debt. It will have all the money necessary to carry on its*
13 *commerce. It will become prosperous beyond precedent in the history of the*
14 *civilized governments of the world. The brains and the wealth of all*
*countries will go to North America. That government **MUST** be destroyed,*
or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe."

15 ¶125. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Federal Reserve Acts origin is the Civil
16 War. The Secretary of Treasury of the United States was the Alien Property Custodian.
17 In 1861 Congress passed the Income Tax Acts amended in 1862. The Banks and
18 Currencies Acts were passed in 1864. The 1864 Banking and Currency Act was
19 amended in 1913 by the Federal Reserve Act. Federal Reserve Act is just an
20 amendment to the 1864 Banking and Currency Act. THE FEDERAL RESERVE
21 ACTS ORIGIN IS THE CIVIL WAR. APRIL 15TH TAX IS THE DAY PRESIDENT
22 LINCOLN DECLARED THE EMERGENCY IN THE CIVIL WAR.

23 ¶126. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on the 10th of August 1861 Abraham
24 Lincoln, the President, issued a proclamation declaring the inhabitants of rebel states
25 including Louisiana and Arizona in a state of insurrection certain local exceptions not
26 necessary to be stated were made. By proclamation of 31st of March 1862 it was
27 declared that the inhabitants of same states with certain local exceptions of which New

1 Orleans was one were in a state of insurrection and all commercial intercourse not
2 **licensed** according to the Act, before mentioned between those states and inhabitants
3 thereof, with the exception of New Orleans and the citizens of other states was unlawful
4 and that all products, goods and chattels coming from any of the insurrectionary states
5 with the exception of New Orleans, or proceeding to any of the states with the
6 exceptions of the New Orleans, without the license and permission of the President
7 through the Secretary of Treasury together with a vessel or vehicle conveying same,
8 would be forfeited to the United States.”

9 ¶127. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that this is how tax enforcement OPERATES
10 with the ATTORNEY GENERAL. The government demands the interest and penalties
11 under War Powers. The foreign enemy belligerents’, the Secretary of Treasury and
12 Internal Revenue, assess interest and penalties against an enemy belligerent party, and
13 then it’s assigned to the Attorney General who purchases the claim, then the Attorney
14 General comes after YOU being a rebel in rebel territory. The United States is in a
15 state of WAR with the American people!

16 *“Every contract stipulating for the performance of an illegal act or founded
17 upon an illegal consideration, is rendered void by the power, and to
18 conserve the policy of the law; and this altogether independently of the will
19 or wish of the parties concerned. An English judge declared: “YOU shall
20 not stipulate for iniquity.”*

21 *Page 248*

22 *“The stipulations in the contract as to everything Coppell was to do in
23 rebel territory was contrary to Public Policy the Law of Nations to Acts of
24 Congress to the Proclamation of the President and to the Regulations of the
25 Treasury Department.” **Hall verses Coppell** 74 U.S. 244, 7 Wall 542-559.*

26 ¶128. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Petitioner cannot trade between belligerents
27 without a license:

28 *“The protection to be given if effectual might have deprived the United
States of pecuniary means to the extent of the value of the cotton.
Withholding from one scale effects the results as much as putting into the
other the objection rests upon the same principle as insuring enemy*

1 *property. This is condemned by all publicist who have written upon the*
2 *subject including as well the earliest as the latest.” **Hall verses Coppel** 74*
3 *U.S. 244, 7 Wall 542-559.*

4 ¶129. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that no Judicial Process is required in illegal
5 contracts, process with belligerents is summary court marshaling of enemy assets.
6 Under the citations YOU will see Wheaton section 317 that is Wheaton on International
7 Law, YOU cannot contract with a belligerent party. There is belligerency between “We
8 the People” [belligerent party] and the de facto Federal Government [the other
9 belligerent party] Petitioner cannot contract with either belligerent BECAUSE THEY
10 ARE ILLEGAL CONTRACTS. No judicial process is required in illegal contracts.

11 ¶130. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **Congress declared war on the American**
12 **people. Congress used War Powers against the American people.** The Banks and
13 the United States declared war on the people. We cannot contract with them because it
14 violates the Law of Nations. Americans cannot pay Congress nor the banks.

15 “Such contracts are not only illegal and void but repugnant to every
16 principle of Public Policy. The law will not permit the citizens in the perils
17 of war to subject them to such a temptation to swerve from his duty to his
18 country. In ***Bell verses Potts*** it was held to be illegal for British subjects in
19 time of war without a license to bring even in a neutral ship from an enemy
port goods purchased by his agent resident in his enemies country after the
commencement of hostilities.” ***Hall verses Coppel*** 74 U.S. 244, 7 Wall
542-559.

20 ¶131. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that payment of money by subjects of one of the
21 belligerents in the country of another is condemned and all contracts and securities
22 looking to payment are illegal and void.

23 *“An alien in an enemies’ country during war drew a bill on a British*
24 *subject resident in England after peace sued for the amount of the bill. The*
25 *same rule was reluctantly applied by Chief Justice Gibb it was held that the*
26 *plaintiff could not recover. If the course of transaction had been reversed*
27 *the result would have been the same. The same rule would have been*
28 *applied in the British courts. The payment of money by a subject of one of*

1 *the belligerents in the country of another is condemned and all contracts*
2 *and securities looking to that end are illegal and void. The adjudication of*
3 *this court has always proceeded upon the same principle. In the case of*
4 ***Brown verses U.S.** Mr. Justice Joseph Story said no principle is better*
5 *settled then that all contracts made with an enemy during were utterly*
6 *void.” **Antwan verses Morsehead.***

7 ¶132. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that *American’s CANNOT contract with a*
8 *belligerent.* Belligerents issuing licenses allowing certain transactions to control
9 international commerce such as driver’s license, business licenses, banking licenses,
10 etc. *Enemy belligerent party #1 (Congress)* issues a license to it’s fellow belligerents
11 on party #1’s side (the IMF and the Bank) and their fiscal depositories, such as the
12 Federal Reserve banks, *to contract with the enemy belligerent party #2 (the American*
13 *people) to destroy enemy party #2.*

14 ¶133. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that under the circumstances being attacked by a
15 belligerent *means Americans have to declare an independent status to be neutral.*
16 *America is still at civil war!* Belligerent party #1 is The Bank and The Fund foreign
17 powers [international corporations created by the government] who declared war on
18 themselves, and also their United States citizens, making their United States citizens
19 belligerent party #2 of the declared war. *Americans, to be neutral, CANNOT have*
20 *contracts with either belligerent. In order to have a viable civilized society to live in,*
21 *to maintain the sovereignty. The belligerents are not the sovereignty. They are at*
22 *civil war with each other for over a hundred and sixty eight years in this country.*

23 ¶134. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that belligerent party #1 has no *intelligence*, no
24 *honor*, no *integrity*, no *virtue*, *the enemy* foreign power *cannot* meet its obligations
25 either subjectively or objectively. It is simply a *rogue organization* of *liars*, *cheats*,
26 *thieves*, *frauds* and *barbarics*. The “UNITED NATIONS™”, The Bank, and The Fund
27 are *piratical organizations* that *has never declared*, in history of the human race, to be
28 a civilized governmental structure.

¶135. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that The “U.STM. party #1 belligerent” declares U.STM. citizens to be workers and employees of the “U.STM. party #2 belligerents” that **MUST** obtain social security numbers according to the 1939 Social Security Act to do business. Petitioner does not have Social Security!!!

¶136. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the several de facto STATESTM, spelled in all uppercase letters, Instrumentality of the United States, Contract for Hire “U.STM. Workers”. *The de facto STATESTM (spelled in all uppercase letters) are in*, a state of incorporation, as instrumentalities of cruelty of the U.STM. The U.STM. instrumentality, the de facto STATESTM *(spelled in all uppercase letters)* [as corporations and fellow belligerents], contract for hire U.S. workers to conduct commerce by license. The U.STM. workers for the states [the licensed corporate instrumentality’s of the belligerent U.S.] are “employees of the U.STM *(spelled in all uppercase letters)*”.

¶137. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the “***The 1935 Emergency Relief Act***” declares workers to be employees of the U.STM *(spelled in all uppercase letters)* that **MUST** obtain social security numbers according to the ***1939 Social Security Act, amending the 1935 Act.*** THE DE FACTO SEVERAL STATESTM (SPELLED IN ALL UPPERCASE LETTERS) ARE NOT ALLOWED TO HIRE WORKERS WHO ARE NOT EMPLOYEES OF THE U.STM. All workers for the de facto several STATESTM, (spelled in all uppercase letters) **MUST** obtain social security numbers to work for the state instrumentalities of the U.STM. The U.STM. (spelled in all uppercase letters) would not give the STATESTM *(spelled in all uppercase letters)* instrumentalities gifts, grants, loans, or subsidies unless the people working on those projects have social security number proving they are in fact employees of the UNITED STATESTM *(spelled in all uppercase letters)*. (See *Public Law 95-147*).

¶138. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I have a Social Security Number.

¶139. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that if YOU are an agent or agencies of the de facto state or de facto federal GOVERNMENT™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*), YOU are an intricate part of the de facto GOVERNMENTS™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*). Agents and Agencies are liable. Agency is an intricate part of the “GOVERNMENT™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*)”.

United States of America verses Strang, page 368: United States.

*“Where agents of inspector of Emergency Fee Corporations Financial and interests and contracts. The United States shipping board Emergency Fee Corporation controlled and managed as it was by its own officers and appoints its own servants and agents who became directly responsible to it **MUST** be regarded as an entity separate from the United States.”*

¶140. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that qualification as an officer of government is by Oath. There is a difference between an OFFICER and an EMPLOYEE. *State verses Hawkins*, 257 Pacific 411, Page 413:

“A civil officer has the characteristic of tenure, a definite term of general duties as a part of the regular administration of the government, a right to emolument and qualification by an oath.”

¶141. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I have an oath qualification and that I am an officer of the de jure government (*spelled in all upper and lower case letters*).

I admit OR deny that not being oath qualified, I am not an officer of the de jure government (*spelled in all upper and lower case letters*).

I admit OR deny that not being oath qualified, I am NOT an officer of the de jure government and I am not paid by de jure government (*spelled in all upper and lower case letters*).

I admit OR deny that not being oath qualified, I am not an officer of the government and I am paid by the International Monetary Fund through its fiscal depositories the Federal Reserve banks.

¶142. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that If YOU don't have an oath qualification, YOU are not an officer. If YOU have an oath YOU ***MUST*** have a law that creates the office. To be a "civil officer" is power within prescribed limits by law which creates the office.

"A civil officer is a grant and possession of the sovereign power and the exercises such power within the limits prescribed by the law which creates the office constitutes a discharge of the duties of the office and it is distinguished in this respect from a mere employment." State verses Hawkins, 257 Pacific 411, Page 413.

¶143. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I have an oath qualification, and that I am an officer of the de jure Government (*spelled in all upper and lower case letters*) with prescribed limits by law which create the office.

I admit OR deny that I have an oath qualification, and that I am an officer of the de jure Government (*spelled in all upper and lower case letters*) with prescribed limits by law which create the office.

The following law is the prescribed law which creates my office and prescribes the limits of my office. (Answer in large box below):

¶144. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Michael Willis Chase **MUST** know who directs, controls and finances YOUR operations and a copy of where YOUR oath is? Qualification is by Oath, No Oath No OFFICER! (answer in large box, next page 163):



¶145. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that if a judge, who is really a commissioner, interferes, he **MUST** get on the witness stand, bring forth YOUR oath, because Petitioner wants YOU up for perjury. Before YOU testify Petitioner wants YOU to waive any immunity that YOU might claim. If the judge / commissioner wants to testify to the facts, get on the witness stand. ***Someone claiming to be an officer MUST substantiate the claim of being an officer. No one can present facts not in evidence.***

¶146. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that hearings on the Social Security System were begun in 1953, and at the questioning of Dr. Arthur J. Altmeyer, on **November 27, 1953**, it was concluded that the Social Security System was NOT insurance, nor a Trust fund, nor did it guarantee anything to anyone (unilateral contract), and that ***“SOMEONE HAD MISREPRESENTED SOMETHING TO SOMEONE.*** (See: **Hearings Before A Subcommittee Of The Committee On Ways And Means, House Of Representatives, Eighty-Third Congress, First Session, On Legal Status Of OASI Benefits, November 27, 1953, Part 6).**

¶147. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that it was further determined that the special taxes, laid and collected under the pretense of social security, were NOT earmarked for any special purpose and were placed in the General Fund **under control** of the **Secretary of Treasury a/k/a Governor of The Bank** and **The Fund**. (See: ***Helvering verses Davis***, 81 Limited Edition 1307, 301 U.S. 619) The Social Security Number or Taxpayer Identification Number (T.I.N.) was then available and used as identification

by numerous domestic and foreign agencies and powers. (See: **26 Internal Revenue Code 6676(a)**, _GAO/GGD-87-93BR, pages 17, 18) This would comply with the “**Joint Declaration of the President and the Prime Minister (The Atlantic Charter)**”, as released to the press by The Three White House, **August 14, 1941**, to wit:

“Fifth, they desire to bring about the fullest collaboration between all nations in the economic field with the object of securing, for all, improved labor standards, economic advancement, and social security.” (See also: **42 United States Code Annotated 433 (a) & (d) (1), International Agreements**).

¶148. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I use The Social Security Number or Taxpayer Identification Number (T.I.N.) in my agency as identification.

I admit OR deny that the Social Security System is an international agreement created by the Atlantic Charter.

¶149. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the **de facto UNITED STATES™** (*spelled in all uppercasse letters*) went down the road and their periodically **filed for further Reorganization**. Those holding and enjoying our Public Offices of Honor, Trust and Profit, being clearly **Commanded NOT to** emit, utter or substitute paper “Bills of Credit”, and **wantonly disregarding** and breaching the same, the situation followed its known historical and natural course, and **worsened**. (See: *Madison’s Notes, Constitutional Convention*, August 16, 1787, *Federalist Papers No. 44*, Quotations)

¶150. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in 1965 Congress, et. al., passed the “Coinage Act of 1965” completely **debasing** the Constitutional Coin [silver i.e. Dollar] in criminal violation of **18 United States Code Annotated Sections 331 & 332**. (See: Coinage Act of 1965, Presidential Press Release, July 23, 1965, Treasury Department Facts Sheet, New U.S. Coins, **31 United States Code Annotated 321**)

At the signing of the Coinage Act on July 23, 1965, Lyndon B. Johnson stated in his Press Release that:

“When I have signed this bill before me, we will have made the first **fundamental change** in our coinage in 173 years. The Coinage Act of 1965 supersedes the Act of 1792. And that Act had the title: **An Act Establishing a Mint and Regulating the Coinage of the United States...**”

“Now I will sign this bill to make the first change in our coinage system since the 18th Century. To those members of Congress, who are here on this historic occasion, **I want to assure YOU that in making this change from the 18th Century we have no idea of returning to it.**”

¶151. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **it is important to take cognizance of the fact that NO Constitutional Amendment was ever obtained to FUNDAMENTALLY CHANGE, amend, abridge or abolish the Constitutional mandates, provisions or prohibitions**, but due to internal and external diversions surrounding the Viet Nam War etc., the usurpation and breach went basically unchallenged and unnoticed by the general public at large, who became “a wealthy man’s cannon fodder or cheap source of slave labor.” (See: **Silent Weapons For Quiet Wars**, TM-SW7905.1, pages 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, & 56).

¶152. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Congress was clearly delegated the Power and Authority to regulate and maintain the true and inherent “value” of the Coin within the scope and purview of **Article I, Section 8, Clauses 5 & 6 and Articles I, Section 10, Clause 1**, of the ordained Constitution (1787), and further, under a corresponding duty and obligation to maintain said gold and silver Coin and Foreign Coin at and within the necessary and proper “equal weights and measures” clause. (See also: **Bible, Deuteronomy, 25:13 thru 16, Proverbs, 16:11, Public Law 97-280, 96 Statute 1211**).

¶153. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the act of debauching the Constitutionally declared and mandated monetary system was the subject of determination in **U.S.**

1 verses Marigold, 50 United States 560, 13 Limited Edition 257, in which the supreme
2 Court stated in part:

3 *"If the medium which the government was authorized to create and*
4 *establish could immediately be expelled, and substituted by one it neither*
5 *created, estimated, nor authorized - one of no intrinsic value - then the*
6 *power conferred by the Constitution would be useless, wholly fruitless of*
7 *every end it was designed to accomplish. Whatever functions the Congress*
8 *are, by the Constitution authorized to perform, they are, when the public*
9 *good requires it, bound to perform; and on this principle, having emitted a*
10 *circulating medium, a standard of value, indispensable for the purposes of*
11 *the community, and for the action of the government itself, they are*
accordingly authorized and bound in duty to prevent its debasement and
expulsion, and the destruction of the general confidence and convenience,
by the influx and substitution of a spurious coin in lieu of the constitutional
currency." (13 Limited Edition page 261)

12 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**
13 **"DE FACTO TRANSITIONS" OCCURRED.**

14 ¶154. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that those exercising the Offices of the several
15 States, in equal measure, knew such **"De Facto Transitions"** were unlawful and
16 unauthorized, but sanctioned, implemented and enforced the complete debauchment
17 and the resulting **"governmental, social, industrial and economic change"** in the **"de**
18 **jure"** several States and in United State of America (*spelled in all upper and lowercase*
19 *letters*) (See: **Public Law 94-564, Legislative History, pages 5936, and 5945, 31**
20 **United States Code Annotated 314, 31 United States Code Annotated 321, 31**
21 **United States Code Annotated 5112**), and were and are now under the delusion that
22 they can do both directly and indirectly what they were absolutely prohibited from
23 doing. (See also, **Federalist Papers No. 44, Craig verses Missouri, 4 Peters 903,**
24 **pages 908 and 917**).

25 ¶155. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in 1966, Congress being severely
26 compromised, passed the **"Federal Tax Lien Act of 1966"**, by which the entire taxing
27 and monetary system, i.e. **"Essential Engine"** (See: **Federalist Papers No. 31**), was

placed under the Uniform Commercial Code. (See: **Public Law 89-719, Legislative History**, page 3722).

¶156. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Uniform Commercial Code was of course promulgated by the National Conference Of Commissioners On Uniform State Laws in collusion with the American Law Institute for the “banking and business interests.” (See: **Handbook Of The National Conference Of Commissioners On Uniform State Laws**, (1966 Edition) pages 152 and 153).

¶157. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the United States being engaged in numerous U.N. armed conflicts, including the Korean and Viet Nam “police actions”, which were under direction, control and financing of the United Nations (See: **22 United States Code Annotated 287d, A New World Order**, page 118, **Aid & Trade Documents**, Congressman, Larry McDonald (deceased-murdered), also see, **22 United States Code Annotated 286b**), and agreeing to foot the bill (See: **22 United States Code Annotated 287j, A New World Order**, page 67) by financing of the United Nations (See: **22 United States Code Annotated 287d, A New World Order**, page 118, **Aid & Trade Documents**, Congressman, Larry McDonald (deceased-murdered), also see **22 United States Code Annotated 286b**), and agreeing to foot the bill (See: **22 United States Code Annotated 287j, A New World Order**, page 67).

¶158. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the United States, not being able to honor their obligations and rehypothecated debt credit, openly and publicly dishonored and disavowed their “Notes” and inter-agency “obligations” (**12 United States Code Annotated 411**) i.e. “Federal Reserve Notes” through **Public Law 90-269, Section 2, 82 Statute 50 (1968)** to wit:

*“Sec. 2. The first sentence of section 15 of the Federal Reserve Act (12 United States Code Annotated 391) is amended by striking ‘and the Fund provided in this Act for the redemption of Federal Reserve notes’.” (See: **Public Law 90-269**)*

¶159. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I know “Federal Reserve Notes” are inter-
agency “obligations”, not redeemable in dollar coins, by the de jure
United States (*spelled in all upper and lowercase letters*).

¶160. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the known effect and effects of such socio-
economic changes were clearly known by men such as Count Destutt De Tracey, John
Adams, Roger Sherman, James Madison, and many others who participated in framing
and ratifying the Constitution (1787).

“It is to be desired, that the coins had never borne other names than those of
their weight, and that the arbitrary denominations, called moneys of
account, as l., s., d., etc, had never been used. **But when these
denominations are admitted and employed in transactions, to diminish
the quantity of metal to which they answer, by an alteration of the real
coins, it is to steal**; and it is a theft which injures even him who commits it.
**A theft of greater magnitude and still more ruinous, is the making of
paper money; it is greater because in this money there is absolutely no
real value**; it is more ruinous because of its gradual depreciation during the
time of its existence, it produces the effect which would be produced by an
infinity of successive deterioration’s of the coin. All those iniquities are
found on the false idea that money is but a sign.” (See: “The Rebirth Of
Liberty: The Founding Of The American Republic”, Clarence B.
Carson, (1976 Edition) page 135; also see, “The Life And Works Of John
Adams”, Volume X, page 375)

¶161. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I know or should have known the effect and
effects of socio-economic changes caused by “Federal Reserve Notes”
being inter-agency “obligations” not redeemable in dollar coin by the
de jure “United States” (*spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters*).

☐

I admit OR deny that my agency knows or should have known the effect and effects of socio-economic changes caused by “Federal Reserve Notes” being inter-agency “obligations” not redeemable in dollar coin by the de jure “United States” (*spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters*).

☐☐

I admit OR deny that I knew or should have known the effect and effects of socio-economic changes caused by “Federal Reserve Notes” being inter-agency “obligations” not redeemable in dollar coin by the de jure United States (*spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters*) is to steal employed in transactions.

☐☐

I admit OR deny that I knew or should have known that my agency uses “Federal Reserve Notes” being inter-agency “obligations” which are created by my agency by having a bank account employing their use in transactions.

☐☐

I admit OR deny that my compensation, by my agency, is discharged in “Federal Reserve Notes”, being inter-agency “obligations”, which are created by my agency by having a bank account employing their use.

☐☐

I admit OR deny that my agency has a bank account in “Federal Reserve Notes”, being inter-agency, “obligations” employing their use.

☐

¶162. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the effects and affects were also known by John M. Keynes, whose **Babylonian theories are the basis of the de facto operations**, to wit:

*“By a continuing process of inflation, governments can confiscate, **secretly and unobserved**, an important part of the wealth of its citizens. There is no subtler, no surer means of overturning the existing basis of society than to **debauch the currency**. The process engages all the hidden forces of economic law on the side of destruction, and does it in such a manner which not one man in a million is able to diagnose.” (See: **The Economic Consequences Of Peace**, John Maynard Keynes (1920), and National Advertisement, & The Wall Street Journal, Tuesday, November 6, 1990, **“The Curse Of The Paper Dollar”**, by Lewis E. Lehrman).)*

¶163. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I am a “United States” (*spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters*) Officer de jure.

I admit OR deny that by inflation, governments can confiscate, secretly and unobserved, an important part of the wealth of its citizens.

I admit OR deny that there is no subtler, no surer means of overturning the existing basis of society than to debauch the currency.

I admit OR deny that there is no subtler, no surer means of overturning the existing basis of society than to debauch the currency; and that the process engages all the hidden forces of economic law on the side of destruction, and does it in such a manner which not one man in a million is able to diagnose.

¶164. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that upon complete debauchment of the de jure, Constitutional monetary system, and the principles of reason and Law upon which it was founded, the “states”, typical of the state of Colorado, promptly went into Re-Organization pursuant to the “Administrative Organization Act of 1968”. On **July 1, 1968**, the de facto “STATE™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) entered into Treaties, Alliances, Confederations, Pactions and Agreements, namely, the “Multistate Tax Compact”, and redefined the term, boundaries and meaning of State under Article IV, (1)(h), to wit:

“State” means any State of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, any Territory or Possession of the United States, and any foreign country or political subdivision thereof.”

¶165. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that things steadily grew worse and on March 28, 1970, President Nixon issued Proclamation No. 3972, declaring an “emergency”

1 because the Postal Employees struck against the de facto GOVERNMENT(S)TM
2 (spelled in all uppercase letters) for higher pay, due to inflation/depreciation of the non-
3 redeemable, non-current paper “Bills of Credit.” (See: *Senate Report No. 93-549*, page
4 596) Nixon placed the U.S.TM (spelled in all uppercase letters) Postal Department under
5 control of the “Department of Defense.” (See: *Department Of The Army Field Manual*,
6 FM 41-10 (1969 Edition)).

7 ¶166. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in 1971, President officially declares the non-
8 convertibility of the paper de facto U.S.TM (spelled in all uppercase letters) obligations
9 (FRN) into dollars gold and silver coin. the System had been faltering for a decade, but
10 the bench mark date of the collapse is put at August 15, 1971. On this day, President
11 Nixon reversed U.S. international monetary policy by officially declaring the non-
12 convertibility of the U.S.TM (spelled in all uppercase letters) dollar [Federal Reserve
13 Note (F.R.N.)] into gold. (See: *Public Law 94-564*, Legislative History, page 5937,
14 *Senate Report No. 93-549*, Foreword, page III, Proclamation No. 4074, page 597, *31*
15 *United States Code Annotated 314, 31 United States Code Annotated 5112).*

16 ¶167. Write in first box whether YOU Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

17 I admit OR deny that convertibility of the U.S.TM (spelled in all
18 uppercase letters) dollar [Federal Reserve Note (F.R.N.)] into gold and
19 silver coin has collapsed.

20 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**
21 **States Give “Sanction” to the Emergency**
22 **Emergency “de facto Transition.”**

23 ¶168. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the de facto “**STATESTM**” (spelled in all
24 uppercase letters) proceeded in 1971 to give “**sanction**” to the emergency emergent
25 “**de facto transition**” (See: *Public Law 94-564*, Legislative History, pages 5936, and
26 5945), by changing, altering and adjusting the “**dollar**” amounts.

¶169. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐

I admit OR deny that convertibility of the U.S. dollar [Federal Reserve Note (F.R.N.)] into gold and silver coin has collapsed; and the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) proceeded in 1971 to give “sanction” to the emergency emergent “de facto transition”.

☐☐

I admit OR deny that the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) proceeded in 1971 to give “sanction” to the emergency emergent “de facto transition” by changing, altering and adjusting the “dollar” amounts stated in the de jure statutes of Arizona (spelled in upper and lowercase letters).

☐

¶170. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the states began assessing and levying the dollar amounts in the statutes in the non-current, non-redeemable, depreciated value of their International Monetary Fund (IMF) Special Drawing Rights (SDR’s) “obligations” i.e. “Bills of Credit”.

¶171. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐

I admit OR deny that that the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) began assessing and levying the dollar amounts in the statutes in the non-current, non-redeemable, depreciated value of their International Monetary Fund (IMF) Special Drawing Rights (SDR’s) “obligations” i.e. “Bills of Credit”.

☐

¶172. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the states further, gave credence to and provided for further accelerations, emissions, inflation, and impairments of their inter-agency, emergency International Monetary Fund (IMF)/Federal Reserve Notes (FRN’s) and evidences of debt, through pretended acts of legislation, including but not limited to for example **Colorado Revised Statutes 5-1-106**.

“5-1-106. Adjustment of dollar amounts - recommendations by administrator. On or before January 1 of each year, or as soon thereafter

as possible, *the administrator shall report to the governor and general assembly recommended changes in dollar amounts specified in this code, as determined by changes in the consumer price index, and as determined or recommended by the administrators in other states enacting any laws similar to this code, which changes in dollar amounts would maintain uniformity between this state and such other states enacting such similar laws.*" (See also Public Law 90-269, American Jurisprudence Desk book, Item No. 174, "Purchasing Power Of The Dollar", (1984 Edition)).

¶173. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit that the de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) further, gave credence to and provided for further accelerations, emissions, inflation, and impairments of their inter-agency, emergency International Monetary Fund (IMF)/Federal Reserve Notes (FRN's) and evidences of debt, through pretended acts of legislation.

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW

Civil Government Public Offices Turned Over to the Direction, Control and Financial Benefit of the "International Criminal Police Organization" INTERPOL™.

¶174. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the de jure Monetary and Military powers being previously abridged, relinquished, re-delegated and usurped to the direction and control of Foreign Powers, namely the United Nations Organizations and Agents, left the internal operations of the civil government partially intact. **Congress passed Public Law 93-83, 87 Statute 197, on August 6, 1973** thereby transferring certain other public offices and interests over to the direction, control and financial benefit of the United Nations Organizations, namely the "International Criminal Police Organizations" (INTERPOL™) 22 United States Code Annotated 263a. See: **Public Law 93-83, Part D, Section 402(c)**, at page 206, specifically states that::

"(c) The Institute shall serve as the national and international clearinghouse for exchange of information with respect to the improvement of law

enforcement and criminal justice, including but not limited to police, courts, prosecutors, public defenders, and corrections.”

¶175. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that the de jure Monetary and Military powers being previously abridged, relinquished, re-delegated and usurped to the direction and control of Foreign Powers, namely the United Nations Organizations and Agents.

☐ I admit OR deny that Foreign Powers, namely the United Nations Organizations and Agents left the internal operations of the civil government partially intact transferring certain other public offices and interests over to the direction, control and financial benefit of the United Nations Organizations, namely the “International Criminal Police Organizations” (INTERPOL™ spelled in all uppercase letters).

☐ I admit OR deny that Foreign Powers, namely the United Nations Organizations and Agents left the internal operations of the state of Arizona civil government partially intact transferring certain other public offices and interests such as the de facto “SUPERIOR COURT™” and “YAVAPAI COUNTY™” (both spelled in all uppercase letters) sheriff’s office over to the direction, control and financial benefit of the “UNITED NATIONS™ Organizations, namely the “International Criminal Police Organizations” (INTERPOL™ spelled in all uppercase letters).”

☐ I admit OR deny that the “UNITED NATIONS™ Organizations, namely the “International Criminal Police Organizations” (INTERPOL™ spelled in all uppercase letters) serves as the national and international clearinghouse for exchange of information with respect to the improvement of law enforcement and criminal justice, including but not limited to Sheriff David Rhodes, “YAVAPAI COUNTY™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) police, state courts, state prosecutors, public defenders, and corrections.

¶176. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the **International INTERPOL™ (spelled in all uppercase letters) operations**, based in Lyons, France, have numerous other inter-

1 **Agency Agents**, who are under direction, control and financing of the “alternate”
2 permanent member of the INTERPOL™ “Secretariat”, the “Secretary of Treasury”
3 a/k/a alien corporate “Governor” of The Fund and The Bank, and the “permanent”
4 member of the INTERPOL™ “Secretariat”, the U.S.™ Attorney General. (See:
5 **Memorandum Of Understanding Between The Department Of The Treasury And**
6 **The Department Of Justice Pertaining To U.S.™ Representation To The**
7 **International Criminal Police Organization (INTERPOL™ (spelled in all**
8 **uppercase letters) And Matters Related Thereto (1977, U.S.™ Government**
9 **Manual, page 385).**

10 *“Federal and State law enforcement agencies represented at the USNCB*
11 *included: the Federal Bureau of Investigation; U.S. Marshal Service; Drug*
12 *Enforcement Administration; Immigration And Naturalization Service;*
13 *Criminal Division, U.S. Custom Service; U.S. Secret Service; Internal*
14 *Revenue Service; Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms; Office of*
15 *Comptroller of Currency; Federal Law Enforcement Training Center;*
16 *Office of Inspector General, Department of Agriculture; Inspection Service,*
17 *U.S. Postal Service; Diplomatic Security Service, Department of State; and*
18 *the Illinois State Police.”*

19 *(See: U.S. Government Manual 1990/91, page 385).*

20 ¶177. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
21 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐

22 I admit OR deny that the International INTERPOL™ (spelled in all
23 uppercase letters) operations, based in Lyons, France, have numerous
24 other inter-Agency Agents, who are under direction, control and
25 financing of the “alternate” permanent member of the INTERPOL™
26 (spelled in all uppercase letters) “Secretariat”, the “Secretary of
27 Treasury” a/k/a alien corporate “Governor” of The Fund and The
28 Bank, and the “permanent” member of the INTERPOL™ (spelled in
all uppercase letters) “Secretariat”, the U.S.™ Attorney General.

☐

☐

I admit OR deny that the de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) by statute is an inter-Agency Agent of the International INTERPOL™ (spelled in all uppercase letters) Operations under direction, control and financing of the Secretary of Treasury of The Fund and The World Bank.

☐

¶178. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that this complies with the statements made in (See: "Silent Weapons For Quiet Wars", Operations Research Technical Manual TM-SW7905.1, at page 52), to wit:

"Politicians hold many quasi-military jobs, the lowest being the police which are soldiers, the attorneys and the C.P.A.s next who are spies and saboteurs (licensed), and judges who shout the orders and run the closed union military shop for whatever the market will bear. The generals are industrialists. The 'presidential' level of commander-in-chief is shared by the international bankers...."

¶179. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐

I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) politicians are quasi-military, the police are soldiers, the attorneys and C.P.A.s spies and saboteurs (licensed), and judges run the closed union military shop for whatever the market will bear.

☐☐

I admit OR deny that the generals are industrialists. The 'presidential' level of commander-in-chief is shared by the international bankers.

☐

¶180. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on September 21, 1973, Congress passed Public Law 93-110, amending the Bretton Woods Par Value Modification Act, 82 Statute 116, 31 United States Code Annotated 449, and reiterated the "Emergency", 12 United States Code Annotated 95a, and Section 8 of the Bretton Woods Agreements Act of 1945 (See: 22 United States Code Annotated 286f), and which included

1 **“reports on foreign currency transactions.”** (Also see: *Executive Order No. 10033*).

2 This Act further declared in Section 2(b) that:

3 “No provision of any law in effect on the date of enactment of this Act, and
4 no rule, regulation, or order under authority of any such law, may be
5 construed to prohibit any person from purchasing, holding, selling, or
6 otherwise dealing with gold.”

7 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**
8 **Second Declaration INTERdependence**

9 ¶181. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on **January 19, 1976**, Marjorie S. Holt noted
10 for the record a **second Declaration INTERdependence**, and clearly identified the
11 United Nations as a **“Communist”** organization, and that they were seeking both
12 production and monetary control over the Union and People through the International
13 Organizations promoting the **“One World Order.”** (See: **Declaration Of**
14 **INTERdependence**, January 19, 1976, Congressional Record, January 19, 1976,
15 Extension of Remarks; also see, 8 United States Code Annotated 1101(40), 50 United
16 States Code Annotated 781 & 783, Congressional Record, November 7, 1969, John
17 Rarick).

18 ¶182. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
19 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

20

21 I admit OR deny that the **“UNITED NATIONS™”** is a **“Communist”**
22 **organization, and that they are seeking both production and**
23 **monetary control over the Union and People through the**
24 **International Organizations promoting the “One World Order”**
25 **through INTERdependence.**

26

27 ¶183. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that numerous members of both the de facto
28 House of Representatives and Senate, disregarding their several promises and duties,
signed the Declaration thereby lending credence, prestige and allegiance to the diverse

Foreign/Alien ideologies and Organizations. (See: **Declaration Of INTERdependence**, January 19, 1976).

¶184. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the socio/economic situation worsened as noted by the Complaint/Petition, filed in the United States Court of Claims, Docket No. 41-76, on **February 11, 1976**, by 44 federal Judges, *Atkins et. al., verses U.S.* Atkins et. al., complained that:

“As a result of inflation, the compensation of federal judges has been substantially diminished each year since 1969, causing direct and continuing monetary harm to plaintiffs...The real value of the dollar decreased by approximately 34.5 percent from March 15, 1969 to October 1, 1975... As a result, plaintiffs have suffered an unconstitutional deprivation of earnings”, and in the prayer for relief claimed “damages for the constitutional violations enumerated above, measured as the diminution of earnings for the entire period since March 9, 1969.”

¶185. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that it is a self-evident truth, it is universally accepted as being true that:

*“In the general course of human nature, **A POWER OVER A MAN’S SUBSTANCE AMOUNTS TO A POWER OVER HIS WILL**, and WE CAN NEVER HOPE TO SEE realized in practice the complete SEPARATION of the Judicial from the Legislative Power, IN ANY SYSTEM WHICH LEAVES THE FORMER DEPENDENT FOR PECUNIARY RESOURCES ON the OCCASIONAL GRANTS of the latter.”* (See: **Federalist Papers No. 79**)

¶186. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that A FOREIGN POWER HAS POWER OVER MY SUBSTANCE WHICH IS A POWER OVER MY WILL.

I admit OR deny that A FOREIGN POWER SYSTEM LEAVES THE JUDICIAL DEPENDENT FOR PECUNIARY RESOURCES ON THE GRANTS OF THE LEGISLATURE.

¶187. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that it is also quite apparent that the persons holding and enjoying the Public Officers of Honor, Trust and Profit knew of the emergency emergent problem and sought protection for themselves, to the damage and injury of the People and Children, who were classified as “a club that has many other members” who “*have no remedy.*” And, knowing that “*heinous*” acts had been committed, stated that they (judges/lawyers/attorneys) would not apply the Law, nor would any substantive remedy be applied (“checked more or less, but never stopped”) “until all of us (judges/lawyers/attorneys) are dead.”

¶188. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐

I admit OR deny that it is also quite apparent that the persons holding and enjoying the Public Officers of Honor, Trust and Profit, including myself, knew of the emergency emergent problem and sought protection for myself, to the damage and injury of the People including Children, who were classified as “a club that has many other members” who “have no remedy.”

☐☐

I admit OR deny that I know that “*heinous*” acts have been committed, in that judges and lawyers would not apply the Law, nor would any substantive remedy be applied “checked more or less, but never stopped” until all of the judges, lawyers and attorneys are dead.

☐

¶189. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Public Officers of Honor, Trust and Profit are persons who fraudulently swore an Oath to uphold, defend and preserve the sovereignty of the Nation and several Republican States of the Union, and breached the Duties to secure and protect the People/Citizens and their Posterity from fraud, imposition, avarice and stealthy encroachment. (See: *Atkins et al., verses U.S.*, 556 Fed. 2d 1028, pages 1072, 1074, *Senate Report No. 93-549*, pages 69-71, also see: 5 *United States Code Annotated Sections 5305, 5335, The Tempting Of America*, supra, pages 155-

1 159) This is substantiated in *Public Law 94-564*, Legislative History, at page 5944,
2 which states:

3 “Moving to a *floating exchange rate for international commerce means*
4 *private enterprise and not central governments bearing the risk of currency*
5 *fluctuations.*” (See: *Public Law 94-564*, Legislative History, at page
6 5944).

7 ¶190. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
8 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

9 I admit OR deny that there are Public Officers of Honor, Trust and
10 Profit in office that *fraudulently* swore an Oath to uphold, defend and
11 preserve the sovereignty of the Nation and several de jure Republican
12 States of the Union (spelled in upper and lowercase letters).

13 I admit OR deny that there are Public Officers of Honor, Trust and
14 Profit in office who breached the Duties to secure and protect the
15 People/Citizens and their Posterity from fraud, imposition, avarice
16 and stealthy encroachment.

17 I admit OR deny that moving to a floating exchange rate for
18 international commerce means private enterprise and not central
19 governments bear the risk of currency fluctuations.

20 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**

21 **No Article III, Section I Judges.**

22 ¶191. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that those setting under false and fraudulent
23 pretenses as Officers of the United States, in the pretended character and capacity of
24 Lawful, Constitutional Article III, Section 1 **Judges**, were in fact and Law acting under
25 doctrines of “Necessity” and “Emergency” and were not then, nor are they now
26 Article III Judges. (See: *U.S. verses Will*, et al., 66 Limited Edition 2d 392, pages 405
27 - 407, *Judges Terry J. Hatter, Jr., et al., verses U.S.A.*, Case No. 91-5039, U.S. Court
28 of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Decision, January 16, 1992)

¶192. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐

I admit OR deny that those setting under false and fraudulent pretenses as Officers of the de jure United States (spelled in upper and lowercase letters) in the pretended character and capacity of Lawful, Constitutional Article III, Section 1 Judges, were in fact and Law acting under doctrines of “Necessity” and “Emergency” and were not then, nor are they now Article III Judges.

☐☐

I admit OR deny that those setting under false and fraudulent pretenses as Officers of the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters), in the pretended character and capacity of Lawful, Constitutional Article III, Section 1 Judges, were in fact and Law acting under doctrines of “Necessity” and “Emergency” and were not then, nor are they now Article III Judges.

☐

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW

UNITED STATES HAS NO OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES.

¶193. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that like the “Receiver” in bankruptcy, the Secretary of Treasury a/k/a Governor of The Bank and The Fund, administrative tribunals and those officers in pretended character and capacity under doctrines of “Necessity” and “Emergency” are NOT officers or employees of the de jure United States (spelled in upper and lowercase letters) (See: *U.S. verses Cromelin*, 177 Federal 2d 275, page 277, 22 United States Code Annotated 286a (d) (1)).

¶194. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐

I admit OR deny that like the “Receiver” in bankruptcy, the Secretary of Treasury a/k/a Governor of The Bank and The Fund, administrative tribunals and those officers in pretended character and capacity under doctrines of “Necessity” and “Emergency” are NOT officers or employees of the de jure United States (spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters).

☐

☐

I admit OR deny that I'm an officer of administrative tribunals and in pretended character and capacity under doctrines of "Necessity" and "Emergency" and I'm NOT an officer nor employee of the de jure United States (spelled in upper and lowercase letters).

☐☐

I admit OR deny that I'm an officer or employee of administrative tribunals directed, controlled and financed by the "Receiver" in bankruptcy, the Secretary of Treasury a/k/a Governor of The Bank and The Fund.

☐

¶195. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that those in pretended character and capacity receive their Emolument from the International Monetary Fund (IMF)/United States Treasury, which is a Foreign Principal and Power.

¶196. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐

I admit OR deny that I am in pretended character and capacity receiving my Emolument from the International Monetary Fund (IMF)/UNITED STATES™ Treasury, which is a Foreign Principal and Power.

☐

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
OFFICERS, PRETENDING TO BE OFFICERS OF THE UNITED STATES
ARE IN FACT AGENTS OF A FOREIGN POWER.

¶197. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that these Agents, acting in said pretended character and capacity, in violation of **18 United States Code Annotated 912**, and as Agents of a Foreign Principal, in violation of **18 United States Code Annotated Sections 219 & 951**, knew or should have known that NO Court or Judge can receive or exercise Article III judicial Powers when it/they are or can be directly or indirectly influenced by other branches of government or their departments (See: *U.S. verses Woody*, 726 Federal 2d 1328).

¶198. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I am an Agent, acting in said pretended character and capacity, in violation of 18 United States Code Annotated 912, and as Agents of a Foreign Principal, in violation of 18 United States Code Annotated Sections 219 & 951.

I admit OR deny that I knew or should have known that NO Court or Judge can receive or exercise Article III judicial Powers when they are or can be directly or indirectly influenced by other branches of government or their departments.

¶199. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that officers of foreign powers knew or should have known that the **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT™ IS NOT** a Constitutional court in the strict sense. (See: *Cochran et al., verses St. Paul & Tacoma Lumber Company*, 73 Federal Supplement 288).

¶200. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that as officers of foreign powers I knew or should have known that the de facto “UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) **IS NOT** a de jure Constitutional court in the strict sense.

I admit OR deny that as officers of foreign powers I knew or should have known that the “SUPERIOR COURT™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) **IS NOT** a de jure Constitutional court in the strict sense.

¶201. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that officers of foreign powers became mere “Commissioners” setting under purported Treaties and International Agreements and ***CANNOT and will NOT*** proceed in the mode and manner prescribed by Article III of the ordained and established Constitution. (See: *U.S. verses Ferreira*, 13 Howard 42)

¶202. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐

I admit OR deny that officers of foreign powers are mere
“Commissioners” setting under purported Treaties and International
Agreements and CANNOT and WILL NOT proceed in the mode and
manner prescribed by Article III of the ordained and established de
jure Constitution.

☐

¶203. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that numerous serious debates were held in
Congress, including but not limited to, **Tuesday, July 27, 1976**, concerning the
International Financial Institutions and their mode of operations. (See: Congressional
Record - House, July 27, 1976) Representative, Ron Paul, Chairman of the House
Banking Committee, made numerous references to the true practices of the
“**International Financial Institutions**”, including but not limited to, the conversion
and foreign expropriation of 27,000,000 (27 Million) in gold (See: **Internal Revenue**
Code 1351(b)), contributed by the United States as part of its “quota obligations”,
which the International Monetary Fund (Governor/Secretary of Treasury) sold, under
some very questionable terms and concessions. As stated in **Public Law 94-564**,
Legislative History, at pages 5945 and 5946:

*“To remove the gold from the international monetary system necessitated a
decision on how to remove from the International Monetary Fund its store
of 150 million troy ounces which had been contributed to it by member
countries as part of their quota obligations. The decision was to sell this
gold...The gold at the International Monetary Fund (IMF) is officially
valued at Special Drawing Right (SDR) 35 or approximately \$42 per
ounce. The present world price is near \$120 per ounce. It was decided
that in any distribution or sale of the gold, the Fund would Keep the figure
Special Drawing Right (SDR) 35 per ounce so that the International
Monetary Fund’s (IMF’s) assets would not be depleted.”*

(Also see: Articles of Agreement of the International Monetary Fund, 60
Statute 1401, Article VI, 5(b), page 1456, **The Ron Paul Money Book**,
(1991), Ron Paul, Plantation Publishing, 837 W. Plantation, Clute, Texas
77531)

¶204. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that “my” agencies, true practices for the “International Financial Institutions”, includes but is not limited to, the conversion and foreign expropriation of gold according to Internal Revenue Code 1351(b)), contributed by the de facto UNITED STATES™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) as part of its “quota obligations”, which the International Monetary Fund (Governor/Secretary of Treasury) sells.

¶205. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on **October 28, 1977**, the passage of **Public Law 95-147**, 91 Statute 1227 declared most banking and loan institutions, including State banks, to be under direction and control of the alien Corporate “Governor” of the **International Monetary Fund**. (See: Public Law 94-564, Legislative History, page 5942, Unites States Government Manual, 1990/1991, pages 480-481, 26 Internal Revenue Code 6302(c)). The Act further declared the true condition of the de facto system at page 1229, to wit:

“(2) Section 10(a) of the Gold Reserve Act of 1934 (31 United States Code 822(b) is amended by striking out the phrase ‘**stabilizing the exchange value of the dollar**’...

“(c) The joint resolution entitled ‘Joint resolution to assure uniform value to the coins and currencies of the United States’, approved June 5, 1933 (31 United States Code 463) **shall not apply** to obligations issued on or after the date of enactment of this section.”

¶206. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that Public Law 95-147, 91 Statute 1227 declared most banking and loan institutions, including State banks, to be under direction and control of the alien Corporate “Governor” of the International Monetary Fund.

☐ I admit OR deny that “my” agencies, banking and loan institutions, including State banks, are under direction and control of the alien Corporate “Governor” of the International Monetary Fund.

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
Bank Notes Are Not Convertible Into Coin,
They Are Evidence of Debt.

¶207. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the inter-agency International Organizations, Corporations and Associations had closed their doors (See: 60 Statute 1456, Article VI, 5(b)) and **refused to pay** their debts and **could not pay** their debts, and determined that **they could pass the loss** of their non-redeemable, non-current notes, bonds, warrants/checks and other evidences of debt **off on others** (See: 60 Statute 1456, Article VI, 5(c), and thereby crown their fraud with success. As stated in *Westfall verses Braley*, 10 Ohio 188, 75 American December 509:

*“Bank notes are the representative of money, and circulate as such, only by the general consent and usage of the community. But this consent and usage are based upon the convertibility of such notes into coin, at the pleasure of the holder, upon their presentation to the bank for redemption. **This is the vital principal which sustains their character as money.** So long as they are in fact what they purport to be, payable on demand, common consent gives them the ordinary attributes of money. But upon failure of the bank by which they were issued, when its doors are closed, and its inability to redeem its bills is openly avowed, they instantly lose the character of money, their circulation as currency ceases with the usage and consent upon which it rested, **and the notes become the mere dishonored and depreciated evidences of debt...** In the absence of any special agreement, the very offer of bank notes, as a payment in money of a pre-existing debt, is a representation that such notes are what they purport to be, the representative of money, and that they have the quality of convertibility, upon which their currency as money depends. It is only upon this idea that they can be honestly tendered as money, and when accepted as such, under the same supposition, the mutual mistake of facts should no more be permitted to benefit one party, or prejudice the other, than if the notes had been spurious, or payment had been made in base or adulterated coin... **A party might fraudulently pass the paper of a broken bank, and yet it might be difficult to prove his knowledge of the previous failure. Or***

if his victim should succeed in passing it to one equally ignorant of the facts with himself, the last recipient would be left to bear the loss, and the fraud be crowned with success.”

(See: Letter, October 26, 1989, Department of Treasury, Russell Munk, Assistant General Counsel (INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS), as recorded in the Office of Clerk & Recorder, Baca County, Colorado, at Book 540, Pages 364-369, Letter, April 10, 1989, Department of Treasury, State of Colorado, Gail S. Schottler to Grace S. Hayes, Letter, April 19, 1989, Denver Branch, Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City to Grace S. Hayes, Warrant/Bills of Credit No. 3-093626, issued by State of Colorado, Division of Accounts and Control, February 22, 1989, drawn upon “any bank or banker”, see also, *Klauber verses Biggerstaff*, 3 N.W. 357, page 362, *Ward verses Smith*, 74 United States (7 Wall) 207, page 210.)

¶208. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that “my” agency passes Bank notes as the representative of money and circulates them as such, by the general consent and usage of the community.

I admit OR deny that “my” agency promotes the consent and usage of bank notes which should be based upon the convertibility of such notes into coin, at the pleasure of the holder, upon their presentation to the bank for redemption.

I admit OR deny that “my” agencies banks by which bank notes are issued, and are unable to redeem its bills, which is openly avowed.

I admit OR deny that bank notes issued instantly lose the character of money, and their circulation as currency ceases with the usage and consent upon which it rested, and the notes become the mere dishonored and depreciated evidences of debt.

¶209. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the de facto **UNITED STATES™** (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) as corporator and subscriber (See legal description below)(See: **22 United States Code Annotated 286e**), the de facto “STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) and the inter-agency International Financial Institutions,

Organizations, Corporations and Associations, including but not limited to, the Federal Reserve Banks (See: 22 United States Code Annotated 286d) had declared “INSOLVENCY.” (See: Uniform Commercial Code 1-201(23), Adams verses Richardson, 337 South West 2d 911, Congressional Record - House, May 4, 1992, page H 2891).

Subscriber. One who writes his name under a written instrument; one who affixes his signature to any document, whether for the purpose of authenticating or attesting it, of adopting its terms as his own expression, or of binding himself by an engagement which it contains.

One who becomes bound by a subscription to the capital stock of a corporation. One who has agreed to purchase stock from the corporation. One who has agreed to purchase stock from the corporation on the original issue of such stock, whether before or after incorporation. ***Reverses Model Bus. Corp. Act Section 1.40.*** One who agrees to buy securities of a corporation, either bonds or stocks. **Blacks Law Dictionary, 6th Edition.**

¶210. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that the de facto UNITED STATES™, (spelled all uppercase letters) as corporator and subscriber, and the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) and the inter-agency International Financial Institutions, Organizations, Corporations and Associations, including but not limited to, the Federal Reserve Banks are “INSOLVENT.”

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
INSOLVENT DE FACTO FED/STATE TEAMS
OPERATIONS DEAL IN “WORTHLESS SECURITIES”.

¶211. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the bonds, debentures, notes, certificates, securities, warrants, checks and other evidences of debt issued by or in behalf of the de facto UNITED STATES™ (spelled in all uppercase letters) as corporator and subscriber, and the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase

1 **letters)** and the inter-agency International Financial Institutions, and their profligate
2 inter-agency operations became “worthless securities” as a matter of equity and law.

3
4 **“26 Internal Revenue Code 165g, Worthless securities. - (1) General**
5 **rule. -** If any security which is a capital asset becomes worthless during the
6 taxable year, the loss resulting therefrom shall, for the purposes of this
7 subtitle, be treated as a loss from the sale or exchange, on the last day of the
8 taxable year, of a capital asset.”

9
10 **(2) Security defined.**

11 (c) A bond, debenture, note, or certificate, or other evidence of
12 indebtedness, issued by a corporation or by a government or political
13 subdivision thereof, with interest coupons or in registered form.

14 ¶212. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
15 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

16 ☐ **I admit OR deny that the de facto UNITED STATES™ (*spelled in all***
17 ***uppercase letters*) as corporator and subscriber, and the de facto**
18 **“STATE OF ARIZONA™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) and the**
19 **inter-agency International Financial Institutions, Organizations,**
20 **Corporations and Associations, including but not limited to, the**
21 **Federal Reserve Banks are “INSOLVENT” operations dealing in**
22 **“evidences of debt” in their agencies. My agencies operations deal in**
23 **“worthless securities”.**
24 ☐

25 ¶213. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **as stated by John Adams:**

26 ***“I am firmly of the opinion...that there never was a paper pound, a paper***
27 ***dollar, or a paper promise of any kind, that ever yet obtained a general***
28 ***currency [as money] but by force and fraud. That the army has been***
grossly cheated; that the creditors have been infamously defrauded [some
closed their shops to prevent being paid off with worthless paper money];
that the widow and fatherless have been oppressively wronged and
beggard; that the gray hairs of the aged and the innocent, for want of their
just dues, have gone down with sorrow to their graves, in consequence of
our disgraceful depreciated paper currency.” (See: The Financial
History Of The United States, (1896 Edition.), Albert S. Bolies, page 139)

¶214. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that “my” agency, and the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) operations are insolvent dealing in paper dollars, paper promises as general currency (as money) by force and fraud stealing nearly 90% of the people’s labor.

☐ I admit OR deny that “my” agency, and the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) are a cheat; the people have been defrauded; widows and fatherless wronged and made beggars; the elderly are sorrowful, disgraced in consequence of their depreciated paper currency.

¶215. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the **inter-agency Banking associations**, knowing themselves to be insolvent, fraudulently accepted the deposits of people (See: *Easton verses Iowa*, 188 United States 452, at page 454); the very essence and representative of their labor and efforts, their property.

¶216. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that “my” agency, and the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) Officers know or should have known that their inter-agency operations dealing in paper dollars, and paper promises as general currency (as money) by force and fraud stealing nearly 90% of the people’s labor, are insolvent, and fraudulently accepting deposits of the people, which represents their labor efforts, their property and right to property.

¶217. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the de facto federal/de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) the illicit team association having been precluded from lending, loaning or borrowing on the security of the Constitutional gold and silver Coin (See: **18 United States Code Annotated 337**), continues to **loan**,

1 borrow and extended their own re-hypothecated debt credit, preying upon the
2 “necessary confidence between man and man” (See: Federalist Papers No. 44),
3 they have no intentions of returning or giving others “just compensation”, nor
4 honoring their inter-agency “obligations” at any time.

5 *“The fact that those notes constituted the principle currency in which*
6 *ordinary transactions of business were conducted...cannot change the law.*
7 *The notes were not a legal tender for debt, nor could they have been sold*
8 *for the amount due in legal currency. The doctrine that bank bills are a*
9 *good tender, unless objected to at the time, on the ground that they are*
10 *not money, only applies to current bills, which are redeemed at the*
11 *counter of the bank on presentation, and pass at par value in business*
12 *transactions at the place where offered. Notes not thus current at their par*
13 *value, nor redeemable on presentation, are not a good tender to principal*
14 *or agent, whether they are objected to at the time or not.” (See: Ward*
15 *verses Smith, 74 United States (7 Wall) 207, page 210).*

16 *“The Constitution of the United States does not secure to anyone the*
17 *privilege of defrauding the public.” (See: Easton verses Iowa, 188 United*
18 *States 452, page 454)*

19 ¶218. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
20 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

21 ☐ I admit OR deny that the insolvent federal/state team and in this case
22 the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase
23 letters) inter-agency illicit (not allowed by law) associations and
24 operations run on “obligations”, that are not current bills redeemable
25 in coin defrauding the public.

26 ☐ I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto federal/de facto “STATE
27 OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) team and in this
28 case the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase
letters) inter-agency illicit (not allowed by law) associations and
operations are taking nearly 90% of the citizen/members labor and
efforts, their property and right to property, through guarantees on
their own re-hypothecated debt credit “worthless securities”.

¶219. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the de facto “STATE OF COLORADO™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) which is typical of all the states, has *already been investigated*. In the year 1977, the general assembly within the de facto “STATE OF COLORADO™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) failing, refusing and neglecting to take into cognizance the iniquities, Prohibitions and Duties imposed by the Law of the Land and Forum, did however, recognize the inequitable loss from the sale or exchange in said paper evidences of debt, by the passage of Colorado Revised Statutes 39-22-103.5. The loss sustained in over inflated/depreciated purchase value of the non-redeemable, non-current, non-negotiable paper and credit between the base index year of 1967 (See: Colorado Revised Statute 5-1-106), the last year of par value redemption, and the year 1977, left the purchasing value at only .551. (See: American Jurisprudence Desk Book, Item No. 174, supra)

“39-22-103.5 *Annual inflation factor* – purpose.

(1) *The general assembly hereby finds and declares:*

(a) *That the income tax laws of this state, in combination with economic inflation, have caused inequitable treatment of the taxpayer because the application of the inflexible, statutorily prescribed rates of tax, standard deductions and personal exemptions to increasing personal incomes has resulted in increasing the taxpayer’s taxable income although the taxpayer’s purchasing power has remained the same or decreased;*

(b) *That it is the purpose of this section to adopt a practicable method of mitigating the inequity described in paragraph (a) of this subsection (1) by providing flexibility in said rates of tax, standard deductions, and personal exemptions through the development and use of an annual inflation factor.*

(2) (a) *As used in this part 1, “AIF” or “Annual Inflation Factor” means a factor determined by the general assembly on or before July 1 of each year by using the best statistics available, including, but not limited to, the monthly national and Denver area consumer price indexes produced by the bureau of labor and statics of the United States department of labor and commodity indexes published by Dow Jones and Company. The annual inflation factor determined on or before July 1 shall be applied to a taxpayer’s taxable year commencing on or after the January 1 preceding*

1 the date upon which the general assembly determines the annual inflation
2 factor.

3 (b) ...After the taxable year 1978, the department of revenue shall multiply
4 the annual inflation factor for the current taxable year by the rates of tax,
5 standard deductions, and personal exemptions as adjusted by
6 multiplication by the annual inflation factor for the previous year so that
7 the application of the annual inflation factor will be cumulative...and
8 rounded to the nearest dollar.

9 (d) (I) The annual inflation factor for the taxable years commencing on
10 and after **January 1, 1978**, but before January 1, 1979, is **one hundred six**
11 **percent**.

12 (II) The annual inflation factor for income tax years commencing on or
13 after **January 2, 1979**, but before January 1, 1980, is **one hundred seven**
14 **percent**.

15 (III) ...on or after **January 1, 1980**, but before January 1, 1981, is **one**
16 **hundred nine percent**.

17 (IV) ...on or after **January 1, 1981**, but before January 1, 1982, is **one**
18 **hundred eight percent**.

19 (e) Should the general assembly fail to determine the annual inflation
20 factor on or before July 1 of any year, the department of revenue shall
21 presume the annual inflation factor to be one hundred six percent and
22 shall prepare forms accordingly.

23 ¶220. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
24 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

25 I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF
26 ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency
27 operations are dealing in paper dollars, paper promises as general
28 currency (as money) by force and fraud.

29 I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF
30 ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency
31 operations are dealing in paper dollars, paper promises as general
32 currency (as money) by force and fraud does recognize the inequitable
33 loss from the sale or exchange in paper exchanges of debt.

34 ¶221. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Arizona is typical of Colorado. In Colorado
35 investigators considered the cumulative annual inflation factor (AIF) from the year of

unlawful and un-constitutional debauchment of the de jure Gold and Silver Coin Monetary System (1965), and the public dishonoring and disavowing of their Notes and Obligations (1968), and using the last year of par value redemption as the base reference index year of 1967, to the year before the implementation of **Colorado Revised Statute 39-22-103.5, 1977**, and figuring the Annual Inflation Factor (AIF) at a conservative and padded .449 percent loss, and adding the cumulative AIF for the years 1978 to 1982 as declared under subsection (2)(d) at .300 percent, and .060% per year from 1983 to 1991, at .540 percent, totals a miraculous, cumulative, complete and fraudulent loss of 1.29 or 229%. (See also, **26 Internal Revenue Code 165g**, regarding “Worthless Securities”).

¶222. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations that I am employed by, does participation in the un-constitutional debauchment of the of the de jure Gold and Silver Coin Monetary System.

☐ I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, that I am employed by, does participation in the un-constitutional debauchment of the of the de jure Gold and Silver Coin Monetary System. That it has resulted in an estimated cumulative, complete and fraudulent loss of 229% from 1965 to 1991.

¶223. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the de facto “STATE™” spelled in all uppercase letters, et al., in collusion and conspiracy together, and with each other, willfully and wantonly imposed a fraudulent “confidence” game upon “We the People” and our Posterity. (See: **Modern Money Mechanics**, page 3, American Jurisprudence Desk Book, Item 174, Federalist Papers No. 44).

¶224. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny or that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations that I am employed by, are in collusion and conspiracy together, and with each other, willfully, and wantonly impose a fraudulent "confidence" game upon the People and our Posterity.

☐ I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, that I am employed by, are in collusion and conspiracy together, and with each other, willfully, and wantonly impose a fraudulent "confidence" game upon the People and our Posterity that these mischief's and profligate activities are specifically outlawed in our Land.

¶225. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that these mischiefs and profligate activities were specifically outlawed in our Land upon just reason and mature circumspect, and as clearly and undeniably stated by James Madison in **Federalist Papers No. 44**:

*"The **EXTENSION OF THE PROHIBITION TO BILLS OF CREDIT** **MUST** give pleasure to every citizen in proportion to his love of justice and his knowledge of the true springs of public prosperity. **THE LOSS** which America has **SUSTAINED** since the peace, **FROM THE PESTILENT EFFECTS OF PAPER MONEY** on the **NECESSARY CONFIDENCE BETWEEN MAN AND MAN**, on **THE INDUSTRY AND MORALS** of the People, and on **THE CHARACTER OF REPUBLICAN GOVERNMENT**, constitutes an **ENORMOUS DEBT AGAINST** the States chargeable with **THIS UNADVISABLE MEASURE**, which **MUST** long remain unsatisfied; or rather an accumulation of guilt, which can be expiated no otherwise than by a voluntary sacrifice on the alter of justice of the power which has been the instrument of it. In addition to these persuasive considerations, it may be observed that the same reasons which show the necessity of denying the States the power of regulating coin prove with equal force that they ought not to be at liberty to substitute a paper medium in place of coin... No one of these mischief's is less incident to a power in the States to emit paper money than to coin gold and silver. The power to make anything but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debt is withdrawn from the States on the same principle with that of issuing a paper currency."*

¶226. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that deceit and fraud shall not excuse or benefit any man including the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, that JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY work for as a federal employees, which are in collusion and conspiracy together. The insane delusion and illicit prevarication of Fact and Law that the unlawfully substituted paper “Bills of Credit” are a “Dollar”, or representative of it. It is not even worthy of consideration, and any perjuries attempted to claim that assessments have been or are rounded to the nearest “dollar” pursuant to 26 Internal Revenue Code Sections 3402(b) (4), 6102, 7504, would be and is ex facie fraud. “Deceit and fraud shall excuse or benefit no man (they themselves need to be excused).” (See: Commentaries On Equity Jurisprudence, Section 395, Joseph Story, 3 Coke’s Reports 78). Denominations should be made in the more worthy, and inscription on the face of the fraudulent “obligation”, “In God We Trust” is a joke. Trust is for the ignorant.

¶227. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the aforesaid illicit acts, frauds, force, threat of force, and gross malfeasance are in direct and intentional contravention to the clear and unambiguous meaning and intent of our social compact.

“The additional security to Republican Government, to Liberty, and to property, to be derived from the adoption the plan under consideration, consists chiefly...in the precaution against repetition of those practices on the part of State governments which undermine the foundations of property and credit, have planted mutual mistrust in the breasts of all classes of citizens, and have occasioned an almost universal prostration of morals.” (See: Federalist Papers No. 85)

¶228. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that income “consists of gains and profits.” (See: Southern Pacific Company verses Lowe, 247 United States 1142) “We The People” have not and cannot derive a benefit, gain or profit from the unlawful acts and frauds declared herein, and Michael Willis Chase as flesh and blood free and

independent Sovereign Spirit Being, Principal and Superior Creditor, has retained and has both Right and Cause of Action pursuant to the Law of the Land and Forum. (See: **18 United States Code Annotated Section 4, 18 United States Code Annotated Section 2382, Declaration Of Independence** (1776)).

¶229. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Rights recognized by the Constitution do not depend on legislative action to become operative (See: Medina verses People, 387 P.2d 733), nor are the inalienable perfect Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Liberties according to the Law of Nations subject to denial or disparagement by the perverted and insane delusion, and willful misrepresentations of pettifogger shysters, the equal opportunity employed insane or incompetent, nor political hacks, whether public or private, in or out of the de jure “State of Arizona” spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters. I Michael Willis Chase’s opinion they are LOCK-STEPPED CONFORMISTS. They don’t speak nor understand the language or basic, fundamental principles of our Land, and further, have no intention of abiding by the Laws of the Creator, of Nature, nor those of the Land and Forum.

¶230. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations that I am employed by, are in collusion and conspiracy together, and with each other willfully and wantonly impose a fraudulent “confidence” game upon “We the People” and our Posterity. I have every intention of abiding by the Laws of the Creator, of Nature, and those of the Land and Forum.

¶231. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in **1980 Congress passed**, among other things, **Public Law 96-221**, 92 Statute 133, providing for the furtherance and expansion of the **profligate re-hypothecated debt credit pyramid scheme** and reduced the reserve requirements on “transaction accounts” to a minimum of 3% per centum, with

1 a maximum of 14% per centum. (See: **Depository Institutions Deregulation And**
2 **Monetary Control Act of 1980**, Section 103(b)(E)(2)), and as admitted by the Federal
3 **Reserve Banks**, in their own publications:

4 “In the United States neither paper currency nor deposits have value as
5 commodities. Intrinsically, a dollar bill is just a piece of paper. Deposits
6 are merely book entries. Coins do have some intrinsic value as metal, but
generally far less than their face amount.

7 “What then, makes these instruments - checks, papers money, and coins -
8 acceptable at face value in payment of all debts and for other monetary
9 uses? Mainly, **it is the confidence people have...**

10 **”In the absence of legal reserve requirements, banks can build up**
11 **deposits by increasing loans and investments so long as they keep**
12 **enough currency on hand to redeem whatever amounts the holders of**
13 **deposits want to convert into currency.** This unique attribute of the
14 banking business was discovered several centuries ago. At one time,
15 bankers were merely middlemen. They made a profit by accepting gold
16 and coins brought to them for safekeeping and lending them to borrowers.
But then they soon found that the receipts they issued to depositors were
being used as money since whoever held them could go to the banker and
exchange them for metallic money.

17 **“Then bankers discovered that they could make loans merely by giving**
18 **borrowers their PROMISES to pay (bank notes) In this way banks**
19 **began to create money.** More notes could be issued than the gold and coin
20 on hand because only a portion of the notes outstanding would be presented
21 for payment at any one time. Enough metallic money had to be kept on
hand, of course, to redeem whatever volume of notes was presented for
payment.

22 **“Transaction deposits are the modern counter-part of bank notes. It was a**
23 **small step from printing bank notes to making book entries to the credit of**
24 **borrowers which the borrowers, in turn, could “spend” by writing checks,**
thereby creating their own money.”

25 (See: **Modern Money Mechanics**, a workbook on deposits currency and
26 bank reserves., (1982 Revised Edition), **Federal Reserve Bank of**
27 **Chicago**, P.O. Box 834, Chicago, Illinois, 60690, pages 3 & 4.

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
“Silent Weapon for Quiet Warfare”
Used on the American’s and All of Mankind.

¶232. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that as put in “*Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars*”, it is stated as follows:

“Mr. Rothchild had discovered that currency or deposit loan accounts had the required appearance of power that could be used to induce people (inductance, with people corresponding to a magnetic field) into surrendering their real wealth in exchange for a promise of greater wealth (instead of real compensation). they would put up real collateral in exchange for a loan of promissory notes. Mr. Rothchild found that he could issue more notes than he had backing for, so long as he had someone’s stock of gold as a persuader to show to his customers.

*Mr. Rothchild loaned his promissory notes to individuals and governments. These would create over-confidence. Then he would make money scarce, tighten control of the system, and collect the collateral through the obligation of contracts. The cycle was then repeated. **These pressures could be used to IGNITE a war. Then he would CONTROL the availability of currency to DETERMINE who would win the war. The government which agreed to give him control of its economic system got his support. Collection of debts was guaranteed by economic aid to the enemy of the debtor. The profit derived from this economic methodology made Mr. Rothchild wealthier and all the more able to extend his wealth. He found that the public greed would allow currency to be printed by government order beyond the limits (inflation) of backing in precious metals or the production of goods and services (gross national product, GNP).***

APPARENT CAPITAL
AS
“PAPER” INDUCTOR

*“In this structure, **credit**, presented as a pure circuit element **called “currency”**, has the appearance of capital, but is in fact, **negative capital**. Hence, it has the appearance of service, but is, in fact, indebtedness or debt. **It is therefore an economic inductance instead of an economic capacitance, and if balanced in no other way, will be balanced by the***

1 *negation of the population (war, genocide). The total goods and services*
2 *represents real capital called the gross national product, and currency may*
3 *be printed up to this level and still represent economic capacitance; but*
4 *currency printed beyond this level is subtractive, represents the induction of*
5 *economic inductance, and constitutes **notes of indebtedness. WAR IS***
6 ***THEREFORE THE BALANCING OF THE SYSTEM BY KILLING THE***
7 ***TRUE CREDITORS** (the public which we have taught to exchange true*
8 *value for inflated currency) and falling back on whatever is left of the*
9 *resources of nature and the regeneration of those resources.*

10 “Mr. Rothchild had discovered that currency gave him the power to
11 rearrange the economic structure to his own advantage, to shift economic
12 inductance to those economic positions which would encourage the
13 greatest economic instability and oscillation.

14 “The final key to economic control had to wait until there was sufficient
15 data and high speed computing equipment to keep close watch on the
16 economic oscillations created by price shocking and excess paper energy
17 credits - - (paper inductance/inflation).” (See: “**Silent Weapons For**
18 **Quiet Wars**”, pages 12 and 13).

19 ¶233. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that one hundred and six (106) years is not a
20 “temporary” emergency. (See: Congressional Research Service (CRS) Report For
21 Congress, National Emergency Powers, **December 10, 1990, Revised April 29, 1991,**
22 **91-383 GOV**). It is **permanent state of “Emergency”**, and was clearly instituted,
23 formed, erected and enforced within the Union through gross usurpations,
24 abridgements, malfeasance and breach of legal duties, and through the continual
25 contrivance, misrepresentation, conversion, fluctuations, fraud and avarice of the
26 International Financial Institutions, Organizations, Corporations and Associations,
27 including but not limited to, the Federal Reserve, their “fiscal and depository agent.”
28 **22 United States Code Annotated 286d.** This redundant profligate practice has led to
such “Emergency” legislation as the “**Public Debt Limit-Balance Budget And**
Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985”, Public Law 99-177, etc. (See also, National

Advertisement, & The Wall Street Journal, Tuesday, November 6, 1990, “The Curse Of The Paper Dollar”, by Lewis E. Lehrman).

¶234. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that it cannot be doubted that *a budget cannot be balanced on an arbitrary fluctuating medium of exchange*, and especially one so debased and adulterated as to have no rational relationship to reality and natural law. “*Commerce*, by the Law of Nations, ought **NOT** to be converted into a *monopoly* and the private gain of a few.” (See: **Coke’s Pleas Of The Crown**, 181).

¶235. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the truth of the operation is more appropriately connivingly stated and describe in **Silent Weapons For Quiet Wars**, at pages 8 and 9, under the heading “**Descriptive Introduction Of The Silent Weapon**”:

“Everything that is expected from an ordinary weapon is expected from a silent weapon by its creators, but only in its own manner of functioning.

“It shoots situations, instead of bullets; propelled by data processing, instead of chemical reaction (explosion); originating from bit of data, instead of a gun; operated by a computer programmer, instead of a marksman; under orders of a banking magnate, instead of a military general. It makes no obvious explosive noises, causes no obvious physical or mental injuries, and does not obviously interfere with anyone’s daily social life.

“Yet it makes an unmistakable ‘noise’, causes unmistakable physical and mental damage, and unmistakably interferes with daily social life, i.e. unmistakable to a trained observer, one who knows what to look for.

“The public might instinctively feel that something is wrong, but because of the technical nature of the silent weapon, they cannot express their feelings in a rational way, or handle the problem with intelligence. Therefore, they do not know how to cry out for help, and do not know how to associate with others to defend themselves against it.

“When a silent weapon is applied gradually to the public, the public adjusts/adapts to its presence and learns to tolerate its encroachment on their lives until the pressure (psychological via economic) becomes too great and they crack up.

1
2 “Therefore, *the silent weapon* is a type of biological warfare. It *attacks*
3 *the vitality, options, and mobility of the individuals of a society by*
4 *knowing, understanding, manipulating, and attacking their sources of*
5 *natural and social energy, and their physical, mental, and emotional*
6 *strengths and weaknesses.”*

7 ¶236. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the intent and objective was not to resolve
8 any emergency; it was to create “terrorism” and “emergencies” for the express
9 purpose of changing the governmental, social, economic and industrial character of
10 the de jure society, to infringe and abrogate perfect inalienable Rights, steal and
11 alienate the *inalienable perfect birth Rights of “We the People”*, impair the
12 obligations of honest private contracts, to defraud and obtain a benefit from those
13 activates, create turbulence and contention, overthrow, and to establish a corrupt
14 totalitarian oligarch and combination, in direct contravention to *the Law of the Land*
15 *and Forum*, and against the Peace, Dignity and Security of “We The People”.
16 Petitioner demands the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in
17 all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the de
18 facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) Cease and
19 Desist!!!

20 STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
21 “Specific Oath” Required of All Officers
22 of the de jure United States of America Changed.

23 ¶237. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in 1988 the Congress determined that the
24 “specific oath” required of all Officers of the de jure United States of America (See:
25 Constitution For the United States of America, Article VI) was “obsolete”, and that
26 *INTERPOL™ Agents, such as U.S. Marshals, were no longer subject to, nor directed,*
27 *to service and labor to “We The People”.* (See: **28 United States Code Annotated**

1 **563, Oath Of Office**, Form USM-1, **Congressional Record-Senate**, November 10,
2 1988, **Congressional Record-House**, September 10, 1988, pages H7934, and H7935)

3
4 ¶238. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
5 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

6 ☐ I admit OR deny that in 1988 the Congress determined that the
7 “specific oath” required of all Officers of the de jure United States of
8 America (spelled in upper and lowercase letters) (See: Constitution
9 For the United States of America, Article VI) was “obsolete”, and that
10 ☐ INTERPOL™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) Agents, such as the
11 inter-agency operations I am employed by, were no longer subject to
12 nor directed to service and labor to “We The People”.

13
14 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**
15 **Interpol Agents**

16 ¶239. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that INTERPOL™ (*spelled in all uppercase*
17 *letters*) Agents are a part of an “**International Force**”, under direction and control of
18 the Secretary General of the United Nations (See: **Congressional Record-House,**
19 **September 22, 1988**, page H7936), the Secretary of Treasury a/k/a the alien corporate
20 Governor of “The Fund” and “The Bank” and the U.S. Attorney General (See:
21 **Memorandum of Understanding, U.S. Government Manual 1990/1991**, page 385,
22 International Criminal Police Organization (“INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase*
23 *letters*) Constitution And General Regulations; GAO, Briefing Report to the Chairman,
24 Subcommittee on Civil and constitutional Rights, Committee on Judiciary, U.S. House
25 of Representatives, Counterterrorism, Role of Interpol and the U.S. National Central
26 Bureau, June 1987, GAO/GGD-87-93BR; Report Of The Comptroller General Of the
27 United States, United States Participation In “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase*
28 *letters*) The International Criminal Police Organization, December 27, 1976, ID-76-77),
the expatriated alien, permanent members of the “**Secretariat.**”

¶240. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that I am an “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) Agent and a part of an “International Force”, under direction and control of the Secretary General of the United Nations™, the Secretary of Treasury a/k/a the alien corporate Governor of “The Fund” and “The Bank” and the U.S.™ Attorney General.

¶241. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) Agents are required to renounce their allegiance to their respective Countries and State, as evidenced by Letter, Internal Memorandum, June 6, 1972, Mr. John e. Ingersoll, Director John Warner, Chief, Strategic Intelligence Office, on page 2, to wit:

“The Secretariat consists of international police officers who have given up their allegiance to their individual countries for the term assigned to INTERPOL.” (See also, **Constitution And General Regulations, INTERPOL™**, Articles 25-30, **8 United States Code Annotated Section 1481, 22 United States Code Annotated Section 611**).

¶242. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that I am an “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) Agent and that “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) Agents are required to renounce their allegiance to their respective de jure Countries and States.

¶243. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that while acting under the **Constitution And General Regulations** of INTERPOL™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) these **Foreign Agents** claim complete exemption from the domestic Laws of the host Nation, State or Local Authority.

“In the exercise of their duties, the Secretary General and the staff shall neither solicit nor accept instructions from any government or authority”

outside the Organization. They shall abstain from any action which might be prejudicial to their international task.” (See: **Constitution And General Regulations**, Article 30, Clause 1).

¶244. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit or Deny**, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that I am an “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) Agent and that while acting under the **Constitution And General Regulations** of INTERPOL™ (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) as an “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) Foreign Agent I claim complete exemption from the domestic Laws of my host de jure Nation, State or Local Authority.

¶245. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that these **expatriated aliens**, under pretext and pretense of UNITED STATES™ (marshals, treasury, sheriffs, attorney’s, etc.) were then given weapons/arms and ordered to **take**, **seize**, **steal**, and **trespass** upon the property and rights to property of the Americans of the several Republican States of the Union, constituting an act of **invasion**, **war**, **insurrection** and **rebellion** by Foreign Powers and their Agent/Subjects, including but not limited to the insolvent **de facto** “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*). Petitioner **commands** that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) **Cease and Desist!!!** (See: **Constitution For the United States of America** (1787), Article III, Section 3, Article IV, Section 4).

¶246. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit or Deny**, then initial second box.

1 ☐ I admit OR deny that I am an "INTERPOL™" (*spelled in all*
2 *uppercase letters*) Agent and that while acting under the Constitution
3 And General Regulations of "INTERPOL™" (*spelled in all uppercase*
4 *letters*) that I am an expatriated alien, under pretext and pretense of
5 the "UNITED STATES™" (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) (marshals,
6 treasury, sheriffs, attorney's, etc.).

7 ☐ I admit OR deny that I am an "INTERPOL™" (*spelled in all*
8 *uppercase letters*) Agent and that I have been given weapons/arms and
9 ordered to take, seize, steal, and trespass upon the property and rights
10 to property of Americans of the several de jure Republican States of
11 the Union (*spelled in upper and lowercase letters*), constituting an act
12 of invasion, war, insurrection and rebellion by Foreign Powers and
13 their Agent/Subjects.

14 ¶247. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a further objective of the International
15 Organizations was to disarm the Militia, which is also known as the National Guard
16 (See: **Constitution For the United States of America** (1787)), Amendment II, 10
17 United States Code Annotated 31), the free born Natural Citizens of the several
18 Republican States of the Union (See: **A New World Order**, pages 11 and 12), a viable
19 deterrent to a furtherance of their fraudulent, arbitrary international activities, armed
20 pacific settlements, and connive, seditious agreements and associations.

21 ¶248. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
22 Admit or Deny, then initial second box.

23 ☐ I admit OR deny that I am an "INTERPOL™" (*spelled in all*
24 *uppercase letters*) Agent and that a further objective of the
25 International Organizations is to disarm the Militia, the free born
26 Natural Citizens of the several de jure Republican States of the Union
27 including the state of Arizona (*all spelled in upper and lowercase*
28 *letters*), as a viable deterrent to a furtherance of the "One World
Order's" fraudulent, arbitrary international activities, armed pacific
settlements, and connived, seditious agreements and associations.

¶249. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that these aliens were further authorized by Congress to enter into the STATE POLICE under pretense of the “Police Corp And Law Enforcement Training And Education Act”, Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control And Safe Streets Act of 1968, (42 United States Code Annotated 3711, et seq). Section 2405 of the amended Act subtitled “Selection Of Participants” declared:

“(A) **IN GENERAL** - Participants in State Police Corps programs shall be selected on a competitive basis by each State under regulations **prescribed by the Director.** “

(b)**Selection Criteria And Qualifications.** - (1) In order to participate in a State Police Corps program, a participant **MUST** -

“(A) be a **citizen of the United States** or an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States.” (See: Congressional Record - House, October 22, 1991, page H8154).

¶250. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit or Deny**, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that I am an “INTERPOL™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) Agent alien authorized by Congress to enter into the Arizona State police, under pretense of the “Police Corp And Law Enforcement Training And Education Act”, Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control And Safe Streets Act of 1968.

¶251. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the alien, inter-agency “INTERPOL™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) operations can and do obtain information on Americans and their families even though no specific criminal incident has occurred, and use numerous documents to access and obtain information, including but not limited to, social security numbers, passports, drivers licenses, vehicle registration, finger prints, medical and dental records, bank accounts, and numerous other inter-agency records, indexes and files (See: **GAO Briefing Report, Role of Interpol and the U.S. National Central Bureau, GAO/GGD-87-93BR**, pages 2, 3, 17, and 18), and claims exclusion and immunity from Freedom of Information Act, and the Privacy Act

of 1974, **5 United States Code Annotated Section 552**, and numerous other domestic Laws. (See: **Executive Order No. 12425, Code of Federal Regulations (1 or 3?) (CFR) 5.4**).

¶252. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit or Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I am an “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) Agent and that the inter-agency “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) operations, that I’m employed by, can and do obtain information on Americans and their families, even though no specific criminal incident has occurred, and use numerous documents to access and obtain information, including but not limited to, social security numbers, passports, drivers licenses, vehicle registration, finger prints, medical and dental records, bank accounts, and numerous other inter-agency records, indexes and files.

¶253. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the International Revenue Service, being represented members of “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) also used telephone numbers through the “**Automated Collection System (ACS)**” to access files. (See: **GAO Report to the Joint Committee on Taxation, U.S. Congress, “Tax Administration”,** Extent and Causes of Erroneous Levies, December 1990, GAO/GGD-91-9, page 1) ***The inter-agency, international Law merchants*** and their factors had obtained access to all facets of anyone’s private life, affairs and their property, whether corporeal or incorporeal in their nature. ***Those of alien character and certain expatriates had declared themselves above the Law of Nations or of any particular Nation/State.***

¶254. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit or Deny, then initial second box.

1 ☐ I admit OR deny that I am an “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all*
2 *uppercase letters*) Agent and Tax Collector for the Internal Revenue
3 Service and that the inter-agency “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all*
4 *uppercase letters*) operations, that I’m employed by, can and do obtain
5 information on Citizens and their families, even though no specific
6 criminal incident has occurred, and use numerous documents to
7 access and obtain information, including but not limited to, social
security numbers, passports, drivers licenses, vehicle registration,
finger prints, medical and dental records, bank accounts, and
numerous other inter-agency records, indexes and files.

8 ☐ I admit OR deny that I am an alien in character and expatriate
9 “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) Agent and Tax
10 Collector for the Internal Revenue Service and that the inter-agency
11 “INTERPOL™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) operations and the
12 Internal Revenue Service that I’m employed by, and that I declare
13 myself above the Law of Nations and Common Law of the de jure
14 “State of Arizona” (*spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters*) and the
de jure “United States of America” (*spelled in uppercase and*
lowercase letters).

15 ¶255. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Citizen/Principal/Sovereign, “WE THE
16 PEOPLE” formed, ordained and established the several de jure Republican States and
17 Union and empowered the several de jure Republican States and Union and empowered
18 our Public Office in the “Preamble”, (See: *U.S. verses Cruikshank*, 92 U.S. 588, page
19 590, *Colorado Anti-Discrimination Commission verses Case*, 380 P.2d 34) and as
20 clearly stated by Alexander Hamilton in Federalist Paper No. 84:

21 *“It has been several times truly remarked that the bills of rights are in their*
22 *origin, stipulations between kings and their subjects, abridgements of*
23 *prerogative in favor of their privilege, reservations of rights not*
24 *surrendered to the prince. **Such was Magna Carta, obtained by the***
25 *barons, sword in hand, from King John. Such were the subsequent*
26 *confirmations of that charter by subsequent princes. Such was the Petition*
27 *of right assented to by Charles the First in the beginning of his reign.*
28 *Such, also, was the Declaration of Right presented by the **Lords of***

Commons to the Prince of Orange in 1688, and afterwards thrown into the form of an act of Parliament called the Bill of Rights.

It is evident, therefore, that, according to their primitive signification, they have no application to constitution, professedly founded upon the power of the people and executed by their immediate to their primitive signification, they have no application to constitutions, professedly founded upon the power of the people and executed by their immediate representatives and servants.

*Here, in strictness, **the people surrender nothing; and as they retain everything they have no need of particular reservations.** “WE THE PEOPLE of the United States, to secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this constitution for the United States of America.”*

Here is a better recognition of popular rights than volumes of aphorisms which make the principle figure in several of our States bills of rights and which would sound much better in a treaties of ethics than in a constitution of government.”

¶256. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit or Deny, then initial second box.

☐

I admit OR deny that I am the Citizen / Principal / Sovereign, “We The People” who formed, ordained and established the de jure several Republican States and Union and empowered the de jure several Republican States and Union and empowered Public Office in the “Preamble”, and in strictness, that this Petitioner / Citizen / Principal / Sovereign has surrendered nothing; and as this Petitioner retains everything, Michael Willis Chase, has no need of particular reservations.

☐

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW

PUBLIC SERVANTS HAVE IGNORED THE PRIME DIRECTIVE.

¶257. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that one cannot disparage, impair, abrogate, or diminish the Liberties, Rights, Privileges or Immunities of another, without necessarily diminishing their own and that of their Posterity. Wholly ignoring the prime directive, the contrived “Emergency” usurpations and abridgments are of the same general character and reaction. **“In the general course of human nature, a power over a**

1 **man's substance amounts to a power over his will.”** (See: Federalist Papers No.
2 **79)** The adverse effects and intent reached far beyond the misrepresented exigency.

3 *“...the full meaning of that word “emergency” related to far more than*
4 *banks: it covered the whole economic and therefore whole social structure*
5 *of the country. It was an emergency that went to the roots of our*
6 *agriculture, our commerce, and our industry; it was an emergency that*
7 *existed for a whole generation in its underlying causes and for three and*
8 *one-half years in its viable effects.*

9 *“It could not be cured in a week, in a month, or a year. It could not*
10 *be cured in a week, in a month, or a year. It called for a long series of*
11 *new laws, new measures affecting different subjects; but all of them*
12 *component parts of a fairly broad plan. Most of all it called for readiness*
13 *and understanding on the part of the people. We could never go back to the*
14 *old order.”*

15 (See: **A Brief History Of The Emergency Powers In The United States**,
16 A working paper prepared for the Special Committee on National
17 Emergencies and Delegated Emergency Powers, U.S. Senate, 93rd
18 Congress, 2nd Session, July, 1974, page 56, citing F.D. Roosevelt)

19 ¶258. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
20 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

21 I admit OR deny that that I cannot disparage, impair, abrogate, or
22 diminish the Liberties, Rights, Privileges or Immunities of another,
23 without necessarily diminishing my own and that of my Posterity.

24 I admit OR deny that the World Bank and the International
25 Monetary Fund having power over my substance amounts to power
26 over my will.

27 ¶259. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Petitioner, Michael Willis Chase, de jure
28 sovereign/spirit being demands that the de facto “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in
all uppercase letters) and the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all
uppercase letters) and their agents stop “lending or pledging “We The People’s”
credit or faith, directly or indirectly, in any manner to or in aid of, any person,
company or corporation, public or private, for any amount, or for any purpose

1 whatever; or become responsible for any debts, contracts or liability of any
2 person, company or corporation, public or private, in or out of the State.

3
4 ¶260. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
5 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

6 ☐ I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto “UNITED STATES™”
7 (spelled in all uppercase letters) and the insolvent de facto “STATE
8 OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) and their agents,
9 including myself, “lend or pledging the American People’s credit or
10 faith, directly or indirectly, in any manner to or in aid of, any person,
11 company or corporation, public or private, for any amount, or for any
12 purpose whatever.
13 ☐

14 ☐ I admit OR deny that I have become responsible for any debts,
15 contracts or liability of any person, company or corporation, public or
16 private, in or out of the State including the World Bank and the
17 International Monetary Fund.
18 ☐

19
20 ¶261. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that and further, PROHIBITED the Public
21 Servants from making “any donation or grant to, or in aid of, or become a subscriber to,
22 or shareholder in any corporation, or company or a joint owner with any person,
23 company or corporation, public or private, in or out of the state, except as to such
24 ownership as may accrue to the state by escheat, or by forfeiture, by operation of law.”

25
26 ¶262. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
27 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

28 ☐ I admit OR deny that I am a public servant of the insolvent de facto
“STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) and have
ignored the prime directive “TO SECURE THE BLESSINGS OF
LIBERTY TO OURSELVES AND OUR POSTERITY” through
contrived “Emergency” usurpations and abridgments going to the
roots of public agriculture, trade, commerce, business and industry.
☐

☐ I admit OR deny that I am public servants of the de facto “**UNITED STATES™**” (spelled in all uppercase letters) and have ignored the prime directive “**TO SECURE THE BLESSINGS OF LIBERTY TO OURSELVES AND OUR POSTERITY**” through contrived “Emergency” usurpations and abridgments going to the roots of public agriculture, trade, commerce, business and industry.

☐ I admit OR deny that I am a servant or agent, of the World Bank and International Monetary Fund and have ignored the prime directive “**TO SECURE THE BLESSINGS OF LIBERTY TO OURSELVES AND OUR POSTERITY**” through contrived “Emergency” usurpations and abridgments going to the roots of public agriculture, trade, commerce, business and industry.

☐ I admit OR deny that I am a servant or agent, of Interpol and have ignored the prime directive “**TO SECURE THE BLESSINGS OF LIBERTY TO OURSELVES AND OUR POSTERITY**” through contrived “Emergency” usurpations and abridgments going to the roots of public agriculture, trade, commerce, business and industry.

¶263. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto “**STATE OF ARIZONA™**” (spelled in all uppercase letters) willfully ignored the express prohibitions on numerous occasions and counts. The Department of Treasury, under purported direction and authority of the Office of Governor have in fact lent and pledged the faith and credit of the State and assumed responsibility for the debts, liabilities and obligations of others, and further, have invested in such operations as the International Bank For Reconstruction And Development. **Petitioner demands that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) Cease and Desist!!!**

¶264. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

1 ☐ I admit OR deny that as an officer or agent for the insolvent de facto
2 "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) I willfully
3 ignored prohibitions having in fact lent and pledged the faith and
4 credit of the de jure State (spelled in all upper and lowercase letters)
5 ☐ assuming responsibility for the debts, liabilities and obligation of
6 others having invested in such operations as the International Bank
7 For Reconstruction And Development [The World Bank].

8 ¶265. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF
9 ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) did and does now have a financial
10 interest in the fraudulent and deceptive practices and de facto inter-agency,
11 international operations, not to mention that the de facto inter-agency, international
12 operations, not to mention that the de facto agents are remunerated for their illicit acts
13 by non-redeemable, non-current warrants (Bills of Credit) drawn on the fiscal and
14 depository agency, the Federal Reserve Banks, which for "The Fund" and "The Bank",
15 and use the Public Offices to fraudulently force their illicit law merchant obligations
16 and substitutions off on others. **Petitioner demands that the insolvent de facto**
17 **"STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency**
18 **operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto "COUNTY OF**
19 **YAVAPAI™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) Cease and Desist!!!**

20 Bills of Credit is provided by the Constitution of the United States, Article
21 1, section 10, that no state shall "emit bills of credit, or make anything but
22 gold and silver coin a tender in payment or debts." Such bills of credit are
23 declared to mean promissory notes or bills issued exclusively on the credit
24 of the several states, and for the payment of which the faith of the state only
25 is pledged.

26 ¶266. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
27 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

28 ☐ I admit OR deny that I am an agent of the insolvent de facto "STATE
OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) and that it's de
facto agents are remunerated for their illicit acts by non-redeemable,
☐ non-current warrants (Bills of Credit) drawn on the fiscal and

depository agents, the Federal Reserve Banks, for “The Fund” and “The Bank”.

☐ I admit OR deny that I am an agent of the de facto “UNITED STATES OF AMERICA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) and that the de facto agents are remunerated for their illicit acts by non-redeemable, non-current warrants (Bills of Credit) drawn on the fiscal and depository agent, the Federal Reserve Banks, for “The Fund” and “The Bank”.

☐ I admit OR deny that I am an agent of the World Bank and International Monetary Fund and it is the foreign agents that are remunerated for their illicit acts by non-redeemable, non-current warrants (Bills of Credit) drawn on the fiscal and depository agents, the Federal Reserve Banks, for “The Fund” and “The Bank”.

☐ I admit OR deny that I’m an agent of the World Bank and International Monetary Fund and use the Public Offices to fraudulently force “The Fund” and “The Bank’s” non-redeemable,

¶267. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that having violated the fundamental Law of the Land and the de jure “State of Arizona” (spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters) and Forum, and breaching numerous duties imposed upon the Public Offices by Law, those holding, enjoying and wrongfully exercising our Public Offices of Honor, Trust and Profit determined that it was/is in their de facto providence to further violate the fundamental **Constitution For de jure The State of Arizona** and deposit and pay all fees, etc. into their Foreign Organizations “fiscal and depository agency.” 22 United States Code Annotated 286d), (See also, Public Law 95-147, 91 Statute 1227)

“There is no position which depends on clearer principle than that every act of a delegated authority, contrary to the tenor or the commission under which it is exercised is void. No legislative act, therefore, contrary to the Constitution, can be valid. To deny this would be to affirm that the deputy is greater than his principle; that the servant is above the master; that the representatives of the people are superior to the people themselves; that men acting by virtue of powers may do not only what their powers do not authorize, but what they forbid.”

(See: Federalist Papers No. 78, Alexander Hamilton)

¶268. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I have violated the fundamental Law of the Land and the de jure “State of Arizona” (spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters) and Forum, and breached numerous duties imposed upon the Public Offices by Law.

I admit OR deny that I am holding, enjoying and wrongfully exercising my Public Offices of Honor, Trust and Profit and have determined that it was in my de facto providence to further violate the fundamental Constitution For the de jure “State of Arizona” (spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters).

I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) deposits and pay all fees, fines etc. into their Foreign Organizations “fiscal and depository agency”.

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
Government Laid Down Its Sovereignty.

¶269. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the government by becoming a corporator, (See: 22 United States Code Annotated 286e) lays down its sovereignty and takes on that of a private citizen. It can exercise no power, which is not derived from the corporate charter. (See: *The Bank of the United States verses Planters Bank of Georgia*, 6 Limited Edition (9 Wheat 244), *F.H.A. verses Burr*, 309 United States 242). Petitioner demands that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) Cease and Desist!!!

¶270. Write in first box whether **YOU** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐

I admit OR deny that the government by becoming a corporator, (See: 22 United States Code Annotated 286e) lays down its sovereignty and takes on that of a private citizen.

☐☐

I admit OR deny that the government by becoming a corporator, can exercise no power, which is not derived from the corporate charter.

☐

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
The Real Party in Interest “The Principals”
are “The Bank” and “The Fund”

¶271. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the real character of the party in interest is not the de jure “United States” or the de jure “State of Arizona” (spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters) but “The Bank” and “The Fund.” (See: 22 United States Code Annotated 286, et seq.). Petitioner demands that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) Cease and Desist!!!

¶272. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

☐

I admit OR deny that my principals, the real party in interest, is “The Bank” and “The Fund”, not the de jure “State of Arizona”, nor the de jure “United States” (both spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters).

☐

¶273. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the exercise of delegated Power to regulate commerce (See: Constitution FOR The United States of America, Article I, Section 8, Clause 3) and the act of engaging in commerce are two different acts, and those dealing with “The Bank” and “The Fund” act “under and according to its charter.” Petitioner demands that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*)

Cease and Desist!!! (See: *Osborn verses The Bank of the United States*, 6 L. Ed. (9 Wheat) 204, page 220, **22 United States Code Annotated** Section 286g). **Petitioner demands** that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) **Cease and Desist!!!**

¶274. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that my principals, the real party in interest, is “The Bank” and “The Fund”, not the de jure “State of Arizona”, nor the de jure “United States” (both spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters) because they have laid down their sovereignty and taken on the character of a private citizen engaging in commerce under “The Bank” and “The Fund” charter.

¶275. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the continual commission and enforcement of such acts are committed under false and fraudulent pretenses and impersonations (See: **18 United States Code Annotated** 219, **18 United States Code Annotated** 912, **18 United States Code Annotated** 951, (See: **18 United States Code Annotated** 241) (See: **18 United States Code Annotated** 1001), (See: **18 United States Code Annotated** 645, **18 United States Code Annotated** 654), (See: **18 U.S.C.A. §§ 2384, 2385, C.R.S. 18-11-203**). **Petitioner demands** that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) **Cease and Desist!!!**

¶276. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

☐ I admit OR deny that my principals, the real party in interest, is “The Bank” and “The Fund” not the de jure “State of Arizona”, nor the de jure “United States” (both spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters) in sovereign character because they have laid down their sovereignty and taken on the character of a private citizen under “The Bank” and “The Fund” charter.

☐ I admit OR deny that the de facto “GOVERNMENT™” by becoming a corporator, can exercise no power, which is not derived from the corporate charter.

☐ I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” and the insolvent de facto “UNITED STATES™” (both spelled in all uppercase letters) ARE ENGAGING IN COMMERCE AND ARE IN CORPORATE CHARACTER AND THEIR ACTS, which are committed under false and fraudulent pretenses and impersonations of being in sovereign character IS A LIE!!!

¶277. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” nor the de facto “UNITED STATES OF AMERICA™” (both spelled in all uppercase letters) as agents of their principals, “The Bank” and “The Fund”, are ENGAGING IN COMMERCE PROFESSING TO BE IN SOVEREIGN CHARACTER. Therefore, foreign legislative tribunals and agencies acts are committed under false and fraudulent pretenses and impersonations done by colors, fraud, liens, assessments, dispossessions, alienation, seizures, force, threat of force and expropriation done under "Letters of Marque and Reprisal", i.e. "recapture" by the “The Bank”, “The Fund” and “Interpol” agents and employees.

¶278. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that “Letters of Marque and Reprisal” is the name given to a commission granted by the supreme power of a state to a private person for the purpose of seizing the property of a foreign state or its subjects. “Recapture” is a war term which is understood as the recovery from the enemy, by a friendly force, of a prize by him captured. It seems incumbent on follow citizens and it is, of course, equally the duty of allies to rescue each other from the enemy when there is a

reasonable prospect of success. The recaptors are not entitled to the property captured, as if it were a new prize. The owner is entitled to it by the right of postliminium, which is that right in virtue of which persons and things taken by the enemy are restored to their former state, when coming again under the power of the nation to which they belong. It is a right recognized by the law of nations, and contributes essentially to mitigate calamities of war. When, therefore, property taken by the enemy is either recaptured or rescued from him, by the fellow subjects or allies of the original owner, it does not become the property of the recaptor or rescuer, as if it had been a new prize, but it is restored to the original owner by right of postliminy, upon certain terms.

¶279. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that foreign legislative tribunals and agencies false and fraudulent pretenses and impersonations many times are done by colors, fraud, liens, assessments, dispossessions, alienation, seizures, force, threat of force and expropriation done under "Letters of Marque and Reprisal", i.e. "recapture" by the "The Bank", "The Fund" and "Interpol" agents and employees. (See: 31 U.S.C.A 5323). **Petitioner *demands* that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto "COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) Cease and Desist!!!**

¶280. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that my principal, the real party in interest, is "The Bank", "The Fund" or "INTERPOL™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) not the de jure "State of Arizona", nor the de jure "United States" (both spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters) in sovereign character.

I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™", and the insolvent de facto "UNITED STATES™" (both spelled in all uppercase letters) AND ENGAGING IN

1 COMMERCE ARE IN CORPORATE CHARACTER SUCH AS
2 foreign legislative tribunals and agencies.

3 ☐ I admit OR deny that false and fraudulent pretenses and
4 impersonations many times are done by colors, fraud, liens,
5 assessments, dispossessions, alienation, seizures, force, threat of force
6 and expropriation under "Letters of Marque and Reprisal", i.e.
7 "recapture" by the "The Bank", "The Fund" and "Interpol" foreign
8 agents and employees.

9 ¶281. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that such principles as "*Fraud and Justice never*
10 *dwell together*" (See: Wingate's Maxims 680), and "*A right of action cannot arise*
11 *out of fraud*" (See: Broom's Maxims 297, Cowper's Reports 343, 5 Scott's New
12 Reports 558, 10 Mass. 276, 38 Fed. 800), are too high of a thought concept, as is "*Due*
13 *Process*", "*Just Compensation*", and "*Justice*" itself. **HONOR IS EARNED BY**
14 **HONESTY AND INTEGRITY**, not under false and fraudulent pretenses, nor will the
15 color of the cloth one wears, nor fine spun illicit prevarications, cover-up and conceal
16 the usurpations, lies, frauds, trickery and deceit. When black is fraudulently declared to
17 be white, not all will live in darkness. As astutely observed by Will Rogers, "*there are*
18 *men running governments who shouldn't be allowed to play with matches*". ***Petitioner***
19 ***demands that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all***
20 ***uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent***
21 ***de facto "COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) Cease and***
22 ***Desist their fraud!!!***

23 ¶282. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY
24 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

25 ☐ I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF
26 ARIZONA™" nor the insolvent de facto "UNITED STATES™"
27 (both spelled in all uppercase letters) as agents of their foreign
28 principals, "The Bank", "The Fund" or "INTERPOL™" (spelled in
all uppercase letters) have a right of action arising out of fraud.

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
Declared National Emergency Rules

¶283. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the contrived "emergencies" have created numerous abuses and usurpations, and abridgments of delegated Powers and Authority. *Petitioner demands that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto "COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) Cease and Desist their contrived "emergencies"!!!* As stated in Senate Report No. 93-549:

"Since March 9, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency. In fact, there are now in effect four presidential proclaimed states of national emergency: In addition to the national emergency declared by President Roosevelt in 1933, there are also the national emergency proclaimed by President Truman on December 16, 1950, during the Korean conflict, and the states of national emergency declared by President Nixon on March 23, 1970, and August 15, 1971.

"These proclamations give force to 470 provisions of Federal Law. These hundreds of statutes delegate to the Congress, which affect the lives of American citizens in a host of all-encompassing manners. This vast range of powers, taken together, confer enough authority to rule the country without reference to normal constitutional process.

"Under the power delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; seize and control all transportation and communication; regulate the operation of private enterprise; restrict travel; and in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens." (See: Foreword, page 111).

¶284. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

1 ☐ I admit OR deny that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF
2 ARIZONA™", and the insolvent de facto "UNITED STATES™"
3 (both spelled in all uppercase letters) as agents of their foreign
4 principal "The Bank", "The Fund" and "Interpol" live in a state of
emergencies.

5 ¶285. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the November 19, 1973 **Senate Report No.**
6 **93-549** "Introduction", on page 1, begins with a phenomenal declaration, to wit:

7 *"A MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES HAVE*
8 *LIVED ALL OF THEIR LIVES UNDER EMERGENCY RULE. For 40*
9 *years, freedoms and governmental procedures guaranteed by the*
10 *Constitution have in varying degrees been abridged by Laws brought into*
force by states of national emergency..."

11 ¶286. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto "UNITED STATES™"
12 and the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (both spelled in all uppercase
13 letters) as agents of their foreign principals, "The Bank" and "The Fund", live in a
14 world of emergencies. No "emergency" justifies a violation of any Constitutional
15 provision. Abridgment has occurred, according to the research done in **16 American**
16 **Jurisprudence, 2nd Edition, Constitutional Law**, §§71, 82, no "emergency" justifies
17 a violation of any Constitutional provision. (See also, *In Re: Powell*, 602 P.2d 711
18 (1979), *Home Bldg & Loan Assn. verses Blaisdell*, 290 U.S. 398 (1933) Arguendo,
19 "Supremacy Clause" and "Separation of Powers", it is clearly admitted in **Senate**
20 **Report No. 93-549** that abridgment has occurred.

21 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**
22 **Overthrow of the Executive, Legislative**
23 **and Judicial Authority**

24 ¶287. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that **8 U.S.C.A. §1481** is one of the controlling
25 statutes on expatriation, as is **22 U.S.C.A §§§611, 612, 613** and **50 U.S.C.A. §781**, and
26 unless an American expatriates and wears the faggot badge of the UNITED
27 NATIONS™ Organizations, they are to be selectively and continually subjected to

1 fraud, extortion, dispossession, embezzlement, alienation, expropriation and extradited
2 into Foreign Jurisdictions, maliciously prosecuted under undisclosed Foreign Laws, or
3 any number of other injuries, damages and evils which manifest themselves from the
4 arbitrary minds of those who have forsaken and disavowed their allegiance to the
5 Nation, several States and the American People. (See: Letter, July 24, 1991,
6 Department of Treasury/Internal Revenue Service, to Jay Depew, A New World
7 Order, Essays on Restructuring The United Nations). *Petitioner demands that the*
8 *insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-*
9 *agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto "COUNTY OF*
10 *YAVAPAI™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) Cease and Desist their fraud,*
11 *extortion, dispossession, embezzlement, alienation, expropriation and extradited into*
12 *Foreign Jurisdictions, maliciously prosecuted under undisclosed Foreign Laws, and*
13 *a number of other injuries, damages and evils, which manifest themselves from the*
14 *arbitrary minds of those who have forsaken and disavowed their allegiance to the*
15 *Nation, the several de jure States and the American People!!!*

16 ¶288. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Internal Revenue Service entered into a
17 "service agreement" with the U.S. Treasury Department/IMF (See: **Public Law 94-**
18 **564, Legislative History, page 5967, Reorganization Plan No. 26**) and the Agency
19 For International Development, pursuant to **Treasury Delegation Order No.91**. (See:
20 **Handbook of Treasury Delegation Orders, Treasury Delegation Order No. 91,**
21 **January 13, 1963, General Agreement (S.I.D./Treasury))** Treasury Delegation Order
22 No. 91 clearly purports to delegate authority to the "FOREIGN TAX ASSISTANCE
23 STAFF." (See: also, 26 I.R.C. §6103(k)(4), 22 U.S.C.A. §285g, 22 U.S.C.A. §287j,
24 **International Cooperation Act of 1991, Report 102-225, House of Representatives,**
25 **102d Congress, 1st Session).**

26 ¶289. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the "Agency For International Development"
27 is an International paramilitary operation (See: **Department Of The Army Field**

Manual, (1969 Ed.) FM 41-10, pages 1-4, Sections 1-7(b) & pages 1-6, Section 1-10(7)(c)(1), 22 U.S.C.A. §284), and includes such activities as "Assumption of full or partial executive legislative, and judicial authority over a country or area." (See: *supra*, pg. 1-7, Section 10(7)(c)(4)), also see, Agreement Between The "UNITED NATIONS™" And The United States Of America Regarding The Headquarters Of The "UNITED NATIONS™", Sections 7(d), (8) & (9), 22 U.S.C.A. §287 (1979 Ed.), page 241).

¶290. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the "Agreement" regarding the Headquarters District of the UNITED NATIONS™ was NOT agreed to (See: **Congressional Record - Senate, December 13, 1967, Mr. Thrummed**), and is illegally in the Country in the first instant, and that Article II, Section 7 of the UNITED NATIONS™ Charter prohibits any interference in domestic affairs. *Petitioner demands that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto "COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) Cease and Desist from prohibited interference in the domestic affairs by the "UNITED NATIONS™" by allowing assumption of full or partial executive legislative, and judicial authority over this Nation or the several de jure States and the American People!!!*

¶291. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the foreign paramilitary control over the de facto departments and agencies, *including the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto "COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™" (spelled in all uppercase letters)* who acting under purported doctrines of "Emergency" and "Necessity", which has no law. (See: Plowden's 18, 15 Viner's Abridgments 534, 22 Viner's Abridgments 540, U.S. verses Will, 66 L.Ed.2d 392, page 405). *Petitioner demands that the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent*

1 *de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) Cease and*
2 *Desist from foreign paramilitary control, which is prohibited interference in the*
3 *domestic affairs by the “UNITED NATIONS™” by allowing assumption of full or*
4 *partial executive legislative, and judicial authority over this Nation or the de jure*
5 *several States and the American People!!!*

6 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**
7 **1939 - Social Security Act. - Workers and Employees.**

8 ¶292. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the U.S. party #1 belligerent declares U.S.
9 citizens of the United States to be workers and employees/slaves, people of obligations,
10 of the insolvent de facto “UNITED STATES™” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) that
11 **MUST obtain social security numbers** according to the 1939 Social Security Act.

12 ¶293. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
13 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

14
15

16 I admit OR deny that I am a “citizen of the United States”.

17 ¶294. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that *anyone with a social security number, also*
18 *known as a “taxpayer identification number” has a license and contract to TRADE*
19 ***WITH THE ENEMY OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE** as a belligerent. Petitioner*
20 *demands that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all*
21 *uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent*
22 *de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) Cease and*
23 *Desist from any foreign paramilitary control. This Petitioner is a neutral, NOT a*
24 *belligerent with a social security number, NOR any license or contract to conduct*
25 *public trade, commerce, business and industry with the enemy of American people by*
26 *belligerents, who are “citizens of the de facto “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all*
27 *uppercase letters). Petitioner is not a “citizen of the de facto “UNITED STATES™”*

(spelled in all uppercase letters). Petitioner conducts private business and is a neutral.

¶295. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY** Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I have a license and contract to conduct public trade, commerce and industry with the enemy of American people.

¶296. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the several de facto STATES™ are Instrumentalities of the insolvent de facto “UNITED STATES™” (both spelled in all uppercase letters) contracting for hire “UNITED STATES™” workers. The insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) in a state of incorporation, as instrumentalities of the insolvent de facto “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters). The “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) instrumentalities, the several de facto “STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) as corporations and fellow belligerents, contract for hire “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) workers to conduct public trade, commerce, business and industry.

¶297. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) workers for the insolvent de facto several states, and licensed corporate instrumentality’s of the belligerent “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) who are employees of the de facto “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters). Read Public Law 90-269 of March 18, 1968, Legislative History of P.L. 94-564, and the contents of Public Law 95-147, (91 Stat. 1227) on October 28, 1977. Public Law 90-269 and Public

1 **Law 95-147** assured the elimination of reserve requirements for redeeming Federal
2 Reserve notes for lawful money.

3
4 ¶298. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
5 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

6

7 **I admit OR deny that I have a social security number.**

8

9

10 **I admit OR deny that I'm an employee of the "UNITED STATES™"**
11 ***(spelled in all uppercase letters).***

12

13

14 **I admit OR deny that I am a UNITED STATES™ worker for the**
15 **"STATE OF ARIZONA™" (both spelled in all uppercase letters).**

16

17 ¶299. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on October 28, 1977; the passage of **Public**
18 **Law 95-147**, (91 Stat. 1227) declared most banking institutions, including State banks,
19 to be **under** the direction and control of the corporate "Governor" of the "International
20 Monetary Fund" (See **Public Law 94-564**, the Legislative History at page 5942; **United**
21 **States Manual 1990/91**, pages 480-481). The Act further declared that:

22 "“(2) Section 10(a) of the Gold Reserve Act of 1934 (**31 U.S.C. §822a(b)**) is
23 amended by **striking out** the phrase ‘**stabilizing the exchange value of the**
24 **dollar**’...”

25 "“(c) The joint resolution entitled ‘Joint resolution to assure uniform value
26 of the coins and currencies of the United States’, approved June 5, 1933 (**31**
27 **U.S.C. §463**) shall not apply to obligations issued on or after the date of
28 enactment of this section.”

29 ¶300. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that an **employee** of the de facto "**UNITED**
30 **STATES™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) CANNOT** sit on a jury. Petitioner shall
31 examine, voir dire, any jury and ask if they have a social security number, if so they
32 shall be dismissed for cause because **employees** of the de facto "**UNITED STATES™"**
33 ***(spelled in all uppercase letters)* cannot** sit on a jury. Supreme Court case ***U.S verses***

1 **Griffith**, 2 Fed 2nd 95 1924, Employee of the de facto “**UNITED STATES™**”
2 *(spelled in all uppercase letters)* cannot sit on a grand or petit jury supports this fact.

3 ¶301. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that employees of the de facto “**UNITED**
4 **STATES™**” *(spelled in all uppercase letters)* cannot be a trier of fact. Trier of fact
5 being (a) the jury and (b) the court when the court is trying an issue of fact other than
6 one relating to the admissibility of evidence without being bias and prejudice.
7 *Petitioner demands that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in*
8 *all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the*
9 *insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters)*
10 *Cease and Desist from being biased and prejudice in their de facto courts by allowing*
11 *employees of the de facto “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) to*
12 *be trier of facts, and who MUST be recused for cause!!!*

13 ¶302. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
14 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

15 I admit OR deny that I am a trier of fact when my court is trying an
16 issue of fact other than one relating to the admissibility of evidence.

17
18 I admit OR deny that I am a trier of fact and an employee of the de
19 facto “**UNITED STATES™**” *(spelled in all uppercase letters)*.

20 ¶303. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that employees of the de facto “**UNITED**
21 **STATES™**” *(spelled in all uppercase letters)* CANNOT be a trier of fact, which
22 commonly refers to a judge, or commissioner called judge, in jury waived trial, a bench
23 trial, which has the exclusive obligation to make findings of fact in contrast to rulings
24 of law, which MUST be made without being bias and prejudice.

25 ¶304. Write in first box whether **YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY**
26 **Admit OR Deny**, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I am a trier of fact in jury waived trials.

I admit OR deny that I am a trier of fact in jury waived trials and an employee of the de facto "UNITED STATES™" (*spelled in all uppercase letters*).

¶305. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that under Public Law 95-147 Federal Employees are paid out of this Act, it is in the legislative history. Treasury Tax and Loan Accounts. FEDERAL EMPLOYEES MUST HAVE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS.

¶306. Write in first box whether YOU, JOHN NAPPER and GLEN M. ASAY Admit OR Deny, then initial second box.

I admit OR deny that I am paid out of Public Law 95-147 heading "Treasury Tax and Loan Accounts".

¶307. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in 1933 the Securities Act exempted the United States, the banks and the states from the operation of securities fraud laws **BECAUSE THEY HAD PERPETRATED A FRAUD ON THE AMERICAN PUBLIC**. The banks, the United States and the several states told individuals who were dealing with the banks to return the gold to the banks or under criminal pains and penalties under International Commercial Law with the Banks, or the individuals would go to the penitentiary. **TAKING THE GOLD OUT OF THE BANKS IN MASS WAS CONSIDERED A BELLIGERENT ACT BY BELLIGERENTS**. It is an act of economic warfare: even though the banks committed economical warfare against the people, by failing to keep their obligation to maintain the integrity of the notes and securities.

¶308. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that under the Securities Act in 1933 War was declared on people who banked and could be tracked through banking. The banks

1 demanded the return of the people's gold under the threat of prosecution and
2 penitentiary time. The banks declared war on their own people [customers]. ***Dealing***
3 ***with the banks is a belligerent status.*** Those dealing in gold contracts without
4 signature cards nor bank accounts were ***NOT*** subject to 12 USC §89 [See Appendix
5 12 USC §89]. They had nothing to do with banks.

6 ¶309. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto "UNITED
7 STATES™" (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) government declared war on the
8 American people who are the sovereignty. There is ***no more obligations to that***
9 ***government.*** The insolvent de facto "UNITED STATES™" (*spelled in all uppercase*
10 ***letters***) ***CANNOT*** meet their obligations, they are ***NOT*** a government. Petitioner denies
11 the insolvent de facto "UNITED STATES™" (*spelled in all uppercase letters*)
12 represent this free and independent flesh and blood male human sovereign being.
13 Petitioner will not be so loose with language and concepts.

14 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**
15 **"Tax Collectors" and "Information-Service Employees"**
16 **Are Agents of a Foreign Principal.**

17 ¶310. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that it is obvious from the documentary evidence
18 that the Internal Revenue Service ***agents***, et al., acting individually and jointly, in
19 ***collusion*** together and with each other, are "***Agents of a Foreign Principal***" within the
20 meaning and intent of the "***Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938***" (See: 22
21 U.S.C.A §§611, 612).

22 ¶311. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Internal Revenue Service ***agents***, et. al.
23 are ***directly or indirectly supervised, directed, controlled, financed and subsidized*** by
24 the alien/foreign corporate "Governor" of "The Fund" and "The Bank" a/k/a "Secretary
25 of Treasury" (See: Public Law 94-564, Legislative History, page 5942, U.S.
26 Government Manual 1990/91, pages 480 & 481, Treasury Delegation Order
27 No .150-10, 22 U.S.C.A. §286a, 26 U.S.C.A. §611(c)(1). ***Petitioner demands that the***

1 *insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-*
2 *agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF*
3 *YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase) inter-agency operations, Cease and Desist*
4 *from being biased and prejudice in their de facto state, county and city inter-agency*
5 *operations including their PRIVATE courts, which are tribunals, by allowing*
6 *employees of the de facto “UNITED STATES™” (spelled in all uppercase letters, to*
7 *be disqualified as federal employees and Internal Revenue Service™ agents as tax*
8 *collectors. The Internal Revenue Service™ agents, et al., including the insolvent de*
9 *facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency*
10 *operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF*
11 *YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations are acting*
12 *individually and jointly, in collusion together and with each other, are now acting*
13 *as “information-service employees” and have been and do now “solicit, collect,*
14 *disburse or dispense Contribution, loans, money or other things of value for or in*
15 *interest of such Foreign Principal” directly or indirectly supervised, directed,*
16 *controlled, financed and subsidized by the alien/foreign corporate “Governor” of*
17 *“The Fund” and “The Bank” a/k/a “Secretary of Treasury” as “tax collectors”.*

18 ¶312. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that “INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE™”
19 *spelled in all uppercase letters, agents, et. al. have been and are now acting as*
20 *“information-service employees” 22 U.S.C.A. §611(c)(1)(ii), and have been and do*
21 *now “solicit, collect, disburse or dispense Contribution (Tax - pecuniary contribution,*
22 *Blacks Law Dictionary 5th Ed.), loans, money or other things of value for or in interest*
23 *of such foreign principal 22 U.S.C.A §611(c)(1)(iii).*

24 ¶313. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the “INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE™”
25 *spelled in all uppercase letters, agents, et al., including the insolvent de facto “STATE*
26 *OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including*
27 *but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all*

1 *uppercase letters) inter-agency operations are acting individually and jointly, in*
2 **COLLUSION** together and with each other, are "Agents of a Foreign Principal"
3 directly or indirectly entered into service agreements with the Agency For International
4 Development, Memorandum of Understanding, General Agreement.

5 ¶314. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Internal Revenue Service agents, et. al.
6 entered into service agreements with Foreign Principal(s) pursuant to 22 U.S.C.A.
7 §611(c)(2), as evidenced by Treasury Delegation Order No. 91, i.e. the Agency For
8 International Development, Memorandum of Understanding, General Agreement. The
9 Internal Revenue Service agents, et al., including *the insolvent de facto "STATE OF*
10 *ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but*
11 *not limited to the insolvent de facto "COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™" (spelled in all*
12 *uppercase letters) inter-agency operations are acting individually and jointly, in*
13 **COLLUSION** together and with each other, are agents of the "International
14 Criminal Police Organization" ("INTERPOL™" *(spelled in all uppercase letters)*
15 and as such solicit and collect information for 187 Foreign Countries and Powers,
16 or political subdivisions thereof.

17 ¶315. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the "INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE™"
18 *spelled in all uppercase letters*, et al., including *the insolvent de facto "STATE OF*
19 *ARIZONA™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but*
20 *not limited to the insolvent de facto "COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™" (spelled in all*
21 *uppercase letters) inter-agency operations which are acting individually and jointly,*
22 and in combination and collusion together and with each other, are agents of the
23 "International Criminal Police Organization" ("INTERPOL™" *(spelled in all*
24 *uppercase letters)* and as such solicit and collect information for 187 Foreign
25 Countries and Powers (as of April 2010), or political subdivisions thereof. (See: The
26 United States Government Manual, 1990/91, page 385, 22 U.S.C.A. §263a, see also,
27 The Ron Paul Money Book, pages 250-251).

¶316. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Congress has appropriated, transferred and converted vast sums to Foreign Powers (See: 22 U.S.C.A. §262c(b)), and has entered into numerous Foreign Taxing Treaties (conventions) (See: 22 U.S.C.A. §285g, 22 U.S.C.A. §287j) and other Agreements, which are solicited and collected pursuant to 26 I.R.C. §610(k)(4).

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
FOREIGN AGENTS REGISTRATION STATEMENTS

¶317. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto “UNITED STATES™” and insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (both spelled in all uppercase letters) *inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations* and (those liable to judgment in a given action) as agents of their foreign principal, “The Bank” and “The Fund” true characters are co vinous usurpers and their delusions, and the fraudulent re-hypothecated debt credit merely added to the insolvent nature of the continual “emergency”, and the reciprocal socio/economic repercussions laid upon resent and future generations. Together they spend to be paid by posterity under the name of funding, which is swindling futurity on a large scale.

¶318. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that this declared witnessed documentary evidence and notice and demand absolves doubt as to the TRUE CHARACTER of the insolvent de facto “UNITED STATES™” and insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (both spelled in all uppercase letters) *inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations* and (those liable to judgment in a given action) as agents of their foreign principal, “The Bank” and “The Fund”. Such Constitutional restrictions as “For the general Welfare and common defense of the United States” (See: Constitution (1787), Preamble, Article I, Section 8, Clause 1)

aren't applicable to the co vinous usurpers and their delusions, and the fraudulent re-hypothecated debt credit merely added to the insolvent nature of the continual "emergency", and the reciprocal socio/economic repercussions laid upon resent and future generations.

"The principle of spending money to be paid by posterity under the name of funding is but swindling futurity on a large scale." (See: The Writings of Thomas Jefferson, Albert E. Bergh Ed., Volume 13 page 357).

¶319. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto "UNITED STATES™" and insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (both spelled in all uppercasse letters) *inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto "COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™" (spelled in all uppercasse letters) inter-agency operations* and (those liable to judgment in a given action) as agents of their foreign principal, "The Bank" and "The Fund" MUST have Foreign Agents Registration Statements. Military authority cannot be imposed into civil affairs.

¶320. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that among numerous other reasons for lack of authority to act, such as a foreign Agents Registration Statement, 22 U.S.C.A. §612 and 18 U.S.C.A. §§219 & 951, military authority cannot be imposed into civil affairs. (See: Department Of The Army Pamphlet 27100-70, Military Law Review, Volume 70).

¶321. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto "UNITED STATES™" and insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™" (both spelled in all uppercasse letters) *inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto "COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™" (spelled in all uppercasse letters) inter-agency operations* and (those liable to judgment in a given action) as agents of their foreign principal, "The Bank" and "The Fund" WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARIES OWNED BY THE "UNITED NATIONS™" (spelled in all uppercasse letters) acts evidence "Bad Faith".

¶322. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the **“UNITED NATIONS™” Charter, Article 2, Section 7**, further prohibits the **“UNITED NATIONS™”** Organizations from "intervening in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any state..." Korea, Viet Nam, Ethiopia, Angola, Kuwait, Iran etc., are evidence of the "BAD FAITH" of the United Nations and its Organizations, Corporations, and Associations, not to mention unlawful extraditions, expropriations, misappropriations, bribery, misrepresentations, and usurpations. (See: **House Resolution 86, 102nd Congress, 1st Session, Congressional Record, January 16, 1991, A New World Order**).

¶323. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto **“UNITED STATES™”** and insolvent de facto **“STATE OF ARIZONA™”** (both spelled in all uppercase letters) *inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations and (those liable to judgment in a given action) as agents of their foreign principal*, **“The Bank” and “The Fund” WHICH ARE WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARIES OWNED BY THE “UNITED NATIONS™” (spelled in all uppercase letters)** acts, are evidence of **“Bad Faith”**.

¶324. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the acts, as declared and evidenced by this **“Notice and Demand to Cease and Desist”**, establish seditious collusion and co-vinous intent to overthrow and commit treason against the duly ordained and established Constitution FOR the United States of America, and to willfully, knowingly and wantonly cause other damages, injuries, and frauds against the Peace, Dignity and Security of **“We The People”** of the several free, sovereign, independent, Republican States of the Union of States of the United States of America, including but not limited to the Republic of the de jure **“State of Arizona”** (all spelled in uppercase and lowercase letters).

¶325. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto “UNITED STATES™” and insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (both spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations and (those liable to judgment in a given action) as agents of their foreign principal, “The Bank” and “The Fund” WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARIES OWNED BY THE “UNITED NATIONS™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) acts of affiliation and collusion with the Communist Totalitarian Oligarchy of INTERDEPENDENCE of the “ONE WORLD ORDER” directed and controlled by the “UNITED NATIONS™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) is evidence of “Bad Faith”, which are "TREASONOUS" AND "SEDITIONOUS".

¶326. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that it is quite apparent that the "Treasonous" and "Seditious" are brewing up a storm of untold magnitude. George Bush's public address of September 11, 1991, further qualifies what Petitioner is declaring. (See: **Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents**) Bush senior admitted "INTERDEPENDENCE" (See also: **Book Of The States**, page 144, **Declaration of INTERdependence (1976)**, **Public Law 94-564**, **Legislative History**, page 5950, **Constitution For The United Nations Industrial Development Organization**, page 1, **Letter of Transmittal**), "**ONE WORLD ORDER**" (See: **Silent Weapons For Quiet Wars**, page 7. **Declaration of INTERdependence (1976)**, **Congressional Record**, **Extension of Remarks**, **January 19, 1976**, **Marjorie S. Holt**, **8 U.S.C.A. 1101(40)**, supra, page V, **Letter of Submittal**), affiliation and collusion with the Communist Totalitarian Oligarchy (See also: **50 U.S.C.A. §781**, *U.S. verses Barsky*, **167 F.2d 241**, *U.S. verses Latimore*, **215 F.2d 847**), directed and control by the U.N., **22 U.S.C.A. §611**, etc.

¶327. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto “UNITED STATES™” and insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (both spelled in all

uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™ (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations and (those liable to judgment in a given action) as agents of their foreign principal, "The Bank" and "The Fund" who are WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARIES OWNED BY THE "UNITED NATIONS™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) acts of affiliation and collusion with the Communist Totalitarian Oligarchy of INTERDEPENDENCE of the "ONE WORLD ORDER" are directed and controlled by the "UNITED NATIONS™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) are filled with numerous other frauds, deceits and lies.

¶328. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the statements heard by Bush senior that "*We are no longer operating under the Constitution, we are operating under the "UNITED NATIONS™" (spelled in all uppercase letters) Charter*", have been removed and deleted from the Public Records, and is consistent with the numerous other frauds, deceits and lies of the International Organizations and their Agents and Representatives.

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
"International Criminal Police Organization" Pact

¶329. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in order to achieve CONFORMITY the I.R.S., local police et al., are members in a one hundred eight-five (185) nation pact called the "International Criminal Police Organization" "INTERPOL™" (spelled in all uppercase letters). The Office of the U.S. Attorney General is evidence of the fact that the Attorney General and his associates are soliciting and collecting information for Foreign Principals.

¶330. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that as previously shown, the I.R.S., et al., are members in a one hundred eight-five (185) nation pact called the "International Criminal Police Organization" "INTERPOL™" (spelled in all uppercase letters,), found at 22 U.S. C.A. §263a. The "Memorandum & Agreement" between the

Secretary of Treasury/alien Corporate Governor of "The Fund" and "The Bank" and the Office of the U.S. Attorney General is evidence of the fact that the Attorney General and his associates are soliciting and collecting information for Foreign Principals (See: 22 U.S.C.A. §611(c)(1)(ii), and further, in certain cases are directed by the said alien Secretary of Treasury (See: 26 I.R.C. §7401), and represent the interests of the said Foreign Principal pursuant to 22 U.S.C.A. §611(c)(1)(iv). ***IT CANNOT, THEREFORE, BE DOUBTED THAT SAID ATTORNEYS ARE IN FACT AGENTS OF FOREIGN PRINCIPALS, ORGANIZATIONS, CORPORATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS, while pretending to be Attorney/Representatives of the "We The People" of the de jure Republic of the United States of America.***

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW

Attorney/Representatives

"Foreign Agents Registration Statement"

¶331. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that All Attorney/Representatives SHALL file a "Foreign Agents Registration Statement" and supplements, when acting for or in interest of a Foreign Principal.

¶332. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that cognizance will be taken of the Law that an Attorney/Representative is required to file a "Foreign Agents Registration Statement" and supplements thereto, when acting for or in interest of a *Foreign Principal*, pursuant to 22 U.S.C.A. §§611(c)(1)(iv) & 612, and are not exempt under the provisions of 22 U.S.C.A. §613. See: *Rabinowitz verses Kennedy*, 376 U.S. 605, 11 L.Ed.2d 940).

¶333. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that failure of ALL Attorney/Representatives filing a "Foreign Agents Registration Statement" and supplements when acting for or in interest of a *Foreign Principal* is a FELONY.

¶334. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that failure to file said "Foreign Agents Registrations Statement" goes directly to the jurisdiction, and lack of standing to be before the court, and is a felony pursuant to 18 U.S.C.A. §§219,912 & 951. The

1 conflict of law, interest and allegiance is obvious. *Matthew 6:24* "**NO MAN CAN**
2 **SERVE TWO MASTERS.**" (See: *Jeffery verses Pounds*, 67 Cal.App.3d. 6,136
3 Cal.Reptr. 373 (1977), *Cinema 5, Ltd. verses Cinerama, Inc.*, 528 F. 2d 1384 (1976),
4 *Easly verses Brookline Trust Co.*, 256 S.W.2d. 983).

5 ¶335. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that actions by the insolvent de facto "**UNITED**
6 **STATES™**" and insolvent de facto "**STATE OF ARIZONA™**" (both spelled in all
7 uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de
8 facto "**COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™**" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency
9 operations and (those liable to judgment in a given action) and real party in interest
10 wrongfully and maliciously instituted and commenced by the said Foreign Agents
11 against Petitioner, Michael Willis Chase, Citizen of the several Republican States, are
12 little more than *fraudulent extraditions* under and to *Foreign Jurisdictions*.

13 ¶336. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the actions by the insolvent de facto
14 "**UNITED STATES™**" and the insolvent de facto "**STATE OF ARIZONA™**" (both
15 spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the
16 insolvent de facto **COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™** (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-
17 agency operations and (those liable to judgment in a given action) and real parties in
18 interest wrongfully and maliciously instituted and commenced by the said Foreign
19 Agents against Petitioner, Michael Willis Chase, Citizen of the several de jure
20 Republican States, are little more than *fraudulent extraditions* (See: *U.S. verses*
21 *Rauscher*, 119 U.S.407, 7 S.Ct. 244, 30 L.Ed., 425, *U.S. verses Vreeken*, 803 F.2d
22 1085) under and to *Foreign Jurisdictions*. (See: **18 U.S.C.A. §7**).

23 **STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW**
24 **The de facto State/Federal/International**
Chartered and Compacted Inter-Agency "Institutions".

25 ¶337. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto "**STATE OF**
26 **ARIZONA™**" (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but
27

not limited to the insolvent de facto “**COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™**” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, which are state/federal/international chartered and compacted inter-agency "Institutions". *Their officers, employees, servants, agents and representatives are subject to both Impeachment and Removal.*

¶338. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto “**STATE OF ARIZONA™**” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “**COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™**” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, which are the state/federal/international chartered and compacted inter-agency "Institutions", their officers, employees, servants, agents and representatives having *acted in Bad Faith, in violation of the "Clean Hands Doctrine", and in Fraud and Contravention of the Law of the Land and Forum*, should be turned over to a Court of Law for prosecution, trial, and judgment according to Law.

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
What About Attorneys, Who Are Members of the Bar

¶339. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the members of the **Bar Attorneys**, being highly compromised, and dependent upon turbulence and contention for their livelihood, prefer to evade duties and obstruct such remedies and corrections against the insolvent de facto “**STATE OF ARIZONA™**” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “**COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™**” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, which are the state/federal/international chartered and compacted inter-agency "Institutions", their officers, employees, servants, agents and representatives having acted in *Bad Faith*, in violation of the *"Clean Hands Doctrine"*, and in *Fraud* and *Contravention* of the Law of the Land and Forum.

¶340. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the members of the British Accredited Registry (B.A.R.) Attorneys, being highly compromised, and dependent upon

1 turbulence and contention for their livelihood, *prefer to evade duties and obstruct such*
2 *remedies and corrections against the insolvent de facto "STATE OF ARIZONA™"*
3 *(spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations*, including but not limited to
4 the insolvent de facto "COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™" (spelled in all uppercase letters)
5 inter-agency operations, which are the state/federal/international chartered and
6 compacted inter-agency "Institutions". Their officers, employees, servants, agents and
7 representatives having acted in ***Bad Faith***, in violation of the "***Clean Hands Doctrine***",
8 and in ***Fraud*** and ***Contravention*** of the Law of the Land and Forum.

9 *"...From time to time immemorial it has been the recognized duty of such*
10 *courts to exercise a discretion: to refuse their aid in enforcement of*
11 *unconscionable, oppressive, or iniquitous contracts; and to turn the party*
12 *claiming benefit of such contracts over to a court of law... It is said that*
13 *the plaintiff **MUST** come into court with clean hands, and that a defendant*
14 *may rest a bill for specific performance, by showing that under the*
15 *circumstances the plaintiff is not entitled to the relief he asks. Omission or*
16 *mistake in the agreement, or that it is un-conscientious or unreasonable, or*
that there has been concealment, misrepresentation, or any unfairness, are
enumerated among the causes which will induce the court to refuse its aid."
*(See: **Pope Mfg. verses Gormully**, 144 U.S. 414, at page 419, also see, **22***
U.S.C.A. §286g).

17 ¶341. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the acts declared and complained of clearly
18 evidence numerous iniquitous, illegal, unlawful and fraudulent agreements entered into
19 under pretense and colors of authority, and which were subsequently and continually
20 misrepresented and craftily and subtly drawn to conceal fraudulent, unlawful, derivative
21 and adhesion terms and parties, to unlawfully and fraudulently obtain a benefit, gain,
22 and title there from. *"He acts contrary to law who does what the law prohibits; but he*
23 *acts in fraud of the law who, when the letter of the law being inviolate, uses the law*
24 *contrary to its intentions."* (See: **Digest of Civil Law, Book 1, Title 3, Law 29**).
25 *"Fraud vitiates the most solemn Contracts, documents and even judgments."* (See:
26 ***U.S. Throckmorton***, 98 U.S. 61, page 65).

¶342. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that willful and wanton violations of the Laws of the Creator, the Laws of Nature, the ordained and established Constitutions, the Laws made in Pursuance thereof, and the fundamental principles of a valid, viable society have been and are now being committed by those liable to judgment in a given action and real party in interest.

¶343. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, which are the state/federal/international chartered and compacted inter-agency “*Institutions*”, their officers, employees, servants, agents and representatives are those liable to judgment in a given action and real party in interest *usurpations* and *abridgments* have been and are now being *aided*, *abetted*, *counseled*, *commanded* and *procured* by *special*, *partisan*, *interest groups* of *highly questionable character*, *intents* and *purposes*, and when brought to the attention of the de facto judicature, is like telling a snake about a snake. It is the equivalent of telling the pimp about the whore, who upon being informed, only *demand*s *a cut* and *commission* from the *licentious acts*.

¶344. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto “STATE OF ARIZONA™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, which are the state/federal/international chartered and compacted inter-agency “*Institutions*”. Their officers, employees, servants, agents and representatives are (those liable to judgment in a given action) and real party in interests, numerous arbitrary, capricious acts and willful violations of law and principles, that leave the Petitioner, Michael Willis Chase, and Citizens, and Posterity in a state of permanent endangerment. When the *Laws* of the State *fail*, everything ought to be *suspect*, leaving the Petitioner, Michael Willis Chase, and

Citizens, and Posterity to resort only to the *remedies* of the *Laws of the Creator* and Nature to secure their *Tranquility, Welfare, and Security!!!*

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
Treason to the Constitutions.

¶345. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the insolvent de facto “**STATE OF ARIZONA™**” (spelled in all uppercase letters) inter-agency operations, including but not limited to the insolvent de facto “**COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™**” (*spelled in all uppercase letters*) inter-agency operations, which are the state/federal/international chartered and compacted inter-agency “*Institutions*”, their officers, employees, servants, agents and representatives are (those *liable* to judgment in a given action) and real party in interests are committing ***TREASON*** to the constitutions.

¶346. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the determination made in *Cohen verses Virginia*, 6 Wheat 264, 5 L. Ed. 257 (1821) is more than applicable, and should be executed on both Counts as stated, to wit:

*"We [Courts] have no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which is given, then to usurp that which is not given. THE ONE OR THE OTHER WOULD BE **TREASON TO THE CONSTITUTION**." See also, *U.S. verses Will*, 449 US 200, 66 L.Ed.2d 392, page 406).*

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
GOLD AND SILVER COINAGE.

¶347. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT Gold and Silver Coinage was and is now being minted pursuant to *Act of Congress, Coded 31 U.S.C.A. §5112, and Public Law 101-585*, which has a “*numismatic value*” plus the cost of minting (premium), and is to be accepted at the “*buying site rate on the day of tender.*”

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND LAW
Attorney Members of the Bar/Closed Union Shop.

¶348. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Attorney bar members of the aforesaid "closed union shop" having heretofore continually participated in **fraud** and **embezzlement** (See: *Ziebarth, et al., verses Federal Land Bank*, Civil Case No. A1-91-071, Order July 1, 1991, see also, **Public Law 94-564**, Legislative History, pages. 5945, 5946, **18 U.S.C.A. §645, 28 U.S.C.A. §2041**).

¶349. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Attorney bar members of the aforesaid "closed union shop" having obtained a **benefit** from **illicit prevarication**, and the turbulence and contention caused thereby, and the rising "cost of litigation" (See: **Judicial Improvements Act of 1990, Public Law 101-650, Legislative History, page 6804**), have **willfully and knowingly ignored** the Law of the Land and Forum, and **wantonly compounded, damaged and injured** the Rights, property, and rights to property of "We The People", for or in interest of their Foreign Principals, Organizations, Corporations and Associations.

¶350. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Attorney bar members of the aforesaid "closed union shop" **have willfully, knowingly and fraudulently caused, ordered and commanded the same said Citizens to be arrested, extradited, prosecuted, incarcerated, and their property taken, seized, stolen and sold for their fraudulent domestic and foreign "obligations"** (See: **18 U.S.C.A. §§471, 278, 479**), **while knowing the same said "obligations" to have been impaired, unconscionable, and wholly lacking in valid, lawful consideration, and to be ex facie FRAUDULENT.**

CONCLUSION

¶351. **Number one**, Petitioner can not join in with *Glen M. Asay Attorney and John Napper Attorney / Agent For the de facto "COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™"* because our Creator prohibits it, number one. **Number two** Petitioner does **NOT** deal in commercial paper, Petitioner deals in property. *Glen M. Asay Attorney and John Napper Attorney / Agents For the de facto "COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™"* (spelled in all

1 *uppercase letters*) officers of this trial court deals in *bills of credit*, which is against the
2 *Common Law*. **Number three**, it is against the law for this Petitioner to trade with an
3 *enemy* of the United States of America without a *license* to **“Trade With the Enemy”**
4 according to **Trading With The Enemy Act**, October 6, 1917 codified at **War Powers**
5 **Title 50 United States Code Sections 1701 to 1706**. (See: **War, Central Planning and**
6 **Corporations. The Corporate State. By Dr. Eugene Schroder and David Schechter**
7 **– 86 Pages.**).

8 ¶352. Therefore, **Petitioner is not concerned with public trade**, commerce, business
9 and industry. Requiring licensing to trade with the enemy under “Chapter 35 –
10 International Emergency Economic Powers. Section 1701. Unusual and extraordinary
11 threat; declaration of national emergency; exercise of the Presidential authorities.”

12 ¶353. **If the “COUNTY OF YAVAPAI™” (spelled in all uppercase letters) continues**
13 **to damage this Petitioner, then we will find out how the federal courts feel about the**
14 **matter. ONCE AGAIN, THIS PETITIONER’S BUSINESS IS PRIVATE**
15 **BUSINESS**, which does **NOT** have a business banking account and does **NOT** deal in
16 commercial paper of *the enemy*, which does **NOT** affect a public interest. **Gary Dean**
17 **Larson Jr. does not have a “TRADE³ MARK”**.

18 ¶354. Petitioner owes **NO** enemy for the use of “their” commercial paper **NOR**
19 **“TRADE MARK”**.

24 ³“The term mark includes any trademark, service mark, collective mark, or certification mark.” (See:
25 **15 USCS §1127**). Trademarks recognize the source company’s ownership of the brand. Service
26 marks identify the different services licensed. The collective mark is used by collective members,
27 who all have Social Security Numbers, who are registered and get protection from their masters.
Those with the numbers become commercial members of the Counties and Cities who own their labor
by those Publicly Trade Organizations. “Brands” identify slaves by their owners.

Petitioner's Commands:

¶355. Michael Willis Chase of the Chase Family, Principal Creditor for MICHAEL WILLIS CHASE™ hereby exercises Petitioner's right to written answers to Petitioner's commands in CASE NO. V1300CR201980661. If the trier of fact denies Petitioner's the right to answers, I **command** Dismissal for Lack of Due Process of Law.

¶356. Further, Petitioner **command** Dismissal for Lack of Venue Jurisdiction if Petitioner's "**Michael Willis Chase's Notice & Command to Cease & Desist and Command For Admissions & Confessions From Judge John Napper And County Attorney Glen M. Asay**" are **not answered in writing**.



Notice is hereby given that the witnessed facts in evidence will stand if un-rebutted. Let the TRIER OF FACT make its judicial determination based upon the correct, and certain witnessed facts herein declared, that Michael Willis Chase of the Chase Family, Principal Creditor for MICHAEL WILLIS CHASE™ status is a magnificent spirit being and powerful creator freeborn, natural American At Liberty. Further, let the Court dismiss the charges against Michael Willis Chase of the Chase Family, Principal Creditor for MICHAEL WILLIS CHASE™ for the Court's lack of venue jurisdiction over this magnificent spirit being and powerful creator being freeborn, natural American At Liberty.

¶357. Michael Willis Chase of the Chase Family, Principal Creditor for MICHAEL WILLIS CHASE™ herein declares:

1. THAT Michael Willis Chase is competent to state to the matters set forth herein.
2. THAT Michael Willis Chase has personal knowledge of the facts stated herein.
3. THAT all the facts stated herein are correct, and certain to the best of Michael Willis Chase knowledge, are matters of public record, and are admissible as evidence, and if called upon as a witness, Michael Willis Chase will testify to their veracity.

1
2
3 **Oral argument demanded. Oral Judicial Determination for the Record demanded.**

4 Dated this 5th day of October, 2021.

5
6 Autograph:  

7 Michael Willis Chase of the Chase Family,
8 Pro Se, Principal Creditor for
9 MICHAEL WILLIS CHASE™, which
is a Corporate Identity, a Legal Fiction in
all uppercase, a decedent. All rights reserved.


Seal

10 **Witnessed By:** (two or three witnesses)

11 As: "... at the mouth of two witnesses, or at the mouth of three witnesses,
12 shall the matter be established." Deuteronomy, chapter nineteen, verse
13 fifteen.

14 

I'iv I'iv - As Witness

15 

Carol Isabelle Hauser - As Witness

16 **VERIFICATION:**

17 Based upon Michael Willis Chase of the Chase Family, Principal Creditor for
18 MICHAEL WILLIS CHASE™ truly and sincerely held education and training, he
19 knows the *Word of Our Creator* prohibits the *swearing* to tell the truth by *oath* or
20 *affirmation* or *signing* any paper as these are *oaths prohibited* by *Scriptural Law*.
Petitioner quotes the following declared evidence in *Scriptural Law* by the former tax-
gather *Matthew* who was well qualified to produce evidence. He records fully the
discourses of *Yeshua ben Joseph* and declares the following evidence:

21 **The Apostle Matthew's testimony in the King James Version:** Matthew chapter
five, verses thirty three through thirty seven:

22 "Again, ye have heard that it was to them of old time, Thou shalt not
23 forswear thyself, but shall perform unto the Lord thine oaths: But I say
24 unto you, Swear not at all; neither by the heaven; for it is the throne of
YAHWEH; Nor by the earth; for it is the footstool of his feet; nor by
25 Jerusalem; for it is the city of the great King. Neither shalt thou swear by
thy head, because thou canst not make one hair white or black. But let your

speech be, Yea, yea; Nay, nay; for whatsoever is more than these is of the evil one."

Further, Petitioner sets forth declared evidence in Scriptural Law by the Apostle James who was well qualified to produce evidence. **James, the Apostle and bond-servant of YAHWEH and of Yeshua ben Joseph as witness:** James chapter five, verse twelve:

"But above all things, my brethren, swear not, neither by heaven, neither by the earth, nor by any this oath: but let your yea by yea; and your nay, nay; that ye fall not under judgment."

The undersigned Petitioner does here by declare that the preceding and the following statements are the facts, here by verified as he knows them, and are correct, and certain to the best of his knowledge.

Dated this 5th day of October, 2021.

Autograph:

Michael Willis Chase of the Chase Family,
Pro Se, Principal Creditor for
MICHAEL WILLIS CHASE™, which
is a Corporate Identity, a Legal Fiction in
all uppercase, a decedent. All rights reserved.

Seal

Deuteronomy 19:15 "at the mouth of two witnesses or at the mouth of three witnesses shall the matter be established."

WITNESSES:

I'iv I'iv - As Witness

Carolyn Isabelle Hauser - As Witness

1
2
3 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

4 I, l'iv l'iv, do hereby certify that I hand-delivered an original copy of this correct and
5 complete autographed and sealed instrument dated October 5, 2021 on October 5, 2021,
6 to the YAVAPAI COUNTY COURT CLERK located at, 120 South Cortez Street,
7 Prescott, Arizona 86303. And, I hand-delivered an original copy of this correct and
8 complete autographed and sealed instrument dated October 5, 2021 on October 5, 2021,
9 to the YAVAPAI COUNTY PROSECUTOR, GLEN M. ASAY, on behalf of the
10 Plaintiff, OFFICE located at, 255 East Gurley Street, Prescott, Arizona 86301.

11 Further, I, l'iv l'iv, do hereby certify that I hand-delivered a file stamped copy of this
12 correct and complete autographed and sealed instrument to Petitioner. Who holds the
13 original of said instrument, file-stamped, as Michael Willis Chase's property.

14 
15 _____

16 l'iv l'iv

17 * * * * *